

Senate

General Assembly

File No. 100

January Session, 2025

Substitute Senate Bill No. 1232

Senate, March 18, 2025

The Committee on Government Administration and Elections reported through SEN. FLEXER of the 29th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE RISK-LIMITING AUDITS WORKING GROUP.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (*Effective from passage*) (a) Not earlier than the fifteenth day 2 after any state election and not later than two business days before the 3 canvass of votes by the Secretary of the State, Treasurer and 4 Comptroller, commencing on a day designated by the Secretary, the 5 registrars of voters shall conduct a risk-limiting audit of such election. 6 Each such audit shall be noticed in advance and be open to public 7 observation. Any election official who participates in the administration 8 and conduct of an audit pursuant to this section shall be compensated 9 by the municipality at the standard rate of pay established by such 10 municipality for elections.

(b) (1) Except as provided in subdivision (2) of this subsection, the
offices subject to a risk-limiting audit pursuant to this section shall be
(A) the office of presidential elector, if applicable, (B) all applicable state

offices, as defined in section 9-372 of the general statutes, (C) at least one representative in Congress, selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of the State, (D) at least five per cent, in the aggregate, of the offices of state senator and state representative, selected in a random drawing by the Secretary, and (E) any other office required to be audited by federal law. Whenever an office is randomly selected by the Secretary under this subsection, the selection process shall be open to the public.

(2) (A) If an office of representative in Congress is subject to recanvass
or an election contest pursuant to any provision of the general statutes,
the Secretary of the State shall ensure such office is included in the office
or offices selected under subparagraph (C) of subdivision (1) of this
subsection.

(B) If an office of state senator or state representative is subject to
recanvass or an election contest pursuant to any provision of the general
statutes, the Secretary of the State shall ensure such office is included in
the offices selected under subparagraph (D) of subdivision (1) of this
subsection.

(c) Prior to the day designated by the Secretary of the State for the
commencement of the risk-limiting audit described in subsection (a) of
this section, the registrars of voters shall submit to the Secretary the
ballot manifests created under section 3 of this act.

35 (d) The risk-limiting audit described in subsection (a) of this section 36 shall be conducted in accordance with instructions and procedures 37 prescribed by the Secretary of the State not later than March 1, 2026, 38 which instructions and procedures shall be consistent across all offices 39 subject to such audit. The risk limit for each such audit shall be not more 40 than five per cent. The results of each audit conducted pursuant to this 41 section, including any such audit that produces an outcome of 42 "INCONCLUSIVE" as described in subsection (e) of this section, shall be 43 reported on a form and in a manner prescribed by the Secretary. Such 44 reported results shall be filed with the Secretary, who shall immediately 45 forward such reported results to The University of Connecticut for 46 analysis. The University of Connecticut shall submit to the Secretary a

written report regarding such analysis that describes any concerns
identified. After receipt of such written report, the Secretary shall
transmit a copy of such written report to the State Elections Enforcement
Commission.

51 (e) In the event a risk-limiting audit conducted pursuant to this 52 for produces section а particular office an outcome of 53 "INCONCLUSIVE", the Secretary of the State shall order a manual 54 recount of all ballots cast for such office.

55 (f) If the written report submitted by The University of Connecticut 56 under subsection (d) of this section indicates that a voting tabulator 57 failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by title 9 58 of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall require that the 59 voting tabulator be examined and recertified by the Secretary or the 60 Secretary's designee. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to 61 prohibit the Secretary from requiring that a voting tabulator be 62 examined and recertified.

(g) The audit results reported to the Secretary of the State pursuant to
subsection (d) of this section shall be open to public inspection and may
be used as prima facie evidence of an irregularity in any contest arising
pursuant to chapter 149 of the general statutes or for any other cause of
action arising from such election.

68 (h) If the audit officials are unable to reconcile the results from an 69 audit described in subsection (a) of this section with the outcome of the 70 person declared elected by virtue of having received the greatest 71 number of votes, as determined by the paper ballots, the Secretary of the 72 State shall conduct such further investigation of the voting tabulator as 73 may be necessary for the purpose of reviewing whether or not to 74 decertify the voting tabulator or tabulators in question or to order the 75 voting tabulator to be examined and recertified in accordance with 76 subsection (f) of this section. Any report produced by the Secretary as a 77 result of such investigation shall be filed with the State Elections 78 Enforcement Commission, and the commission may initiate such 79 further investigation in accordance with subdivision (1) of subsection 80 (a) of section 9-7b of the general statutes as may be required to
81 determine if any violations of the general statutes concerning election
82 law have been committed.

(i) The individual paper ballots used at an election shall be carefully
preserved and returned in their designated receptacle in accordance
with the requirements of section 9-266 or 9-310 of the general statutes,
as applicable.

(j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude any
candidate or elector from seeking additional remedies pursuant to
chapter 149 of the general statutes.

90 (k) After a state election, any voting tabulator may be kept locked for 91 a period longer than that prescribed by sections 9-266, 9-310 and 9-447 92 of the general statutes, if such an extended period is ordered by a court 93 of competent jurisdiction, the Secretary of the State or the State Elections 94 Enforcement Commission. Such court or the Secretary of the State may 95 order an audit of such voting tabulator to be conducted by such persons 96 as the court or the Secretary may designate, provided the State Elections 97 Enforcement Commission may order such an audit where the particular 98 office in question is that of the Secretary of the State. If the machine 99 utilized in such election is an optical scan voting system, such order to 100 lock such machine shall include the tabulator, memory card and all 101 other components and processes utilized in the programming of such 102 machine.

(l) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance
with the provisions of chapter 54 of the general statutes, for the conduct
of risk-limiting audits described in subsection (a) of this section and to
establish guidelines for expanded audits when the results from such a
risk-limiting audit cannot be reconciled with the outcome of the person
declared elected by virtue of having received the greatest number of
votes, as determined by the paper ballots.

(m) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, theSecretary of the State shall have access to the code in any voting machine

whenever any problem is discovered as a result of an audit described insubsection (a) of this section.

114 (n) As used in this section:

(1) "Risk-limiting audit" means a publicly verifiable auditing
procedure that (A) manually examines a statistical sample of paper
ballots that reflect the intents of the voters having cast such ballots, (B)
produces an outcome of either "ACCEPTABLE" or "INCONCLUSIVE",
and (C) guarantees a specified risk limit;

(2) "Risk limit" means the maximum probability that an audit would
produce an outcome of "ACCEPTABLE" when there is a disagreement
between the person declared elected and the person who received the
greatest number of votes as determined by the paper ballots; and

(3) "State election" has the same meaning as provided in section 9-1of the general statutes.

Sec. 2. Section 9-320f of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

128 (a) (1) Not earlier than the fifteenth day after any federal or state 129 [election or] primary and not later than two business days before the 130 canvass of votes by the Secretary of the State, Treasurer and 131 Comptroller, and (2) not earlier than the fifth day after any municipal 132 election or primary and not later than two business days before the 133 canvass of votes by the town clerk, the registrars of voters shall conduct 134 a manual audit, or an electronic audit authorized under section 9-320g, 135 as amended by this act, of the votes recorded in not less than five per 136 cent of the voting districts in the state, district or municipality, 137 whichever is applicable. For the purposes of this section, any central 138 location used in a municipality for the counting of absentee ballots, early 139 voting ballots or same-day election registration ballots shall be deemed 140 a voting district. Such manual or electronic audit shall be noticed in 141 advance and be open to public observation. Any election official who 142 participates in the administration and conduct of an audit pursuant to

this section shall be compensated by the municipality at the standardrate of pay established by such municipality for elections or primaries,as the case may be.

146 (b) The voting districts subject to an audit described in subsection (a) 147 of this section shall be selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of 148 the State and such selection process shall be open to the public. The 149 offices subject to an audit pursuant to this section shall be, (1) [in the 150 case of an election where the office of presidential elector is on the ballot, 151 all offices required to be audited by federal law, plus one additional 152 office selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of the State, but in no case less than three offices, (2) in the case of an election where the 153 154 office of Governor is on the ballot, all offices required to be audited by 155 federal law, plus one additional office selected in a random drawing by 156 the Secretary of the State, but in no case less than three offices, (3) in the 157 case of a municipal election, three offices or twenty per cent of the 158 number of offices on the ballot, whichever is greater, selected at random 159 by the municipal clerk, and [(4)] (2) in the case of a primary, [election,] all offices required to be audited by federal law, plus one additional 160 161 office, if any, but in no event less than twenty per cent of the offices on 162 the ballot, selected in a random drawing by the municipal clerk.

(c) If a selected voting district has an office that is subject to recanvass
or an election or primary contest pursuant to <u>any provision of</u> the
general statutes, the Secretary <u>of the State</u> shall select an alternative
district, pursuant to the process described in subsection (b) of this
section.

168 (d) The manual or electronic audit described in subsection (a) of this 169 section shall consist of the manual or electronic tabulation of the paper 170 ballots cast and counted by each voting tabulator subject to such audit. 171 Once complete, the vote totals established pursuant to such manual or 172 electronic tabulation shall be compared to the results reported by the 173 voting tabulator on the day of the election or primary. The results of 174 such manual or electronic tabulation shall be reported on a form 175 prescribed by the Secretary of the State which shall include the total 176 number of ballots counted, the total votes received by each candidate in 177 question, the total votes received by each candidate in question on 178 ballots that were properly completed by each voter and the total votes 179 received by each candidate in question on ballots that were not properly 180 completed by each voter. Such [report] reported results shall be filed 181 with the Secretary, [of the State] who shall immediately forward such 182 [report] reported results to The University of Connecticut for analysis. 183 The University of Connecticut shall [file] submit to the Secretary a 184 written report [with the Secretary of the State] regarding such analysis 185 that describes any discrepancies identified. After receipt of such written 186 report, the Secretary [of the State shall file such report with] shall 187 transmit a copy of such written report to the State Elections Enforcement 188 Commission.

(e) For the purposes of this section, a ballot that has not been properly
completed will be deemed to be a ballot on which (1) votes have been
marked by the voter outside the vote targets, (2) votes have been marked
by the voter using a manual marking device that cannot be read by the
voting tabulator, or (3) in the judgment of the registrars of voters, the
voter marked the ballot in such a manner that the voting tabulator may
not have read the marks as votes cast.

196 (f) Notwithstanding the provisions of section 9-311, the Secretary of 197 the State shall order a discrepancy recanvass of the returns of an election 198 or primary for any office if a discrepancy, as defined in subsection (o) of 199 this section, exists where the margin of victory in the race for such office 200 is less than the amount of the discrepancy multiplied by the total number of voting districts where such race appeared on the ballot, 201 provided in a year in which the Secretary of the State is a candidate for 202 203 an office on the ballot and that office is subject to an audit as provided 204 by this section, the State Elections Enforcement Commission shall order 205 a discrepancy recanvass if a discrepancy, as defined by subsection (o) of 206 this section, has occurred that could affect the outcome of the election or 207 primary for such office.

208 (g) If <u>the written report submitted by</u> The University of Connecticut

[report described in] <u>under</u> subsection (d) of this section indicates that a voting tabulator failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by [the general statutes] <u>this title</u>, the Secretary of the State shall require that the voting tabulator be examined and recertified by the Secretary [of the State,] or the Secretary's designee. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to prohibit the Secretary [of the State] from requiring that a voting tabulator be examined and recertified.

(h) The audit [report filed] <u>results reported to the Secretary of the</u>
<u>State</u> pursuant to subsection (d) of this section shall be open to public
inspection and may be used as prima facie evidence of a discrepancy in
any contest arising pursuant to chapter 149 or for any other cause of
action arising from such election or primary.

221 (i) If the audit officials are unable to reconcile the manual or electronic 222 count from an audit described in subsection (a) of this section with the 223 electronic vote tabulation and discrepancies from the election or 224 primary, the Secretary of the State shall conduct such further 225 investigation of the voting tabulator malfunction as may be necessary 226 for the purpose of reviewing whether or not to decertify the voting 227 tabulator or tabulators in question or to order the voting tabulator to be 228 examined and recertified [pursuant to] in accordance with subsection 229 (g) of this section. Any report produced by the Secretary [of the State] as 230 a result of such investigation shall be filed with the State Elections 231 Enforcement Commission and the commission may initiate such further 232 investigation in accordance with subdivision (1) of subsection (a) of 233 section 9-7b as may be required to determine if any violations of the 234 general statutes concerning election law have been committed.

(j) The individual paper ballots used at an election or primary shall
be carefully preserved and returned in their designated receptacle in
accordance with the requirements of section 9-266 or 9-310, [whichever
is] <u>as</u> applicable.

(k) Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude anycandidate or elector from seeking additional remedies pursuant tochapter 149.

242 (1) After an election or primary described in subsection (a) of this 243 section, any voting tabulator may be kept locked for a period longer 244 than that prescribed by sections 9-266, 9-310 and 9-447, if such an 245 extended period is ordered by [either] a court of competent jurisdiction, 246 the Secretary of the State or the State Elections Enforcement 247 Commission. [Either the] Such court or the Secretary [of the State] may 248 order an audit of such voting tabulator to be conducted by such persons 249 as the court or the Secretary of the State may designate, provided the 250 State Elections Enforcement Commission may order such an audit 251 under the circumstances prescribed in subsection (f) of this section. If 252 the machine utilized in such election or primary is an optical scan voting 253 system, such order to lock such machine shall include the tabulator, 254 memory card and all other components and processes utilized in the 255 programming of such machine.

(m) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54, [as may be necessary] for the conduct of the manual or electronic tabulation of the paper ballots described in subsection (a) of this section and to establish guidelines for expanded audits when there are differences between the manual or electronic counts from the audit described in subsection (a) of this section and tabulator counts from the election or primary.

(n) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, the
Secretary of the State shall have access to the code in any voting machine
whenever any problem is discovered as a result of an audit described in
subsection (a) of this section.

267 (o) As used in this section: [, "discrepancy"]

(1) "Discrepancy" means any difference in vote totals between tabulator counts from an election or primary and manual or electronic counts from an audit described in subsection (a) of this section in a voting district that exceeds one-half of one per cent of the lesser amount of the vote totals between such tabulator counts and such manual or electronic counts where such differences cannot be resolved through an accounting of ballots that were not marked properly in accordance with

275 276	subsection (e) of this section; [, "state election" means "state election", as defined in section 9-1, "municipal election"]
277 278	(2) "Municipal election" means a municipal election held pursuant to section 9-164; [, "manual"]
279 280	(3) "Manual" means by hand and without the assistance of electronic equipment; and ["electronic"]
281 282	(4) "Electronic" means through the use of equipment described in section 9-320g, as amended by this act.
283 284 285 286	Sec. 3. (NEW) (<i>Effective January 1, 2026</i>) (a) Except in the case of a recanvass subject to the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, not later than seventy-two hours after the close of the polls at each state election, as defined in section 9-1 of the general statutes:
287 288 289 290 291 292	(1) The election officials in each polling place shall create a ballot manifest for such polling place by manually verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the result publicly announced by the moderator under section 9-309 of the general statutes and recording such number on such ballot manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Secretary of the State; and
293 294 295 296 297 298	(2) The absentee ballot counters, and the ballot counters for early voting ballots and same-day election registration ballots, in each central counting location shall create a ballot manifest for such central counting location by manually verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the result publicly declared by the moderator under subsection (b) of section 9-150b of the general statutes and recording such number on

such ballot manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the 300 Secretary of the State.

299

301 (b) Not later than twenty-four hours after the completion of any 302 recanvass conducted at a state election in a voting district, the recanvass 303 officials shall create a ballot manifest for such district by manually 304 verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the vote announced 305 by the moderator under subdivision (1) of subsection (c) of section 9-311

of the general statutes and recording such number on such ballot
manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Secretary of
the State.

309 (c) All ballot manifest creation procedures shall be open to public310 observation.

311 (d) Immediately after a ballot manifest has been created pursuant to
312 this section, the moderator shall submit such ballot manifest to the
313 registrars of voters.

(e) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance
with the provisions of chapter 54 of the general statutes, to carry out the
purposes of this section.

Sec. 4. Section 9-323 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

319 Any elector or candidate who claims that he or she is aggrieved by 320 any ruling of any election official in connection with any election for 321 presidential electors and for a senator in Congress and for 322 representative in Congress or any of them, held in his or her town, or 323 that there was a mistake in the count of the votes cast at such election 324 for candidates for such electors, senator in Congress and representative 325 in Congress, or any of them, at any voting district in his or her town, or 326 any candidate for such an office who claims that he or she is aggrieved 327 by a violation of any provision of section 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 328 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such election, 329 may bring his or her complaint to any judge of the Supreme Court, in 330 which he or she shall set out the claimed errors of such election official, 331 the claimed errors in the count or the claimed violations of said sections. 332 In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this section, the 333 complainant shall file a certification attached to the complaint indicating 334 that a copy of the complaint has been sent by first-class mail or delivered 335 to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is 336 made prior to such election, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to 337 render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing

338 to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections 339 Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to the 340 election, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after the election 341 or, if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of 342 paper ballots authorized] an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, 343 as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be 344 brought not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual 345 tabulation] audit, and in either such circumstance, the judge shall 346 forthwith order a hearing to be had upon such complaint, upon a day 347 not more than five or less than three days from the making of such order, 348 and shall cause notice of not less than three or more than five days to be 349 given to any candidate or candidates whose election may be affected by 350 the decision upon such hearing, to such election official, to the Secretary of the State, to the State Elections Enforcement Commission and to any 351 352 other party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties thereto, 353 of the time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such judge, 354 with two other judges of the Supreme Court to be designated by the 355 Chief Court Administrator, shall, on the day fixed for such hearing and 356 without unnecessary delay, proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient 357 reason is shown, such judges may order any voting tabulators to be 358 unlocked or any ballot boxes to be opened and a recount of the votes 359 cast, including absentee ballots, to be made. Such judges shall 360 thereupon, in the case they, or any two of them, find any error in the 361 rulings of the election official, any mistake in the count of such votes or 362 any violation of said sections, certify the result of their finding or 363 decision, or the finding or decision of a majority of them, to the Secretary 364 of the State before the first Tuesday after the second Wednesday in 365 December. Such judges may order a new election or a change in the 366 existing election schedule, provided such order complies with Section 302 of the Help America Vote Act, P.L. 107-252, as amended from time 367 368 to time. Such certificate of such judges, or a majority of them, shall be 369 final upon all questions relating to the rulings of such election officials, 370 to the correctness of such count and, for the purposes of this section 371 only, such claimed violations, and shall operate to correct the returns of 372 the moderators or presiding officers so as to conform to such finding or

373 decision.

Sec. 5. Section 9-324 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

376 Any elector or candidate who claims that such elector or candidate is 377 aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with any 378 election for Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of the State, State 379 Treasurer, Attorney General, State Comptroller or judge of probate, held 380 in such elector's or candidate's town, or that there has been a mistake in 381 the count of the votes cast at such election for candidates for said offices 382 or any of them, at any voting district in such elector's or candidate's 383 town, or any candidate for such an office who claims that such candidate 384 is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of section 9-355, 9-357 to 9-385 361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at 386 such election or any candidate for the office of Governor, Lieutenant 387 Governor, Secretary of the State, State Treasurer, Attorney General or 388 State Comptroller, who claims that such candidate is aggrieved by a 389 violation of any provision of sections 9-700 to 9-716, inclusive, may bring 390 such elector's or candidate's complaint to any judge of the Superior 391 Court, in which such elector or candidate shall set out the claimed errors of such election official, the claimed errors in the count or the claimed 392 393 violations of said sections. In any action brought pursuant to the 394 provisions of this section, the complainant shall send a copy of the 395 complaint by first-class mail, or deliver a copy of the complaint by hand, 396 to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is 397 made prior to such election, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to 398 render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing 399 to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections 400 Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to the 401 election, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after the election 402 or, if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of 403 paper ballots authorized] an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be 404405 brought not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual 406 tabulation] audit and, in either such circumstance, such judge shall

407 forthwith order a hearing to be had upon such complaint, upon a day 408 not more than five nor less than three days from the making of such 409 order, and shall cause notice of not less than three nor more than five 410 days to be given to any candidate or candidates whose election may be 411 affected by the decision upon such hearing, to such election official, the 412 Secretary of the State, the State Elections Enforcement Commission and 413 to any other party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties 414 thereto, of the time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such 415 judge shall, on the day fixed for such hearing and without unnecessary 416 delay, proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient reason is shown, such 417 judge may order any voting tabulators to be unlocked or any ballot 418 boxes to be opened and a recount of the votes cast, including absentee 419 ballots, to be made. Such judge shall thereupon, in case such judge finds 420 any error in the rulings of the election official, any mistake in the count 421 of the votes or any violation of said sections, certify the result of such 422 judge's finding or decision to the Secretary of the State before the 423 fifteenth day of the next succeeding December. Such judge may order a 424 new election or a change in the existing election schedule. Such 425 certificate of such judge of such judge's finding or decision shall be final 426 and conclusive upon all questions relating to errors in the rulings of 427 such election officials, to the correctness of such count, and, for the 428 purposes of this section only, such claimed violations, and shall operate 429 to correct the returns of the moderators or presiding officers, so as to 430 conform to such finding or decision, unless the same is appealed from 431 as provided in section 9-325.

432 Sec. 6. Section 9-328 of the general statutes is repealed and the 433 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

Any elector or candidate claiming to have been aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with an election for any municipal office or a primary for justice of the peace, or any elector or candidate claiming that there has been a mistake in the count of votes cast for any such office at such election or primary, or any candidate in such an election or primary claiming that he is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of sections 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a

441 or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such election or primary, 442 may bring a complaint to any judge of the Superior Court for relief 443 therefrom. In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this 444 section, the complainant shall send a copy of the complaint by first-class 445 mail, or deliver a copy of the complaint by hand, to the State Elections 446 Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such 447 election or primary, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render 448 judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be 449 given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement 450 Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to such election or 451 primary, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after such 452 election or primary, except that if such complaint is brought in response 453 to [the manual tabulation of paper ballots, authorized] an audit 454 conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 455 <u>1 of this act</u>, such complaint shall be brought not later than seven days 456 after the close of any such [manual tabulation] audit, to any judge of the 457 Superior Court, in which he shall set out the claimed errors of the 458 election official, the claimed errors in the count or the claimed violations 459 of said sections. Such judge shall forthwith order a hearing to be had 460 upon such complaint, upon a day not more than five nor less than three 461 days from the making of such order, and shall cause notice of not less 462 than three nor more than five days to be given to any candidate or 463 candidates whose election or nomination may be affected by the 464 decision upon such hearing, to such election official, the Secretary of the 465 State, the State Elections Enforcement Commission and to any other 466 party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties thereto, of the 467 time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such judge shall, 468 on the day fixed for such hearing and without unnecessary delay, 469 proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient reason is shown, he may order 470 any voting tabulators to be unlocked or any ballot boxes to be opened 471 and a recount of the votes cast, including absentee ballots, to be made. 472 Such judge shall thereupon, if he finds any error in the rulings of the 473 election official or any mistake in the count of the votes, certify the result 474 of his finding or decision to the Secretary of the State before the tenth 475 day succeeding the conclusion of the hearing. Such judge may order a

476 new election or primary or a change in the existing election schedule. 477 Such certificate of such judge of his finding or decision shall be final and 478 conclusive upon all questions relating to errors in the ruling of such 479 election officials, to the correctness of such count, and, for the purposes 480 of this section only, such claimed violations, and shall operate to correct 481 the returns of the moderators or presiding officers, so as to conform to 482 such finding or decision, except that this section shall not affect the right 483 of appeal to the Supreme Court and it shall not prevent such judge from 484 reserving such questions of law for the advice of the Supreme Court as 485 provided in section 9-325. Such judge may, if necessary, issue his writ of 486 mandamus, requiring the adverse party and those under him to deliver 487 to the complainant the appurtenances of such office, and shall cause his 488 finding and decree to be entered on the records of the Superior Court in 489 the proper judicial district.

Sec. 7. Subsection (a) of section 9-329a of the general statutes is
repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January*1, 2026):

(a) Any (1) elector or candidate aggrieved by a ruling of an election 493 494 official in connection with any primary held pursuant to (A) section 9-495 423, 9-425 or 9-464, or (B) a special act, (2) elector or candidate who 496 alleges that there has been a mistake in the count of the votes cast at such 497 primary, or (3) candidate in such a primary who alleges that he is 498 aggrieved by a violation of any provision of sections 9-355, 9-357 to 9-499 361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such primary, may bring his complaint to any judge of the Superior 500 501 Court for appropriate action. In any action brought pursuant to the 502 provisions of this section, the complainant shall file a certification 503 attached to the complaint indicating that a copy of the complaint has 504 been sent by first-class mail or delivered to the State Elections 505 Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such 506 primary such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render judgment on 507 the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be given to the 508 Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement Commission. 509 If such complaint is made subsequent to such primary it shall be

510 brought, not later than fourteen days after such primary, or if such 511 complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of paper 512 ballots, described in] <u>an audit conducted pursuant to</u> section 9-320f, <u>as</u> 513 <u>amended by this act, or section 1 of this act</u>, such complaint shall be 514 brought, not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual 515 tabulation] <u>audit</u>, to any judge of the Superior Court.

516 Sec. 8. Subsection (b) of section 9-3 of the general statutes is repealed 517 and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

518 (b) During any municipal, state or federal election, primary or 519 recanvass, or any audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, the Secretary of the State 520 521 may issue an order, whether orally or in writing, to any registrar of 522 voters or moderator to correct any irregularity or impropriety in the 523 conduct of such election, primary or recanvass or audit. Any such order 524 shall be effective upon issuance. As soon as practicable after issuance of 525 an oral order pursuant to this subsection, the Secretary shall reduce such 526 order to writing, cite within such order any applicable provision of law 527 authorizing such order and cause a copy of such written order to be 528 delivered to the individual who is the subject of such order or, in the 529 case that such order was originally issued in writing, issue a subsequent 530 written order that conforms to such requirements. The Superior Court, 531 on application of the Secretary or the Attorney General, may enforce by 532 appropriate decree or process any such order issued pursuant to this 533 subsection.

Sec. 9. Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of section 9-229 of the general
statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof
(*Effective January 1, 2026*):

(3) The duties of each regional election advisor shall include, but not be limited to: (A) Holding the instructional sessions described in subdivision (2) of this subsection; (B) communicating with registrars of voters to assist, to the extent permitted under law, in preparations for and operations of any election, primary or recanvass, or any audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 543 <u>1 of this act</u>; and (C) transmitting any order issued by the Secretary of
544 the State, pursuant to subsection (b) of section 9-3, as amended by this
545 <u>act</u>.

546 Sec. 10. Subsection (a) of section 9-229b of the general statutes is
547 repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January*548 1, 2026):

549 (a) Any regional council of governments organized under the 550 provisions of sections 4-124i to 4-124p, inclusive, may appoint a regional 551 election advisor, who shall represent, consult with and act on behalf of 552 such regional council of governments and any combination of regional 553 councils of governments or member towns of regional councils of 554 governments that may seek the assistance of such regional election 555 advisor. A regional election advisor shall consult and coordinate with 556 the Secretary of the State to provide such assistance in preparations for 557 and operations of any election, primary or recanvass, or any audit 558 conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 559 1 of this act.

560 Sec. 11. Section 9-320g of the general statutes is repealed and the 561 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

562 Notwithstanding any provision of this title, the Secretary of the State, 563 in consultation and coordination with The University of Connecticut, 564 may authorize the use of electronic equipment for the purpose of 565 conducting any audit required pursuant to section 9-320f₂ [for any 566 primary or general election held on or after January 1, 2016] as amended 567 by this act, or section 1 of this act, provided (1) the Secretary of the State 568 prescribes specifications for (A) the testing, set-up and operation of such 569 equipment, and (B) the training of election officials in the use of such 570 equipment; and (2) the Secretary of the State and The University of 571 Connecticut agree that such equipment is sufficient in quantity to 572 accommodate the total number of audits to be conducted. Nothing in this section shall preclude any candidate or elector from seeking 573 574 additional remedies pursuant to chapter 149 as a result of any 575 information revealed by such process.

Sec. 12. (*Effective July 1, 2025*) The Secretary of the State shall establish 576 577 a pilot program for the conduct of risk-limiting audits at municipal 578 elections in 2025. The Secretary shall randomly select three 579 municipalities for participation in such pilot program, provided the 580 Secretary shall select: (1) One municipality with a population of less 581 than twenty thousand; (2) one municipality with a population of twenty 582 thousand or greater, but less than ninety thousand; and (3) one 583 municipality with a population of ninety thousand or greater. For the 584 purposes of this section, "risk-limiting audit" has the same meaning as 585 provided in section 1 of this act and "population" means the estimated 586 number of people according to the most recent version of the State 587 Register and Manual prepared pursuant to section 3-90 of the general 588 statutes.

sections:						
sections.						
Section 1	from passage	New section				
Sec. 2	January 1, 2026	9-320f				
Sec. 3	January 1, 2026	New section				
Sec. 4	January 1, 2026	9-323				
Sec. 5	January 1, 2026	9-324				
Sec. 6	January 1, 2026	9-328				
Sec. 7	January 1, 2026	9-329a(a)				
Sec. 8	January 1, 2026	9-3(b)				
Sec. 9	January 1, 2026	9-229(b)(3)				
Sec. 10	January 1, 2026	9-229b(a)				
Sec. 11	January 1, 2026	9-320g				
Sec. 12	July 1, 2025	New section				

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In Section 12, "risk-limiting audit" was defined for clarity.

GAE Joint Favorable Subst. The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$			
Secretary of the State	GF - Cost	1,420,000	205,000			
Note: GF=General Fund						

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Various Municipalities	STATE	2,000	88,000
	MANDATE ¹		
	- Cost		

Explanation

This bill implements risk limiting audits (RLA) and results in costs to the Secretary of the State (SOTS) of \$1.4 million in FY 26 and \$205,000 in FY 27 and annually thereafter. There will also be a cost of \$2,000 in FY 26 and \$88,000 in FY 27 to municipalities to support the implementation of risk limiting audits.

This will require a one-time cost to support equipment for municipalities of \$1,000,000 in FY 26. This includes equipment to be paid for by the Secretary of the State and distributed to municipalities. There will be an additional one-time cost of \$15,000 for software to support a pilot program and \$200,000 to support the initial development of the program in FY 26. The development costs, which are paid by SOTS but

¹ State mandate is defined in Sec. 2-32b(2) of the Connecticut General Statutes, "state mandate" means any state initiated constitutional, statutory or executive action that requires a local government to establish, expand or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues.

ultimately borne by University of Connecticut Voter Center, are associated with developing and testing auditing software and processes and conducting the audits.

The bill creates several ongoing costs, including \$80,000 in FY 26 and FY 27 for risk limiting audit software licensing. There will be an additional cost of \$125,000 in FY 26 and FY 27 associated with ongoing maintenance and upkeep costs.

The bill alters standards of risk limiting audits and results in additional costs to municipalities. This comes primarily from the additional cost of labor to complete risk limiting audits. The bill requires that the officials administering and conducting the risk limiting audit must be compensated at the municipalities standard rate of pay for electors. In FY 26, this is limited to a pilot program encompassing three municipalities with an expected cost of \$2,000. Once implemented, the cost depends on the requirements of the risk limiting audits, the number of them conducted and the level of compensation for the election officials within each municipality is estimated at around \$88,000 if a statewide office were to require a risk limiting audit².

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue subject to inflation and the number of and complexity of risk limiting audits carried out annually. In addition, there is an anticipated to be an average annual replacement cost of \$155,000 for the equipment; the exact cost will vary year-to-year.

² This figure assumes five employees working for four hours in each town, to complete the RLA. This assumes the estimated hourly rate and is anticipated to cost approximately \$88,000.

OLR Bill Analysis

sSB 1232

AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE RISK-LIMITING AUDITS WORKING GROUP.

SUMMARY

This bill implements risk-limiting audits (RLAs) for state elections but generally maintains the existing post-election audit process for federal and state primaries and municipal elections. RLAs are publicly verifiable auditing procedures that manually examine a statistical sample of paper ballots and guarantee a specified risk limit, which the bill caps at 5%.

To accomplish this, the bill establishes the general scope and procedures for RLAs, such as (1) outlining election officials' duties and the affected public offices; (2) requiring the creation of ballot manifests; and (3) applying existing provisions for post-election audits to RLAs (e.g., those on using electronic equipment and voting tabulators and how to address election contests). The bill requires the secretary of the state (SOTS) to prescribe instructions and procedures for doing the audits. It also allows her to adopt related regulations.

The bill establishes a pilot program to do RLAs of municipal elections in 2025. It requires SOTS to randomly select three municipalities for the program, with one municipality for each of the following population ranges, as estimated in the most recent State Register and Manual: (1) less than 20,000; (2) 20,000-89,999; and (3) 90,000 or greater (§ 12).

Lastly, the bill makes minor, technical, and conforming changes to existing election audit statutes, such as requiring a copy, rather than the original, of UConn's analysis to be submitted to the State Elections Enforcement Commission (SEEC). EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2026, except that provisions implementing RLAs for state elections are effective upon passage and the pilot program is effective July 1, 2025.

RISK-LIMITING AUDIT SCOPE

Definitions

The bill requires registrars of voters to do RLAs for state elections, instead of the current post-election audit process. Federal and state primaries, as well as municipal elections and primaries, remain subject to existing auditing requirements (see BACKGROUND). Under the bill, an RLA is a publicly verifiable auditing procedure that (1) manually examines a statistical sample of paper ballots that reflect the intents of the voters who cast the ballots, (2) produces an outcome of either "ACCEPTABLE" or "INCONCLUSIVE", and (3) guarantees a specified risk limit.

The "risk limit" is the maximum probability that an audit would produce an outcome of "ACCEPTABLE" when there is a disagreement between the person declared elected and the person who got the most votes as determined by the paper ballots (i.e. the percentage chance an RLA will fail to catch that the reported results are incorrect). Under the bill, the risk limit for RLAs is capped at 5% (presumably, the secretary will set the specific limit in her prescribed procedures or in regulation; see below).

Covered Offices

Under the bill, an RLA must be done on the election outcomes for the following offices:

- 1. presidential elector;
- 2. all state offices for which all electors of the state may vote, including governor, lieutenant governor, SOTS, treasurer, comptroller, attorney general, and senator in Congress;
- 3. at least one representative in Congress, selected by random draw;
- 4. at least 5% of the General Assembly, selected by random draw;

and

5. any other office federal law requires to be audited.

If an office for a Congressional representative or state legislator is subject to recanvass or an election contest, the secretary must ensure that office is selected for an RLA. The bill requires the random draws to be open to the public.

RISK-LIMITING AUDIT PROCEDURES Pre-Audit Ballot Manifests

The bill requires election officials to create ballot manifests for use at RLAs following procedures established by SOTS and open to public observation. Although not defined by the bill, a "ballot manifest" is generally a detailed description of how ballots are stored and organized, listing at minimum the physical location of every ballot cast in the election so that individual ballots or batches of ballot cards can be found, retrieved, and examined manually.

Under the bill, a ballot manifest must be created by manually verifying and recording the number of ballots cast that comprise the result publicly announced by the moderator. The manifests must be created by:

- 1. election officials in each polling place within 72 hours after the polls close;
- 2. ballot counters in each central counting location for absentee, early voting, or same-day election registration ballots within 72 hours after the polls close; and
- 3. recanvass officials within 24 hours after completing any recanvass in a voting district for a state election.

Under the bill, the moderator must submit a ballot manifest to the registrars of voters immediately after creating it. The registrars must then submit it to SOTS before the designated day to begin an RLA.

The bill allows SOTS to adopt regulations to implement these provisions.

Designated Day

Like post-election audits for federal and state races, the bill requires the secretary to designate a day for an RLA to begin, which must be at least 15 days after a state election but at least two business days before the canvass of votes. Each audit must have advance notice and be open to the public.

Conducting an Audit

Under the bill, registrars of voters must do the RLAs. SOTS must prescribe instructions and procedures for doing them by March 1, 2026, consistent for all offices subject to these audits. The bill also allows her to adopt regulations for the audits and to set guidelines for expanded audits when audit results cannot be reconciled with the outcome of the person declared elected by having the greatest number of votes, as determined by the paper ballots (i.e. the "reported results").

If an RLA for a particular office is "INCONCLUSIVE," the secretary must order a manual recount of all ballots cast for that office. She may also issue an order, as under current law, to correct any irregularity or impropriety from an RLA.

Reporting Results

As under current law, all audit results, including RLAs, must be filed with the secretary on a form she prescribes. The secretary must immediately forward the results to UConn, which must analyze them and submit a written report describing any identified concerns to the secretary. She must then send SEEC a copy of UConn's written report.

Electronic Equipment and Voting Tabulators

The bill extends several of existing law's provisions on using electronic equipment and voting tabulators in post-election audits to also cover RLAs (CGS §§ 9-320f & 9-320g). Principally, it:

1. allows the secretary, after consulting with UConn, to authorize

the use of electronic equipment;

- 2. requires her to have access to the code in any voting machine if a problem is discovered due to an RLA;
- 3. directs her or her designee to examine and recertify a tabulator if UConn's analysis indicates that it failed to record votes accurately and as required by state law;
- 4. requires carefully preserving and returning paper ballots used in an RLA in their designated receptacle (e.g., returned to the ballot box, securely sealed, and locked);
- 5. requires the secretary, if audit officials cannot reconcile the audit results with the reported results, to investigate voting tabulators as needed to determine if they must be (a) decertified or (b) examined and recertified; and
- 6. authorizes the secretary, SEEC, or a court with competent jurisdiction to issue an order after a state election to keep a voting tabulator locked for a longer period than law requires.

The bill allows either the court or the secretary to order an audit of the voting tabulator by people they designate, but SEEC may order an audit if SOTS is the office in question. If the secretary produces a report on the investigation, it must be filed with SEEC, which may investigate further to determine if there was an election law violation.

Under the bill, if the machine in question is an optical scan voting system, an order to lock it must include the tabulator, memory card, and all other parts and processes used in its programming.

ELECTION OFFICIALS

Compensation

Under the bill, municipalities must compensate election officials who participate in implementing an RLA at the municipality's standard rate of pay for elections.

Regional Election Advisors

sSB1232

As with post-election audits, the bill requires regional election advisors to consult and coordinate with the secretary in the preparation for and operation of RLAs.

ELECTION COMPLAINTS AND EVIDENCE

Like post-election audits, the bill requires RLA-reported results to be open to public inspection and allows them to be used as prima facie evidence of an irregularity for a contested election or other cause of action from an election.

The bill also specifies that (1) an action or complaint may be brought in response to any state election audit, not just the manual tabulations of paper ballots, and (2) its RLA provisions do not preclude a candidate or elector from seeking other existing remedies for contested elections.

BACKGROUND

Post-Election Audit Procedure

Under current law, the secretary must audit at least 5% of the state's voting districts (i.e. polling locations), selected at random after a federal, state, or municipal regular election or primary. Audits must be noticed in advance and open for public observation. Registrars of voters must do the audits by hand unless the secretary, in consultation with UConn, authorizes them to be done electronically (CGS § 9-320f).

During the audit, registrars tally the paper ballots cast by voters and counted by each optical scan voting tabulator subject to the audit. They compare their results to the reported results. Registrars must report the audit results on a secretary-prescribed form with the total number of ballots counted and the total votes for each audited candidate, broken down by whether the ballot was properly or improperly completed.

After a post-election audit, the secretary must order a recount (i.e. recanvass) for an office if there is a discrepancy that could affect its outcome. (If the secretary is a candidate on the ballot that is subject to an audit, SEEC orders the recount.) For this purpose, a "discrepancy" is

a difference between the voting tabulator and audit vote counts that exceeds 0.5% of the lower total, where the difference cannot be resolved through an accounting of ballots that were improperly marked (CGS § 9-320f(f) & (o)).

COMMITTEE ACTION

Government Administration and Elections Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 19 Nay 0 (02/28/2025)