# **House of Representatives**



General Assembly

*File No. 112* 

January Session, 2025

Substitute House Bill No. 6915

House of Representatives, March 18, 2025

The Committee on Environment reported through REP. PARKER of the 101st Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

# AN ACT CONCERNING THE USE OF SECOND-GENERATION ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) No person shall engage in the use in this state of any second-generation anticoagulant rodenticide. For purposes of this section, "second-generation anticoagulant rodenticide" means any pesticide product containing any one of the following active ingredients: (1) Brodifacoum; (2) bromadiolone; (3) difenacoum; or (4) difethialone.

7 (b) The provisions of subsection (a) of this section shall not apply to:

8 (1) The use of second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides by any 9 state employee who uses second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides 10 for public health activities in accordance with any provision of the 11 Public Health Code and in furtherance of such employee's duties;

12 (2) The use of second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides when

used by any state employee for the purposes of protecting water supply
infrastructure and facilities in a manner that is consistent with all
otherwise applicable federal and state laws and regulations and in
furtherance of such employee's duties;

(3) The use of second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides by a
director of health to control mosquito or vector breeding areas in order
to protect the public health;

(4) The use of any second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides for
the eradication of nonnative invasive species inhabiting or found to be
present on offshore islands in a manner that is consistent with all
otherwise applicable federal and state laws and regulations;

24 (5) The use of any second-generation anticoagulant rodenticide to 25 control an actual or potential rodent infestation associated with a public 26 health need, as determined by a supporting declaration from the 27 Commissioner of Public Health or a director of health. For purposes of 28 this section, "public health need" means an urgent, nonroutine situation 29 posing a significant risk to human health in which it is documented that 30 other rodent control alternatives, including nonchemical alternatives, 31 are inadequate to control the rodent infestation;

(6) The use of second-generation rodenticides in any of the following
locations: (A) A medical waste generator; or (B) any facility registered
annually and subject to inspection under Section 510 of the federal Food,
Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 USC 360 et seq., and that is compliant with
the federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, 7 USC 135 et
seq.; or

(7) The use of second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides for
agricultural activities. For purposes of this subdivision, "agricultural
activities" includes activities conducted in any of the following
locations:

42 (A) A warehouse used to store foods for human or animal 43 consumption; (B) An agricultural production site, including, but not limited to, aslaughterhouse or cannery;

46 (C) A factory, brewery or winery;

47 (D) An agricultural production site housing water storage and48 conveyance facilities; or

49 (E) An agricultural production site housing rights-of-way and other50 transportation infrastructure.

51 (c) The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection may 52 adopt regulations, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54 of the 53 general statutes, to ensure that the continued use of second-generation 54 anticoagulant rodenticides, in accordance with the provisions of section 55 22a-50 of the general statutes is not reasonably expected to result in 56 significant adverse effects to nontarget wildlife. Any such regulations 57 shall include, but are not limited to, provisions for the use of such 58 rodenticides if the eradication of invasive rodent populations is 59 necessary for the protection of threatened or endangered species or the 60 habitats of such species.

61 (d) Not later than January 1, 2027, the Commissioner of Energy and 62 Environmental Protection shall submit a report, in accordance with the 63 provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing 64 committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters 65 relating to the environment on the potential implications of the 66 application of existing statutory and regulatory restrictions and 67 licensing requirements for the use of second-generation anticoagulant 68 rodenticides. Such report shall include, but is not limited to, an analysis 69 of the consistency of applying such restrictions and requirements with 70 federal law and any potential effects, including, but not limited to, 71 improved raptor health and expenses and delays that such changes may 72 have on public health and agriculture in the state and requisite 73 administrative resources for overseeing such restrictions.

74 (e) Any person who violates the provisions of subsection (a) of this

- section shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than five thousand
- 76 dollars by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection
- 77 for each such violation.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:

Section 1 October 1, 2025 New Section	Section 1	October 1, 2025	New section
---------------------------------------	-----------	-----------------	-------------

**ENV** Joint Favorable Subst.

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

#### **OFA Fiscal Note**

#### State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Department of Energy and	GF - Cost	75,000	75,000
<b>Environmental Protection</b>			
State Comptroller - Fringe	GF - Cost	26,462	26,462
Benefits <sup>1</sup>			
Department of Energy and	GF - Revenue	Potential	Potential
Environmental Protection	Gain		
Note: GE-General Fund			

Note: GF=General Fund

#### Municipal Impact: None

#### Explanation

The bill results in an annual cost to the state of approximately \$101,462, beginning in FY 26. The bill bans the use of certain rodenticides with some exceptions and requires the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) to report (by January 1, 2027) on various issues related to second-generation anticoagulant rodenticide use.

DEEP does not currently have the staff available to complete and enforce the provisions contained within the bill and would require one new full-time Environmental Analyst 2. The additional full-time position would result in an annual salary of \$65,000 (corresponding fringe benefits of \$26,462) and approximately \$10,000 in other expenses (including a computer, cellphone, and supplies for monitoring and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The fringe benefit costs for most state employees are budgeted centrally in accounts administered by the Comptroller. The estimated active employee fringe benefit cost associated with most personnel changes is 40.71% of payroll in FY 26.

#### sHB6915

reporting).

Additionally, the bill makes a violation of the ban subject to a civil penalty of up to \$5,000 per violation, resulting in a potential revenue gain to the General Fund beginning in FY 26. The extent of the revenue gain depends on the number of violations and the amount of each fine collected.

# The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation and the amount of fines collected.

### OLR Bill Analysis sHB 6915

# AN ACT CONCERNING THE USE OF SECOND-GENERATION ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES.

#### SUMMARY

This bill generally prohibits the use of "second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides" in Connecticut (i.e. pesticide products containing brodifacoum, bromadiolone, difenacoum, or difethialone; see BACKGROUND). It exempts several uses from the ban, such as applications by state employees for public health or water supply protection reasons, agricultural activity, or at a medical waste generator location.

Under the bill, a violation of the ban is subject to a civil fine of up to \$5,000 per violation by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP). The bill also allows DEEP to adopt regulations on the continued use of these rodenticides to ensure that it is not reasonably expected to have significant adverse effects on nontarget wildlife. The regulations must include provisions for the rodenticides' use if it is necessary to eradicate invasive rodent populations to protect threatened or endangered species or their habitats.

Lastly, the bill requires DEEP, by January 1, 2027, to report to the Environment Committee on the potential implications of applying existing statutory and regulatory restrictions and licensing requirements to second-generation anticoagulant rodenticide use. The report must include (1) an analysis of the consistency of applying the restrictions and requirements with federal law; (2) potential effects, including improved raptor health and expenses and delays that the changes may have on public health and agriculture in Connecticut; and (3) required administrative resources to oversee the restrictions. EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2025

### **RODENTICIDE EXCEPTIONS**

The bill exempts the following uses from the ban:

- by a state employee within the scope of his or her duties (a) for public health activities conducted under the Public Health Code or (b) to protect water supply infrastructure and facilities in a way that is consistent with federal and state laws and regulations;
- 2. by a health director to control mosquito or vector breeding areas to protect public health;
- 3. in a location that is a medical waste generator, or any facility that is annually registered, subject to inspection under the federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and complies with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act;
- 4. to eradicate nonnative invasive species inhabiting or found on offshore islands in a way that is consistent with federal and state laws and regulations;
- 5. to control an actual or potential rodent infestation associated with a public health need that the public health commissioner or a health director determines by a supporting declaration; and
- 6. for agricultural activities, including those done at a warehouse for storing foods for human or animal consumption; a factory, brewery, or winery; an agricultural food production site (e.g., slaughterhouse or cannery); or an agricultural production site housing water storage or conveyance facilities or rights-of-way and other transportation infrastructure.

Under the bill, a "public health need" is an urgent, nonroutine situation posing a significant human health risk. It must also be documented that other rodent control methods, including nonchemical ones, are inadequate to control the infestation.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Second Generation Anticoagulant Rodenticides

Most rodenticides are anticoagulant compounds that interfere with blood clotting and cause death from excessive bleeding. Secondgeneration anticoagulants were developed to control rodents that are resistant to first-generation anticoagulants. These pesticides are more likely to be effective after a single feeding and may remain in animal tissue longer than first-generation products. They are registered only for the commercial and structural pest control markets and are currently under federal Environmental Protection Agency registration review. In Connecticut, they are currently classified by DEEP as a general-use pesticide; no specialized license is currently required to apply second generation anticoagulant rodenticides.

### **Related Bill**

sSB 9, § 33, favorably reported by the Environment Committee, requires DEEP, by January 1, 2026, to reclassify second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides as restricted use products. In effect, this would limit applications of these products to only certified applicators, or under the supervision of a certified applicator, and may be subject to additional DEEP regulations.

# **COMMITTEE ACTION**

**Environment** Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 25 Nay 10 (02/28/2025)