House of Representatives



General Assembly

File No. 805

January Session, 2025

House Bill No. 7142

House of Representatives, April 29, 2025

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. STAFSTROM of the 129th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the bill ought to pass.

AN ACT ESTABLISHING A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH THE RIGHT OF AN INHERITANCE.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) An action for tortious 2 interference with the right of an inheritance may be brought when (1) a 3 person has an expectation of receiving an inheritance, (2) another person 4 has knowledge of the expected inheritance, (3) such other person 5 engages in conduct that demonstrates an intent to interfere with the 6 expected inheritance, (4) such other person's conduct was tortious 7 causing the expected inheritance to fail, and (5) the person bringing the 8 action suffered actual economic loss as a result of such other person's 9 tortious conduct.

10 (b) Any person aggrieved by acts constituting tortious interference 11 with the right of an inheritance may bring an action in the Superior 12 Court to recover damages, together with costs and a reasonable 13 attorney's fee. No such action shall be brought under this section but 14 within three years from the date of the act complained of.

HB7142

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:

Section 1	October 1, 2025	New section

JUD Joint Favorable

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill creates a civil cause of action for tortious interference with the right of an inheritance and is not anticipated to result in a fiscal impact.

The court system disposes of over 250,000 cases annually and the number of cases is not anticipated to be great enough to need additional resources.

The Out Years

State Impact: None Municipal Impact: None

OLR Bill Analysis

HB 7142

AN ACT ESTABLISHING A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH THE RIGHT OF AN INHERITANCE.

SUMMARY

This bill creates a civil cause of action for tortious interference with the right of an inheritance by allowing a person to bring an action in Superior Court against someone the person believes obstructed an expected inheritance. An aggrieved person may seek to recover damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee. The bill also creates a three-year statute of limitations within which the aggrieved person may bring an action, starting from the date of the alleged tortious act.

The bill allows someone to bring an action for tortious interference with an expected inheritance if the following conditions are met:

- 1. the person has an expectation of receiving an inheritance,
- 2. another person has knowledge of the expected inheritance and engages in conduct that demonstrates an intent to interfere with the expected inheritance,
- 3. that other person's conduct was tortious (i.e. a wrongful act or infringement of a right) causing the expected inheritance to fail, and
- 4. the person bringing the action suffered actual economic loss because of the other person's tortious conduct.

State law does not currently provide for this cause of action. However, tortious interference with an expected inheritance is recognized as a valid cause of action in the *Restatement of the Law Second*, *Torts* and is also generally recognized by the Superior Court. Additionally, the Connecticut Supreme Court recently specified the common law elements of the tort in a recent case before it, which are substantially similar to the elements outlined under the bill (see BACKGROUND).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2025

BACKGROUND

Restatement of the Law Second, Torts

The restatement specifies that a person is liable to another person for loss of inheritance or gift, if the person, by fraud, duress, or other tortious mean, intentionally prevents the other person from receiving an inheritance they would otherwise have received (Restatement (Second) of Torts § 774B).

Markowitz et al. v. Villa

In *Markowitz et al.* v. *Villa,* 63 Conn. L. Rptr. 787 (2017), the Superior Court (New Haven Judicial District) stated that based on the court's research, there is a split of authority amongst the judges of the Superior Court as to whether a claim of tortious interference with an expected inheritance is a valid cause of action in Connecticut, but the majority of the decisions considering this issue have held that it is a viable claim in Connecticut.

Elements of Tortious Interference With the Right of Inheritance

The Connecticut Supreme Court noted in *Solon* v. *Slater*, 345 Conn. 794 (2023), that Connecticut's Appellate Court has not yet addressed whether tortious interference with the right of inheritance is a cognizable cause of action. However, without deciding on that matter, the court stated that assuming the cause of action was recognized, the essential elements of tortious interference with the right of inheritance are "(1) an expected inheritance, (2) the defendant's knowledge of the expected inheritance, (3) the defendant's intent to interfere with the expected inheritance, (4) the interference was tortious, and (5) actual loss suffered by the plaintiff as a result of the defendant's tortious conduct."

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable

Yea 31 Nay 8 (04/10/2025)