OFFICE OF FISCAL ANALYSIS

Legislative Office Building, Room 5200 Hartford, CT 06106 ◊ (860) 240-0200 http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa

sSB-1516

AN ACT CONCERNING THE SECRETARY OF THE STATE'S RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO VOTING AND ELECTIONS IN THIS STATE.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Secretary of the State	GF - Cost	250,000	250,000
Secretary of the State	GF - Potential	See Below	See Below
	Cost		
Judicial Dept. (Probation)	GF - Potential	Minimal	Minimal
	Cost		
Resources of the General Fund	GF - Potential	Minimal	Minimal
	Revenue Gain		

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill makes a variety of changes to the states election statutes and results in the fiscal impacts outlined below.

Section 4 expands an existing class C misdemeanor related to behavior at or near polling places, results in a potential cost to the Judicial Department for probation and a potential revenue gain to the General Fund from fines. On average, the marginal cost for supervision in the community is less than \$600¹ each year for adults and \$450 each

¹ Probation marginal cost is based on services provided by private providers and only includes costs that increase with each additional participant. This does not include a cost for additional supervision by a probation officer unless a new offense is anticipated to result in enough additional offenders to require additional probation officers.

year for juveniles. Few, if any, violations are expected.

Section 22 requires the Secretary of the State (SOTS) to hire and install an election monitor for Bridgeport and to conduct a bilingual voter information campaign at a cost of \$250,000 in FY 26 and FY 27. The Election Monitor² will oversee covered elections, conduct investigations as required, and report any irregularities. The public information campaign³ will inform voters in Bridgeport of their rights under Title 9 in both English and Spanish.

Section 24 empowers SOTS to commence a declaratory judgment action in court under certain circumstances resulting in a potential cost to the state. The exact cost will depend on the number of declaratory judgements pursued.

The remaining sections of the bill make a variety of changes that result in no fiscal impact to the state or municipalities.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation, actual violations, and declaratory judgements pursued.

² The election monitor is expected to cost \$150,000 in FY 26 and FY 27.

³ The public information campaign is expected to cost \$100,000 in FY 26 and FY 27.