

General Assembly

Substitute Bill No. 2

January Session, 2025



AN ACT CONCERNING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) For the purposes of this section and sections 2 to 10, inclusive, of this act, unless the context
- 3 otherwise requires:

18

19

- 4 (1) "Algorithmic discrimination" (A) means any use of an artificial 5 intelligence system that results in any unlawful differential treatment or 6 impact that disfavors any individual or group of individuals on the basis of one or more classifications protected under the laws of this state or 8 federal law, and (B) does not include (i) the offer, license or use of a highrisk artificial intelligence system by a developer, integrator or deployer 9 10 for the sole purpose of (I) the developer's, integrator's or deployer's 11 testing to identify, mitigate or prevent discrimination or otherwise 12 ensure compliance with state and federal law, or (II) expanding an 13 applicant, customer or participant pool to increase diversity or redress 14 historic discrimination, or (ii) an act or omission by or on behalf of a 15 private club or other establishment not in fact open to the public, as set 16 forth in Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC 2000a(e), as 17 amended from time to time;
 - (2) "Artificial intelligence system" means any machine-based system that, for any explicit or implicit objective, infers from the inputs such

LCO 1 of 61

system receives how to generate outputs, including, but not limited to, content, decisions, predictions or recommendations, that can influence physical or virtual environments;

20

21

22

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

- 23 (3) "Consequential decision" means any decision or judgment that has 24 a material legal or similarly significant effect on a consumer with respect 25 to (A) access to employment, including, but not limited to, any such 26 judgment made concerning hiring, termination, 27 compensation or promotion, (B) access to education or vocational 28 training, including, but not limited to, any such decision or judgment 29 made concerning admissions, financial aid or scholarships, (C) the 30 provision or denial, or terms and conditions, of (i) financial lending or 31 credit services, (ii) housing or lodging, including, but not limited to, 32 rentals or short-term housing or lodging, (iii) insurance, or (iv) legal 33 services, or (D) access to (i) essential government services, or (ii) health 34 care services;
- 35 (4) "Consumer" means any individual who is a resident of this state;
- 36 (5) "Deploy" means to put a high-risk artificial intelligence system 37 into use;
- 38 (6) "Deployer" means any person doing business in this state that 39 deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system in this state;
- 40 (7) "Developer" means any person doing business in this state that 41 develops, or intentionally and substantially modifies, an artificial 42 intelligence system;
 - (8) "General-purpose artificial intelligence model" (A) means a model used by an artificial intelligence system that (i) displays significant generality, (ii) is capable of competently performing a wide range of distinct tasks, and (iii) can be integrated into a variety of downstream applications or systems, and (B) does not include any artificial intelligence model that is used for development, prototyping and research activities before such artificial intelligence model is released on the market;

LCO 2 of 61

(9) "High-risk artificial intelligence system" (A) means any artificial intelligence system that is intended, when deployed, to make, or be a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision, and (B) unless the technology or system, when deployed, makes, or is a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision, does not include (i) any anti-fraud technology that does not make use of facial recognition technology, (ii) any artificial intelligence-enabled video game technology, (iii) any antimalware, anti-virus, calculator, cybersecurity, database, data storage, firewall, Internet domain registration, Internet-web-site loading, networking, robocall-filtering, spam-filtering, spellchecking, spreadsheet, web-caching, web-hosting or similar technology, (iv) any technology that performs tasks exclusively related to an entity's internal management affairs, including, but not limited to, ordering office supplies or processing payments, (v) any system that classifies incoming documents into categories, is used to detect duplicate applications among a large number of applications or otherwise performs narrow tasks of such a limited nature that performance of such tasks poses a limited risk of algorithmic discrimination, (vi) any technology that merely detects decision-making patterns or deviations from prior decision-making patterns following a previously completed human assessment that such technology is not meant to replace or influence without sufficient human review, including, but not limited to, any technology that analyzes a particular decision-maker's prior pattern of decisions and flags potential inconsistencies or anomalies, or (vii) any technology that communicates with consumers in natural language for the purpose of providing users with information, making referrals or recommendations and answering questions, and is subject to an acceptable use policy that prohibits generating content that is discriminatory or harmful;

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 71

72

73

74

75

76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

(10) "Integrator" means any person doing business in this state that, with respect to a given high-risk artificial intelligence system, (A) neither develops nor intentionally and substantially modifies the high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (B) integrates the high-risk artificial intelligence system into a product or service such person offers

LCO 3 of 61

to any other person;

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

113

114

115

116

- (11) "Intentional and substantial modification" (A) means any deliberate material change made to (i) an artificial intelligence system that was not predetermined by a developer and materially increases the risk of algorithmic discrimination, or (ii) a general-purpose artificial intelligence model that (I) affects compliance of the general-purpose artificial intelligence model, (II) materially changes the purpose of the general-purpose artificial intelligence model, or (III) materially increases the risk of algorithmic discrimination, and (B) does not include any change made to a high-risk artificial intelligence system, or the performance of a high-risk artificial intelligence system, if (i) the highrisk artificial intelligence system continues to learn after such high-risk artificial intelligence system is (I) offered, sold, leased, licensed, given or otherwise made available to a deployer, or (II) deployed, and (ii) such change (I) is made to such high-risk artificial intelligence system as a result of any learning described in subparagraph (B)(i) of this subdivision, (II) was predetermined by the deployer, or the third party contracted by the deployer, when such deployer or third party completed the initial impact assessment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system pursuant to subsection (c) of section 4 of this act, and (III) is included in the technical documentation for such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (12) "Person" means any individual, association, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, trust or other legal entity;
- 109 (13) "Red-teaming" means an adversarial exercise that is conducted 110 to identify the potential adverse behaviors or outcomes of an artificial 111 intelligence system, identify how such behaviors or outcomes occur and 112 stress test the safeguards against such behaviors or outcomes;
 - (14) "Substantial factor" (A) means a factor that (i) alters the outcome of a consequential decision, and (ii) is generated by an artificial intelligence system, (B) includes, but is not limited to, any use of an artificial intelligence system to generate any content, decision,

LCO **4** of 61

prediction or recommendation concerning a consumer that is used as a basis to make a consequential decision concerning the consumer, and (C) does not include any output produced by an artificial intelligence system where an individual was involved in the data processing that produced such output and such individual (i) meaningfully considered such data as part of such data processing, and (ii) had the authority to change or influence the output produced by such data processing;

124

125

126

127

130131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

- (15) "Synthetic digital content" means any digital content, including, but not limited to, any audio, image, text or video, that is produced or manipulated by an artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, a general-purpose artificial intelligence model; and
- 128 (16) "Trade secret" has the same meaning as provided in section 35-129 51 of the general statutes.
 - Sec. 2. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, a developer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall use reasonable care to protect consumers from any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended and contracted uses of the high-risk artificial intelligence system. In any enforcement action brought on or after said date by the Attorney General pursuant to section 10 of this act, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that a developer used reasonable care as required under this subsection if the developer complied with the provisions of this section or, if the developer enters into a contract with an integrator as set forth in subsection (b) of section 3 of this act, the developer and integrator complied with the provisions of this section and section 3 of this act.
 - (b) Except as provided in subsection (c) of section 3 of this act, a developer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall, beginning on October 1, 2026, make available to each deployer, or other developer, of the high-risk artificial intelligence system:
 - (1) A general statement describing the intended uses, and the known harmful or inappropriate uses, of such high-risk artificial intelligence

LCO **5** of 61

149 system;

150

151

152

153

154

155

156157

158159

160

161

162

163164

165166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

- (2) (A) Documentation disclosing (i) high-level summaries of the type of data used to train such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (ii) the known or reasonably foreseeable limitations of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, the known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (iii) the purpose of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (iv) the intended benefits and uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (B) any additional documentation that is reasonably necessary to assist such deployer or other developer to understand the outputs, and monitor the performance, of such high-risk artificial intelligence system to enable such deployer or other developer to comply with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act; and
- (3) Documentation describing (A) how such high-risk artificial intelligence system was evaluated for performance, and mitigation of algorithmic discrimination, before such high-risk artificial intelligence system was offered, sold, leased, licensed, given or otherwise made available to such deployer, (B) the data governance measures used to cover the training datasets and the measures used to examine the suitability of data sources, possible biases and appropriate mitigation, (C) the intended outputs of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (D) the measures the developer has taken to mitigate any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from deployment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (E) how such high-risk artificial intelligence system is intended to be used, based on known or reasonably foreseeable harmful or inappropriate applications, and be monitored by an individual when such high-risk artificial intelligence system is used to make, or as a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision.
- (c) (1) Except as provided in subsection (c) of section 3 of this act, any developer that, on or after October 1, 2026, offers, sells, leases, licenses, gives or otherwise makes available to a deployer or another developer

LCO **6** of 61

- 182 a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall, to the extent feasible, 183 make available to the deployers and other developers of such high-risk 184 artificial intelligence system the documentation and information 185 necessary for a deployer, or the third party contracted by a deployer, to 186 complete an impact assessment pursuant to subsection (c) of section 4 187 of this act. The developer shall make such documentation and 188 information available through artifacts such as system cards or other 189 impact assessments.
 - (2) A developer that also serves as a deployer for any high-risk artificial intelligence system shall not be required to generate the documentation required by this section unless such high-risk artificial intelligence system is provided to another person that serves as a deployer for such high-risk artificial intelligence system.

190

191

192

193

194

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

- (d) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, each developer shall make available, in a manner that is clear and readily available on such developer's Internet web site or in a public use case inventory, a statement summarizing:
 - (A) The types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems that such developer (i) has developed or intentionally and substantially modified, and (ii) currently makes available to a deployer or another developer; and
 - (B) How such developer manages any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from the intended uses of the types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision.
 - (2) Each developer shall update the statement made available pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection (A) as necessary to ensure that such statement remains accurate, and (B) not later than ninety days after the developer intentionally and substantially modifies any high-risk artificial intelligence system described in subparagraph (A) of subdivision (1) of this subsection.

LCO **7** of 61

(3) Where multiple developers contribute to the development of a high-risk artificial intelligence system, each developer shall be subject to the obligations applicable to developers under sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act solely with respect to the activities the developer performed in contributing to the development of such high-risk artificial intelligence system.

- (e) Beginning on October 1, 2026, a developer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall disclose to the Attorney General, in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, and to all known deployers or other developers of the high-risk artificial intelligence system, any previously disclosed known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system. The developer shall make such disclosures without unreasonable delay but in no event later than ninety days after the date on which:
- (1) The developer discovers, through the developer's ongoing testing and analysis, that the high-risk artificial intelligence system has (A) been deployed, and (B) caused, or is reasonably likely to have caused, algorithmic discrimination to at least one thousand consumers; or
 - (2) The developer receives, from a deployer of the high-risk artificial intelligence system, a credible report disclosing that such high-risk artificial intelligence system has (A) been deployed, and (B) caused algorithmic discrimination to at least one thousand consumers.
 - (f) The provisions of subsections (b) to (e), inclusive, of this section shall not be construed to require a developer to disclose any information (1) that is a trade secret or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or federal law, or (2) the disclosure of which would present a security risk to the developer.
 - (g) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (a) to (f), inclusive, of this section, (1) any documentation a developer completes for the purpose of complying with another applicable law or regulation shall be deemed to satisfy the requirements established in this section if such

LCO 8 of 61

documentation is reasonably similar in scope and effect to the documentation the developer would otherwise be required to complete pursuant to this section, and (2) a developer may contract with a third party to fulfill the developer's duties under this section.

245246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

(h) Beginning on October 1, 2026, the Attorney General may require that a developer disclose to the Attorney General, as part of an investigation conducted by the Attorney General regarding a suspected violation of any provision of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act and in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, the general statement or documentation described in subsection (b) of this section. The Attorney General may evaluate such general statement or documentation to ensure compliance with the provisions of this section. In disclosing such general statement or documentation to the Attorney General pursuant to this subsection, the developer may designate such general statement or documentation as including any information that is exempt from disclosure under subsection (f) of this section or the Freedom of Information Act, as defined in section 1-200 of the general statutes. To the extent such general statement or documentation includes such information, such general statement or documentation shall be exempt from disclosure under subsection (f) of this section or said act. To the extent any information contained in such general statement or documentation is subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, such disclosure shall not constitute a waiver of such privilege or protection.

Sec. 3. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, if an integrator integrates a high-risk artificial intelligence system into a product or service the integrator offers to any other person, such integrator shall use reasonable care to protect consumers from any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended and contracted uses of such integrated high-risk artificial intelligence system. In any enforcement action brought on or after said date by the Attorney General pursuant to section 10 of this act, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the integrator used reasonable care as required under this subsection if the integrator

LCO 9 of 61

complied with the provisions of this section.

- (b) Beginning on October 1, 2026, no integrator shall integrate a high-risk artificial intelligence system into a product or service the integrator offers to any other person unless the integrator has entered into a contract with the developer of the high-risk artificial intelligence system. The contract shall be binding and clearly set forth the duties of the developer and integrator with respect to the integrated high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, whether the developer or integrator shall be responsible for performing the developer's duties under subsections (b) and (c) of section 2 of this act.
- (c) The provisions of subsections (b) and (c) of section 2 of this act shall not apply to a developer of an integrated high-risk artificial intelligence system if, at all times while the integrated high-risk artificial intelligence system is integrated into a product or service an integrator offers to any other person, the developer has entered into a contract with the integrator in which such integrator has agreed to assume the developer's duties under subsections (b) and (c) of section 2 of this act.
- (d) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, each integrator shall make available, in a manner that is clear and readily available on such integrator's Internet web site or in a public use case inventory, a statement summarizing:
- (A) The types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems that such integrator has integrated into products or services such integrator currently offers to any other person; and
- (B) How such integrator manages any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from the types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision.
- (2) Each integrator shall update the statement made available pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection (A) as necessary to ensure that such statement remains accurate, and (B) not later than ninety days

LCO 10 of 61

after an intentional and substantial modification is made to any highrisk artificial intelligence system described in subparagraph (A) of subdivision (1) of this subsection.

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

- (e) The provisions of subsections (b) to (d), inclusive, of this section shall not be construed to require a developer or integrator to disclose any information (1) that is a trade secret or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or federal law, or (2) the disclosure of which would present a security risk to the developer or integrator.
- (f) Beginning on October 1, 2026, the Attorney General may require that an integrator which has assumed a developer's duties under subsection (c) of section 2 of this act disclose to the Attorney General, as part of an investigation conducted by the Attorney General regarding a suspected violation of any provision of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act and in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, the general statement or documentation described in said subsection. The Attorney General may evaluate such general statement or documentation to ensure compliance with the provisions of this section and section 2 of this act. In disclosing such general statement or documentation to the Attorney General pursuant to this subsection, the integrator may designate such general statement or documentation as including any information that is exempt from disclosure under subsection (e) of this section or the Freedom of Information Act, as defined in section 1-200 of the general statutes. To the extent such general statement or documentation includes such information, such general statement or documentation shall be exempt from disclosure under subsection (e) of this section or said act. To the extent any information contained in such general statement or documentation is subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, such disclosure shall not constitute a waiver of such privilege or protection.
- Sec. 4. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, each deployer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall use reasonable care to protect consumers from any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination. In any enforcement

LCO 11 of 61

action brought on or after said date by the Attorney General pursuant to section 10 of this act, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that a deployer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system used reasonable care as required under this subsection if the deployer complied with the provisions of this section.

- (b) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and except as provided in subsection (g) of this section, each deployer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall implement and maintain a risk management policy and program to govern such deployer's deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system. The risk management policy and program shall specify and incorporate the principles, processes and personnel that the deployer shall use to identify, document and mitigate any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination. The risk management policy shall be the product of an iterative process, the risk management program shall be an iterative process and both the risk management policy and program shall be planned, implemented and regularly and systematically reviewed and updated over the lifecycle of the high-risk artificial intelligence system. Each risk management policy and program implemented and maintained pursuant to this subsection shall be reasonable, considering:
- (A) The guidance and standards set forth in the latest version of (i) the "Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework" published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, (ii) ISO or IEC 42001 of the International Organization for Standardization, or (iii) a nationally or internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems, other than the guidance and standards specified in subparagraphs (A)(i) and (A)(ii) of this subdivision, that imposes requirements that are substantially equivalent to, and at least as stringent as, the requirements set forth in this section for risk management policies and programs;
- 373 (B) The size and complexity of the deployer;
 - (C) The nature and scope of the high-risk artificial intelligence

LCO 12 of 61

- systems deployed by the deployer, including, but not limited to, the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence systems; and
- 377 (D) The sensitivity and volume of data processed in connection with 378 the high-risk artificial intelligence systems deployed by the deployer.
- 379 (2) A risk management policy and program implemented and 380 maintained pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection may cover 381 multiple high-risk artificial intelligence systems deployed by the 382 deployer.
- 383 (c) (1) Except as provided in subdivisions (3) and (4) of this subsection 384 and subsection (g) of this section:
- 385 (A) A deployer that deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system 386 on or after October 1, 2026, or a third party contracted by the deployer, 387 shall complete an impact assessment of the high-risk artificial 388 intelligence system; and

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

- (B) Beginning on October 1, 2026, a deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer, shall complete an impact assessment of a deployed high-risk artificial intelligence system (i) at least annually, and (ii) not later than ninety days after an intentional and substantial modification to such high-risk artificial intelligence system is made available.
- (2) (A) Each impact assessment completed pursuant to this subsection shall include, at a minimum and to the extent reasonably known by, or available to, the deployer:
- (i) A statement by the deployer disclosing the purpose, intended use cases and deployment context of, and benefits afforded by, the high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (ii) An analysis of whether the deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system poses any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination and, if so, the nature of such algorithmic discrimination and the steps that have been taken to mitigate such risks;

LCO 13 of 61

(iii) A description of (I) the categories of data the high-risk artificial intelligence system processes as inputs, and (II) the outputs such high-risk artificial intelligence system produces;

405

406

407

408

409

410

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

- (iv) If the deployer used data to customize the high-risk artificial intelligence system, an overview of the categories of data the deployer used to customize such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (v) Any metrics used to evaluate the performance and known limitations of the high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (vi) A high-level description of any transparency measures taken concerning the high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, any measures taken to disclose to a consumer that such highrisk artificial intelligence system is in use when such high-risk artificial intelligence system is in use; and
 - (vii) A high-level description of the post-deployment monitoring and user safeguards provided concerning such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, the oversight, use and learning process established by the deployer to address issues arising from deployment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system.
 - (B) In addition to the statement, analysis, descriptions, overview and metrics required under subparagraph (A) of this subdivision, an impact assessment completed pursuant to this subsection following an intentional and substantial modification made to a high-risk artificial intelligence system on or after October 1, 2026, shall include a high-level statement disclosing the extent to which the high-risk artificial intelligence system was used in a manner that was consistent with, or varied from, the developer's intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system.
 - (3) A single impact assessment may address a comparable set of highrisk artificial intelligence systems deployed by a deployer.
- 434 (4) If a deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer,

LCO **14** of 61

completes an impact assessment for the purpose of complying with another applicable law or regulation, such impact assessment shall be deemed to satisfy the requirements established in this subsection if such impact assessment is reasonably similar in scope and effect to the impact assessment that would otherwise be completed pursuant to this subsection.

435

436

437

438

439

440

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463 464

465 466

- 441 (5) A deployer shall maintain the most recently completed impact 442 assessment of a high-risk artificial intelligence system as required under 443 this subsection, all records concerning each such impact assessment and 444 all prior impact assessments, if any, for a period of at least three years 445 following the final deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence 446 system.
- (d) Except as provided in subsection (g) of this section, a deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer, shall review, not later than October 1, 2026, and at least annually thereafter, the deployment of each high-risk artificial intelligence system deployed by the deployer to ensure that such high-risk artificial intelligence system is not causing algorithmic discrimination.
 - (e) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and before a deployer deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or be a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision concerning a consumer, the deployer shall:
 - (A) Notify the consumer that the deployer has deployed a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or be a substantial factor in making, such consequential decision; and
 - (B) Provide to the consumer (i) a statement disclosing (I) the purpose of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (II) the nature of such consequential decision, (ii) if applicable, information concerning the consumer's right, under subparagraph (C) of subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of section 42-518 of the general statutes, to opt-out of the processing of the consumer's personal data for the purposes set forth in said subparagraph, (iii) contact information for such deployer, (iv) a

LCO **15** of 61

description, in plain language, of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (v) instructions on how to access the statement made available pursuant to subdivision (1) of subsection (f) of this section.

- (2) Beginning on October 1, 2026, a deployer that has deployed a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or as a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision concerning a consumer shall, if such consequential decision is adverse to the consumer, provide to such consumer:
- (A) A high-level statement disclosing the principal reason or reasons for such adverse consequential decision, including, but not limited to, (i) the degree to which, and manner in which, the high-risk artificial intelligence system contributed to such adverse consequential decision, (ii) the type of data that were processed by such high-risk artificial intelligence system in making such adverse consequential decision, and (iii) the source of the data described in subparagraph (A)(ii) of this subdivision;
 - (B) An opportunity to (i) examine the personal data that the high-risk artificial intelligence system processed in making, or as a substantial factor in making, such adverse consequential decision, and (ii) correct any incorrect personal data described in subparagraph (B)(i) of this subdivision; and
 - (C) (i) Except as provided in subparagraph (C)(ii) of this subdivision, an opportunity to appeal such adverse consequential decision if such adverse consequential decision is based upon inaccurate personal data, taking into account both the nature of such personal data and the purpose for which such personal data was processed. Such appeal shall, if technically feasible, allow for human review.
 - (ii) No deployer shall be required to provide an opportunity to appeal pursuant to subparagraph (C)(i) of this subdivision in any instance in which providing such opportunity to appeal is not in the best interest of the consumer, including, but not limited to, in any instance in which any delay might pose a risk to the life or safety of the consumer.

LCO **16** of 61

	Substitute Bill No. 2
((3) The deployer shall provide the notice, statements, information, description and instructions required under subdivisions (1) and (2) of
	this subsection:
	(A) Directly to the consumer;
	(B) In plain language;
	(C) In all languages in which such deployer, in the ordinary course of
	such deployer's business, provides contracts, disclaimers, sale announcements and other information to consumers; and
	(D) In a format that is accessible to consumers with disabilities.
	(f) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and except as provided in
5	subsection (g) of this section, each deployer shall make available, in a
	manner that is clear and readily available on such deployer's Internet
1	web site, a statement summarizing:
	(A) The types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems that are
(currently deployed by such deployer;
	(B) How such deployer manages any known or reasonably
1	foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from
(deployment of each high-risk artificial intelligence system described in
	subparagraph (A) of this subdivision;
	(C) In detail, the nature, source and extent of the information
(collected and used by such deployer; and
	(D) How the consumer may exercise rights under section 42-518 of
1	the general statutes by the secure and reliable means established and
(described pursuant to subsection (b) of section 42-518 of the general
5	statutes.
	(2) Each deployer shall periodically update the statement made

(g) The provisions of subsections (b) to (d), inclusive, of this section

available pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection.

525

526

LCO **17** of 61

and subsection (f) of this section shall not apply to a deployer if, at the time the deployer deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system and at all times while the high-risk artificial intelligence system is deployed:

- (1) The deployer (A) has entered into a contract with the developer in which the developer has agreed to assume the deployer's duties under subsections (b) to (d), inclusive, of this section and subsection (f) of this section, and (B) does not exclusively use such deployer's own data to train such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (2) Such high-risk artificial intelligence system (A) is used for the intended uses that are disclosed to such deployer as set forth in subparagraph (A)(iv) of subdivision (2) of subsection (b) of section 2 of this act, and (B) continues learning based on a broad range of data sources and not solely based on the deployer's own data; and
- (3) Such deployer makes available to consumers any impact assessment that (A) the developer of such high-risk artificial intelligence system has completed and provided to such deployer, and (B) includes information that is substantially similar to the information included in the statement, analysis, descriptions, overview and metrics required under subparagraph (A) of subdivision (2) of subsection (c) of this section.
- (h) If a deployer deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system on or after October 1, 2026, and subsequently discovers that the high-risk artificial intelligence system has caused algorithmic discrimination to at least one thousand consumers, the deployer shall send to the Attorney General, in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, a notice disclosing such discovery. The deployer shall send such notice to the Attorney General without unreasonable delay but in no event later than ninety days after the date on which the deployer discovered such algorithmic discrimination.
- (i) Nothing in subsections (b) to (h), inclusive, of this section shall be construed to require a deployer to disclose any information that is a trade secret or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or

LCO 18 of 61

federal law. If a deployer withholds any information from a consumer under this subsection, the deployer shall send notice to the consumer disclosing (1) that the deployer is withholding such information from such consumer, and (2) the basis for the deployer's decision to withhold such information from such consumer.

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569570

571

572

573

574

575

576 577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

(j) Beginning on October 1, 2026, the Attorney General may require that a deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer as set forth in subsection (c) of this section, as applicable, disclose to the Attorney General, as part of an investigation conducted by the Attorney General regarding a suspected violation of any provision of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act, not later than ninety days after a request by the Attorney General and in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, the risk management policy implemented pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, impact assessment completed pursuant to subsection (c) of this section or records maintained pursuant to subdivision (5) of subsection (c) of this section. The Attorney General may evaluate such risk management policy, impact assessment or records to ensure compliance with the provisions of this section. In disclosing such risk management policy, impact assessment or records to the Attorney General pursuant to this subsection, the deployer or third-party contractor, as applicable, may designate such risk management policy, impact assessment or records as including any information that is exempt from disclosure under subsection (i) of this section or the Freedom of Information Act, as defined in section 1-200 of the general statutes. To the extent such risk management policy, impact assessment or records include such information, such risk management policy, impact assessment or records shall be exempt from disclosure under subsection (i) of this section or said act. To the extent any information contained in such risk management policy, impact assessment or record is subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, such disclosure shall not constitute a waiver of such privilege or protection.

Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, each developer of a general-purpose artificial intelligence model

LCO **19** of 61

that is capable of being used by a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall, to the extent feasible and except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, make available to:

- (1) Each deployer of such general-purpose artificial intelligence model, through artifacts such as system cards or other impact assessments, the documentation and information necessary for such deployer, or a third party contracted by such deployer, to complete an impact assessment pursuant to subsection (c) of section 4 of this act; and
- (2) Each deployer or other developer of such general-purpose artificial intelligence model any additional documentation that is reasonably necessary to assist such deployer or other developer to understand the outputs, and monitor the performance, of the general-purpose artificial intelligence model to enable such deployer or other developer to comply with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act.
- (b) (1) The provisions of subsection (a) of this section shall not apply to a developer that develops, or intentionally and substantially modifies, a general-purpose artificial intelligence model on or after October 1, 2026, if:
 - (A) (i) The developer releases such general-purpose artificial intelligence model under a free and open-source license that allows for (I) access to, and modification, distribution and usage of, such general-purpose artificial intelligence model, and (II) the parameters of such general-purpose artificial intelligence model to be made publicly available as set forth in subparagraph (A)(ii) of this subdivision; and
 - (ii) Unless such general-purpose artificial intelligence model is deployed as a high-risk artificial intelligence system, the parameters of such general-purpose artificial intelligence model, including, but not limited to, the weights and information concerning the model architecture and model usage for such general-purpose artificial intelligence model, are made publicly available; or

LCO 20 of 61

(B) The general-purpose artificial intelligence model is (i) not offered for sale in the market, (ii) not intended to interact with consumers, and (iii) solely utilized (I) for an entity's internal purposes, or (II) under an agreement between multiple entities for such entities' internal purposes.

- (2) The provisions of this section shall not apply to a developer that develops, or intentionally and substantially modifies, a general-purpose artificial intelligence model on or after October 1, 2026, if such general-purpose artificial intelligence model performs tasks exclusively related to an entity's internal management affairs, including, but not limited to, ordering office supplies or processing payments.
- (3) A developer that takes any action under an exemption established in subdivision (1) or (2) of this subsection shall bear the burden of demonstrating that such action qualifies for such exemption.
- (4) A developer that is exempt under subparagraph (B) of subdivision (1) of this subsection shall establish and maintain an artificial intelligence risk management framework, which framework shall (A) be the product of an iterative process and ongoing efforts, and (B) include, at a minimum, (i) an internal governance function, (ii) a map function that shall establish the context to frame risks, (iii) a risk management function, and (iv) a function to measure identified risks by assessing, analyzing and tracking such risks.
- (c) Nothing in subsection (a) of this section shall be construed to require a developer to disclose any information that is a trade secret or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or federal law.
- (d) Beginning on October 1, 2026, the Attorney General may require that a developer disclose to the Attorney General, as part of an investigation conducted by the Attorney General regarding a suspected violation of any provision of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act, not later than ninety days after a request by the Attorney General and in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, any documentation maintained pursuant to this section. The Attorney General may evaluate such documentation to ensure compliance with

LCO **21** of 61

the provisions of this section. In disclosing any documentation to the Attorney General pursuant to this subsection, the developer may designate such documentation as including any information that is exempt from disclosure under subsection (c) of this section or the Freedom of Information Act, as defined in section 1-200 of the general statutes. To the extent such documentation includes such information, such documentation shall be exempt from disclosure under subsection (c) of this section or said act. To the extent any information contained in such documentation is subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, such disclosure shall not constitute a waiver of such privilege or protection.

Sec. 6. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, each person doing business in this state, including, but not limited to, each deployer that deploys, offers, sells, leases, licenses, gives or otherwise makes available, as applicable, any artificial intelligence system that is intended to interact with consumers shall ensure that it is disclosed to each consumer who interacts with such artificial intelligence system that such consumer is interacting with an artificial intelligence system.

- (b) No disclosure shall be required under subsection (a) of this section under circumstances in which a reasonable person would deem it obvious that such person is interacting with an artificial intelligence system.
- Sec. 7. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and except as provided in subsections (b) and (c) of this section, the developer of an artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, a general-purpose artificial intelligence model, that is capable of generating synthetic digital content shall:
 - (1) Ensure that the outputs of such artificial intelligence system are marked and detectable as synthetic digital content, and that such outputs are so marked and detectable (A) not later than the time that consumers who did not create such outputs first interact with, or are

LCO **22** of 61

- exposed to, such outputs, and (B) in a manner that (i) is detectable by consumers, and (ii) complies with any applicable accessibility requirements; and
- (2) As far as technically feasible and in a manner that is consistent with any nationally or internationally recognized technical standards, ensure that such developer's technical solutions are effective, interoperable, robust and reliable, considering (A) the specificities and limitations of different types of synthetic digital content, (B) the implementation costs, and (C) the generally acknowledged state of the art.
- (b) If the synthetic digital content described in subsection (a) of this section is in an audio, image or video format, and such synthetic digital content forms part of an evidently artistic, creative, satirical, fictional analogous work or program, the disclosure required under said subsection shall be limited to a disclosure that does not hinder the display or enjoyment of such work or program.
 - (c) The provisions of subsection (a) of this section shall not apply:

704

705

706

707

708

- (1) To any synthetic digital content that (A) consists exclusively of text, (B) is published to inform the public on any matter of public interest, or (C) is unlikely to mislead a reasonable person consuming such synthetic digital content; or
- (2) To the extent that any artificial intelligence system described in subsection (a) of this section (A) performs an assistive function for standard editing, (B) does not substantially alter the input data provided by the developer or the semantics thereof, or (C) is used to detect, prevent, investigate or prosecute any crime where authorized by law.
- Sec. 8. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) Nothing in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act shall be construed to restrict a developer's, integrator's, deployer's or other person's ability to:
- 717 (1) Comply with any federal, state or municipal law, ordinance or

LCO 23 of 61

718 regulation;

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

- 719 (2) Comply with a civil, criminal or regulatory inquiry, investigation, 720 subpoena or summons by a federal, state, municipal or other 721 governmental authority;
- (3) Cooperate with a law enforcement agency concerning conduct or activity that the developer, integrator, deployer or other person reasonably and in good faith believes may violate federal, state or municipal law;
- 726 (4) Investigate, establish, exercise, prepare for or defend a legal claim;
- 727 (5) Take immediate steps to protect an interest that is essential for the 728 life or physical safety of a consumer or another individual;
- (6) (A) By any means other than facial recognition technology, prevent, detect, protect against or respond to (i) a security incident, (ii) a malicious or deceptive activity, or (iii) identity theft, fraud, harassment or any other illegal activity, (B) investigate, report or prosecute the persons responsible for any action described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision, or (C) preserve the integrity or security of systems;
 - (7) Engage in public or peer-reviewed scientific or statistical research in the public interest that (A) adheres to all other applicable ethics and privacy laws, and (B) is conducted in accordance with (i) 45 CFR Part 46, as amended from time to time, or (ii) relevant requirements established by the federal Food and Drug Administration;
 - (8) Conduct research, testing, development and integration activities regarding an artificial intelligence system or model, other than testing conducted under real world conditions, before such artificial intelligence system or model is placed on the market, deployed or put into service, as applicable;
- 745 (9) Effectuate a product recall;
- 746 (10) Identify and repair technical errors that impair existing or

LCO **24** of 61

747 intended functionality; or

- 748 (11) Assist another developer, integrator, deployer or person with 749 any of the obligations imposed under sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this 750 act.
 - (b) The obligations imposed on developers, integrators, deployers or other persons under sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act shall not apply where compliance by the developer, integrator, deployer or other person with said sections would violate an evidentiary privilege under the laws of this state.
 - (c) Nothing in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act shall be construed to impose any obligation on a developer, integrator, deployer or other person that adversely affects the rights or freedoms of any person, including, but not limited to, the rights of any person (1) to freedom of speech or freedom of the press guaranteed in (A) the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, and (B) section 5 of article first of the Constitution of the state, or (2) under section 52-146t of the general statutes.
 - (d) Nothing in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act shall be construed to apply to any developer, integrator, deployer or other person:
 - (1) Insofar as such developer, integrator, deployer or other person develops, integrates, deploys, puts into service or intentionally and substantially modifies, as applicable, a high-risk artificial intelligence system (A) that has been approved, authorized, certified, cleared, developed, integrated or granted by (i) a federal agency, such as the federal Food and Drug Administration or the Federal Aviation Administration, acting within the scope of such federal agency's authority, or (ii) a regulated entity subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or (B) in compliance with standards that are (i) established by (I) any federal agency, including, but not limited to, the federal Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, or (II) a regulated entity subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Housing Finance Agency,

LCO **25** of 61

and (ii) substantially equivalent to, and at least as stringent as, the standards established in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act;

- (2) Conducting research to support an application (A) for approval or certification from any federal agency, including, but not limited to, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Federal Communications Commission or the federal Food and Drug Administration, or (B) that is otherwise subject to review by any federal agency;
 - (3) Performing work under, or in connection with, a contract with the United States Department of Commerce, the United States Department of Defense or the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, unless such developer, integrator, deployer or other person is performing such work on a high-risk artificial intelligence system that is used to make, or as a substantial factor in making, a decision concerning employment or housing;
 - (4) That facilitates or engages in the provision of telehealth services or is a covered entity within the meaning of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, P.L. 104-191, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as both may be amended from time to time, and providing health care recommendations that (A) are generated by an artificial intelligence system, (B) require a health care provider to take action to implement such recommendations, and (C) are not considered to be high risk; or
 - (5) Who is an active participant in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program designed, established and administered under section 12 of this act, and is engaged in activities within the scope of such program in accordance with the provisions of section 12 of this act.
 - (e) Nothing in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act shall be construed to apply to any artificial intelligence system that is acquired by or for the federal government or any federal agency or department, including, but not limited to, the United States Department of Commerce, the United States Department of Defense or the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, unless such artificial intelligence system is a high-risk

LCO **26** of 61

artificial intelligence system that is used to make, or as a substantial factor in making, a decision concerning employment or housing.

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

- (f) Any insurer, as defined in section 38a-1 of the general statutes, fraternal benefit society, as described in section 38a-595 of the general statutes, or health carrier, as defined in section 38a-591a of the general statutes, shall be deemed to be in full compliance with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act if such insurer, fraternal benefit society or health carrier has implemented and maintains a written artificial intelligence systems program in accordance with all requirements established by the Insurance Commissioner.
- (g) (1) Any bank, out-of-state bank, Connecticut credit union, federal credit union, mortgage lender or out-of-state credit union, or any affiliate, subsidiary or service provider thereof, shall be deemed to be in full compliance with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act if such bank, out-of-state bank, Connecticut credit union, federal credit union, mortgage lender, out-of-state credit union, affiliate, subsidiary or service provider is subject to examination by any state or federal prudential regulator under any published guidance or regulations that apply to the use of high-risk artificial intelligence systems and such guidance or regulations (A) impose requirements that are substantially equivalent to, and at least as stringent as, the requirements set forth in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act, and (B) at a minimum, require such bank, out-of-state bank, Connecticut credit union, federal credit union, mortgage lender, out-of-state credit union, affiliate, subsidiary or service provider to (i) regularly audit such bank's, out-of-state bank's, Connecticut credit union's, federal credit union's, mortgage lender's, out-of-state credit union's, affiliate's, subsidiary's or service provider's use of high-risk artificial intelligence systems for compliance with state and federal anti-discrimination laws and regulations applicable to such bank, out-of-state bank, Connecticut credit union, federal credit union, mortgage lender, out-of-state credit union, affiliate, subsidiary or service provider, and (ii) mitigate any algorithmic discrimination caused by the use of a high-risk artificial intelligence system or any risk of algorithmic discrimination that is

LCO **27** of 61

- reasonably foreseeable as a result of the use of a high-risk artificial intelligence system.
- (2) For the purposes of this subsection, (A) "affiliate", "bank", "Connecticut credit union", "federal credit union", "out-of-state bank", "out-of-state credit union" and "subsidiary" have the same meanings as provided in section 36a-2 of the general statutes, and (B) "mortgage lender" has the same meaning as provided in section 36a-705 of the general statutes.

853

854

855

856

857

875876

- (h) If a developer, integrator, deployer or other person engages in any action pursuant to an exemption set forth in subsections (a) to (g), inclusive, of this section, the developer, integrator, deployer or other person bears the burden of demonstrating that such action qualifies for such exemption.
- 858 Sec. 9. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) Not later than January 1, 2026, 859 the Attorney General shall, within available appropriations, develop 860 and implement a comprehensive public education, outreach and 861 assistance program for developers, integrators and deployers that are 862 small businesses, as defined in section 4-168a of the general statutes. 863 Such program shall, at a minimum, disseminate educational materials 864 concerning (1) the requirements established in sections 1 to 10, inclusive, 865 of this act, including, but not limited to, the duties of developers, 866 integrators and deployers under sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act, 867 (2) the impact assessments required under subsection (c) of section 4 of 868 this act, (3) the Attorney General's powers under sections 1 to 10, 869 inclusive, of this act, and (4) any other matters the Attorney General, in 870 the Attorney General's discretion, deems relevant for the purposes of 871 such program.
- Sec. 10. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) The Attorney General shall have exclusive authority to enforce the provisions of sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act.
 - (b) Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, during the period beginning on October 1, 2026, and ending on September 30, 2027,

LCO 28 of 61

the Attorney General shall, prior to initiating any action for a violation of any provision of sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act, issue a notice of violation to the developer, integrator, deployer or other person if the Attorney General determines that it is possible to cure such violation. If the developer, integrator, deployer or other person fails to cure such violation not later than sixty days after receipt of the notice of violation, the Attorney General may bring an action pursuant to this section.

- (c) Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, beginning on October 1, 2027, the Attorney General may, in determining whether to grant a developer, integrator, deployer or other person the opportunity to cure a violation described in subsection (b) of this section, consider: (1) The number of violations; (2) the size and complexity of the developer, integrator, deployer or other person; (3) the nature and extent of the developer's, integrator's, deployer's or other person's business; (4) the substantial likelihood of injury to the public; (5) the safety of persons or property; and (6) whether such violation was likely caused by human or technical error.
- (d) Nothing in sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act shall be construed as providing the basis for a private right of action for violations of said sections.
- (e) Except as provided in subsections (a) to (d), inclusive, of this section and subsection (f) of this section, a violation of the requirements established in sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act shall constitute an unfair trade practice for purposes of section 42-110b of the general statutes and shall be enforced solely by the Attorney General. The provisions of section 42-110g of the general statutes shall not apply to any such violation.
- (f) (1) In any action commenced by the Attorney General for any violation of sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act, it shall be an affirmative defense that the developer, integrator, deployer or other person:
- (A) Discovers a violation of any provision of sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act through red-teaming;

LCO **29** of 61

(B) Not later than sixty days after discovering the violation as set forth in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision: (i) Cures such violation; and (ii) provides to the Attorney General, in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney General, notice that such violation has been cured and evidence that any harm caused by such violation has been mitigated; and

- (C) Is otherwise in compliance with the latest version of: (i) The "Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework" published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology; (ii) ISO or IEC 42001 of the International Organization for Standardization; (iii) a nationally or internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems, other than the risk management frameworks specified in subparagraphs (C)(i) and (C)(ii) of this subdivision, that imposes requirements that are substantially equivalent to, and at least as stringent as, the requirements set forth in sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act; or (iv) any risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems that is substantially equivalent to, and at least as stringent as, the risk management frameworks described in subparagraphs (C)(i) to (C)(iii), inclusive, of this subdivision.
- (2) The developer, integrator, deployer or other person bears the burden of demonstrating to the Attorney General that the requirements established in subdivision (1) of this subsection have been satisfied.
- (3) Nothing in this section or sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act, including, but not limited to, the enforcement authority granted to the Attorney General under this section, shall be construed to preempt or otherwise affect any right, claim, remedy, presumption or defense available at law or in equity. Any rebuttable presumption or affirmative defense established under this section or sections 1 to 9, inclusive, of this act shall apply only to an enforcement action brought by the Attorney General pursuant to this section and shall not apply to any right, claim, remedy, presumption or defense available at law or in equity.
- Sec. 11. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) For the purposes of this

LCO 30 of 61

- section, "legislative leader" has the same meaning as provided in subsection (b) of section 4-9d of the general statutes.
- (b) Each legislative leader may request that the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering designate a member of said academy to serve as such legislative leader's liaison with said academy, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Economic and Community Development for the purpose of:
- 948 (1) Designing a tool to enable any person to determine whether such 949 person is in compliance with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, 950 of this act;
- 951 (2) Designing a tool to assist a deployer, or a third party contracted 952 by a deployer, to complete an impact assessment pursuant to subsection 953 (c) of section 4 of this act;

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

- (3) Conducting meetings with relevant stakeholders to formulate a plan to utilize The University of Connecticut School of Law's Intellectual Property and Entrepreneurship Law Clinic to assist small businesses and startups in their efforts to comply with the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act;
- (4) Making recommendations concerning establishing a framework to provide a controlled and supervised environment in which artificial intelligence systems may be tested, which recommendations shall include, at a minimum, recommendations concerning the establishment of (A) an office to oversee such framework and environment, and (B) a program that would enable consultations between the state, businesses and other stakeholders concerning such framework and environment;
- (5) Evaluating (A) the adoption of artificial intelligence systems by businesses, (B) the challenges posed to, and needs of, businesses in (i) adopting artificial intelligence systems, and (ii) understanding laws and regulations concerning artificial intelligence systems, and (C) how businesses that use artificial intelligence systems hire employees with necessary skills concerning artificial intelligence systems;

LCO **31** of 61

972 (6) Creating a plan for the state to provide high-performance 973 computing services to businesses and researchers in the state;

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

- (7) Evaluating the benefits of creating a state-wide research collaborative among health care providers to enable the development of advanced analytics, ethical and trustworthy artificial intelligence systems and hands-on workforce education while using methods that protect patient privacy; and
- (8) Evaluating, and making recommendations concerning, (A) the establishment of testbeds to support safeguards and systems to prevent the misuse of artificial intelligence systems, (B) risk assessments for the misuse of artificial intelligence systems, (C) evaluation strategies for artificial intelligence systems, and (D) the development, testing and evaluation of resources to support state oversight of artificial intelligence systems.
- 986 (c) No member of the Connecticut Academy of Science and 987 Engineering designated pursuant to subsection (b) of this section shall 988 be deemed a state employee, or receive any compensation from the 989 state, for performing such member's duties under said subsection.
- 990 Sec. 12. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) As used in this section:
- 991 (1) "Active participant" means a person participating in the artificial 992 intelligence regulatory sandbox program designed, established and 993 administered in accordance with the provisions of this section;
- 994 (2) "Artificial intelligence system" has the same meaning as provided 995 in section 1 of this act:
- 996 (3) "Consumer" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this 997 act;
- 998 (4) "Deployer" means any person doing business in this state that 999 deploys an artificial intelligence system;
- 1000 (5) "Developer" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this

LCO 32 of 61

1001 act;

- 1002 (6) "Person" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this act; 1003 and
- 1004 (7) "State agency" has the same meaning as provided in section 1-79 of the general statutes.
 - (b) The Department of Economic and Community Development, in coordination with the Chief Data Officer and the Connecticut Technology Advisory Board established under section 16 of this act, shall design, establish and administer an artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program to facilitate the development, testing and deployment of innovative artificial intelligence systems in the state. The program shall be designed to (1) promote the safe and innovative use of artificial intelligence systems across various sectors, including, but not limited to, education, finance, health care and public service, (2) encourage the responsible deployment of artificial intelligence systems while balancing the need for consumer protection, privacy and public safety, and (3) provide clear guidelines for developers to test artificial intelligence systems while being exempt from certain regulatory requirements during the period set forth in subsection (d) of this section.
 - (c) (1) A person seeking to participate in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program shall submit an application to the Department of Economic and Community Development in a form and manner prescribed by the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development. Each application shall include (A) a detailed description of the applicant's artificial intelligence system and its intended uses, (B) a risk assessment that addresses the potential impact of the applicant's artificial intelligence system on consumers, privacy and public safety, (C) a plan for mitigating any adverse consequences that may arise from the applicant's artificial intelligence system during the period set forth in subsection (d) of this section, (D) proof that the applicant and the applicant's artificial intelligence system are in compliance with all applicable federal laws and regulations concerning artificial intelligence

LCO 33 of 61

systems, and (E) any other information the commissioner deems relevant for the purposes of this section or the program.

- (2) Not later than thirty days after the Department of Economic and Community Development receives an application submitted pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection, the department shall (A) approve or deny the application, and (B) send a notice to the applicant, in a form and manner prescribed by the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development, disclosing whether the department has approved or denied such application.
 - (d) An active participant in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program may test the applicant's artificial intelligence system as part of the program for a period not to exceed eighteen months from the date on which the Department of Economic and Community Development sent notice approving the active participant's application pursuant to subparagraph (B) of subdivision (2) of subsection (c) of this section, except the department may extend such period for good cause shown.
 - (e) The Department of Economic and Community Development shall coordinate with all relevant state agencies to oversee the operations of active participants in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program. Any state agency may recommend to the department that an active participant's participation in the program be revoked if the active participant's artificial intelligence system (1) poses an undue risk to the public health, safety or welfare, or (2) violates any federal law or regulation.
 - (f) For the calendar quarter ending December 31, 2025, and for each calendar quarter thereafter, each active participant in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program shall, not later than thirty days after the end of such calendar quarter, submit a report to the Department of Economic and Community Development disclosing (1) system performance metrics for such active participant's artificial intelligence system, (2) information concerning the manner in which

LCO **34** of 61

such active participant's artificial intelligence system mitigated any risks associated with such artificial intelligence system, and (3) any feedback such active participant received from deployers, consumers and other users of such artificial intelligence system.

- (g) For the calendar year ending December 31, 2025, and for each calendar year thereafter, the Department of Economic and Community Development shall, not later than thirty days after the end of such calendar year, submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection. Each report shall disclose (1) the number of persons who were active participants in the artificial intelligence regulatory sandbox program for the calendar year that is the subject of such report or any portion of such calendar year, (2) the overall performance and impact of artificial intelligence systems tested as part of the program, and (3) any recommendations regarding the adoption of legislation for the purposes of the program.
- Sec. 13. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) As used in this section, "artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act.
 - (b) Not later than December 31, 2025, the Board of Regents for Higher Education shall establish, on behalf of Charter Oak State College and in consultation with the Labor Department, the State Board of Education, Workforce Investment Boards, employers and institutions of higher education in this state, a "Connecticut AI Academy". The academy shall, at a minimum:
- 1091 (1) Curate and offer online courses concerning artificial intelligence 1092 and the responsible use of artificial intelligence;
- 1093 (2) Promote digital literacy;

1094 (3) Prepare students for careers in fields involving artificial 1095 intelligence;

LCO **35** of 61

- 1096 (4) Offer courses directed at individuals between thirteen and twenty years of age;
- 1098 (5) Offer courses that prepare small businesses and nonprofit 1099 organizations to utilize artificial intelligence to improve marketing and 1100 management efficiency;
- 1101 (6) Develop courses concerning artificial intelligence that the Labor 1102 Department and Workforce Investment Boards may incorporate into 1103 workforce training programs; and
- (7) Enable persons providing free or discounted public Internet access to distribute information and provide mentorship concerning artificial intelligence, the academy and methods available for the public to obtain free or discounted devices capable of accessing the Internet and utilizing artificial intelligence.

1109

1110

1111

1112

- (c) The Board of Regents for Higher Education shall, in consultation with Charter Oak State College, develop certificates and badges to be awarded to persons who successfully complete courses offered by the Connecticut AI Academy.
- Sec. 14. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2025*) The Labor Department shall provide a notice, in a form and manner prescribed by the Labor Commissioner, to each individual who makes a claim for unemployment compensation disclosing the existence of, and courses and services offered by, the Connecticut AI Academy established pursuant to section 13 of this act.
- Sec. 15. Subsection (b) of section 17b-751b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1*, 2025):
- (b) The commissioner shall: (1) Ensure that all home visiting programs (A) are one or more of the evidence-based home visiting models that meet the criteria for evidence of effectiveness developed by the federal Department of Health and Human Services, and (B) provide

LCO **36** of 61

- 1126 <u>information to parents regarding the Connecticut AI Academy</u>
- established pursuant to section 13 of this act; (2) provide oversight of
- 1128 home visiting programs to insure model fidelity; and (3) develop, issue
- and evaluate requests for proposals to procure the services required by
- this section. In evaluating the proposals, the commissioner shall take
- into consideration the most effective and consistent service delivery
- system allowing for the continuation of current public and private
- 1133 programs.
- 1134 Sec. 16. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2025) (a) As used in this section,
- 1135 "artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in
- section 1 of this act.
- 1137 (b) There is established, within available appropriations, a
- 1138 Connecticut Technology Advisory Board, which shall be part of the
- 1139 Legislative Department.
- 1140 (c) (1) The board shall consist of the following members: (A) Two
- appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives; (B) two
- 1142 appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate; (C) two
- appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives; and
- 1144 (D) two appointed by the minority leader of the Senate. All appointed
- members shall have professional experience or academic qualifications
- in the field of artificial intelligence or the field of technology, or another
- 1147 related field, and no such member shall be a member of the General
- 1148 Assembly.
- 1149 (2) The following persons or their designees shall serve as ex-officio,
- 1150 nonvoting members and chairpersons of the board: (A) The
- 1151 Commissioner of Economic and Community Development; (B) the
- 1152 executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and
- Engineering; and (C) the president of Charter Oak State College.
- 1154 (3) All initial appointments to the board shall be made not later than
- October 1, 2025. The term of an appointed member shall be coterminous
- 1156 with the term of the appointing authority for the appointed member.
- Any vacancy shall be filled by the appointing authority. Any vacancy

LCO **37** of 61

- occurring other than by expiration of a term shall be filled for the balance of the unexpired term. A member of the board may serve more than one term. The chairpersons shall schedule the first meeting of the board, which shall be held not later than November 1, 2025.
- (d) The administrative staff of the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and government administration shall serve as administrative staff of the board.
- 1166 (e) The board shall have the following powers and duties: (1) To 1167 develop and adopt a state technology strategy (A) for the purpose of promoting education, workforce development, economic development 1168 1169 and consumer protection, and (B) that accounts for the rapid pace of 1170 technological development, including, but not limited to, in the field of 1171 artificial intelligence; (2) to update the state technology strategy 1172 developed and adopted pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection at 1173 least once every two years; (3) to issue reports and recommendations in 1174 accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes; 1175 (4) upon the vote of a majority of the members of the board, to request 1176 any state agency data officer or state agency head to (A) appear before 1177 the board to answer questions, or (B) provide such assistance and data 1178 as may be necessary for the purpose of enabling the board to perform 1179 its duties; (5) to make recommendations to the Legislative Department, 1180 Executive Department or Judicial Department in accordance with the 1181 state technology strategy; and (6) to establish bylaws to govern the 1182 board's procedures.
 - (f) The board shall meet at least twice annually and may meet at such other times as deemed necessary by the chairpersons or a majority of the members of the board.

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

Sec. 17. (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) Not later than December 31, 2025, the Department of Economic and Community Development shall, within available appropriations and in collaboration with Charter Oak State College, develop a plan to establish a technology transfer program

LCO 38 of 61

- within Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated, for the purpose of supporting technology transfers by and among public and private institutions of higher education in this state.
- (b) Not later than January 1, 2026, the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development shall submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, commerce and higher education. Such report shall, at a minimum, include the plan developed pursuant to subsection (a) of this section.
- Sec. 18. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) Not later than December 31, 2025, the Department of Economic and Community Development shall, within available appropriations and in collaboration with the Office of Health Strategy, establish a confidential computing cluster for the purpose of fostering the exchange of health information in order to support academic and medical research.
- (b) (1) The confidential computing cluster established pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be overseen by a Connecticut Confidential Computing Cluster Policy Board, which shall be within the Department of Economic and Community Development for administrative purposes only. Said policy board shall consist of:
- 1211 (A) The chairperson of The University of Connecticut Health Center 1212 Board of Directors, or said chairperson's designee; and
- 1213 (B) A representative of the State-wide Health Information Exchange 1214 established pursuant to section 17b-59d of the general statutes, who 1215 shall be appointed by the Commissioner of Health Strategy.
- 1216 (2) The Connecticut Confidential Computing Cluster Policy Board 1217 shall direct the formulation of policies and operating procedures for the 1218 confidential computing cluster established pursuant to subsection (a) of 1219 this section.

LCO **39** of 61

- 1220 (3) The Connecticut Confidential Computing Cluster Policy Board 1221 may apply for and administer any federal, state, local or private 1222 appropriations or grant funds made available for the operation of the 1223 confidential computing cluster established pursuant to subsection (a) of 1224 this section.
- Sec. 19. Section 10-21*l* of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
- 1227 There is established an account to be known as the ["computer science 1228 education account"] "computer science education and workforce 1229 development account", which shall be a separate, nonlapsing account 1230 within the General Fund. The account shall contain any moneys 1231 required or permitted by law to be deposited in the account and any 1232 funds received from any public or private contributions, gifts, grants, 1233 donations, bequests or devises to the account. The Department of 1234 Education may make expenditures from the account (1) to support 1235 curriculum development, teacher professional development, capacity 1236 development for school districts [,] and other programs for the purposes 1237 of supporting computer science education, and (2) in coordination with 1238 the Office of Workforce Strategy and the Board of Regents for Higher 1239 Education for the purpose of supporting workforce development 1240 initiatives in accordance with the state technology strategy adopted 1241 pursuant to subsection (e) of section 16 of this act.
- Sec. 20. Section 32-7p of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
- 1244 (a) As used in this section:
- 1245 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as 1246 defined in section 1 of this act;
- 1247 (2) "Generative artificial intelligence" means any form of artificial
 1248 intelligence, including, but not limited to, a foundation model, that is
 1249 able to produce synthetic digital content, as defined in section 1 of this
 1250 act; and

LCO 40 of 61

- 1251 (3) "Prompt engineering" means the process of guiding generative 1252 artificial intelligence to generate a desired output.
- 1253 [(a)] (b) There shall be a Technology Talent and Innovation Fund 1254 Advisory Committee within the Department of Economic and 1255 Community Development. Such committee shall consist of members 1256 appointed by the Commissioner of Economic and Community 1257 Development, including, but not limited to, representatives of The 1258 University of Connecticut, the Board of Regents for Higher Education, 1259 independent institutions of higher education, the Office of Workforce 1260 Strategy and private industry. Such members shall be subject to term 1261 limits prescribed by the commissioner. Each member shall hold office

until a successor is appointed.

1262

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

- [(b)] (c) The commissioner shall call the first meeting of the advisory committee not later than October 15, 2016. The advisory committee shall meet not less than quarterly thereafter and at such other times as the chairperson deems necessary. The Technology Talent and Innovation Fund Advisory Committee shall designate the chairperson of the committee from among its members.
 - [(c)] (d) No member of the advisory committee shall receive compensation for such member's service, except that each member shall be entitled to reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses incurred during the performance of such member's official duties.
 - [(d)] (e) A majority of members of the advisory committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the advisory committee. The advisory committee may act by a majority of the members present at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance, for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the advisory committee, except as otherwise provided in this section.
 - [(e)] (f) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, it shall not constitute a conflict of interest for a trustee, director, partner or officer of any person, firm or corporation, or any individual having a

LCO **41** of 61

1283 financial interest in a person, firm or corporation, to serve as a member of the advisory committee, provided such trustee, director, partner, officer or individual complies with all applicable provisions of chapter 10. All members of the advisory committee shall be deemed public officials and shall adhere to the code of ethics for public officials set forth in chapter 10, except that no member shall be required to file a statement 1289 of financial interest as described in section 1-83.

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295 1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

[(f) The Technology Talent Advisory Committee shall, in the following order of priority, (1) calculate the number of software developers and other persons (A) employed in technology-based fields where there is a shortage of qualified employees in this state for businesses to hire, including, but not limited to, data mining, data analysis and cybersecurity, and (B) employed by businesses located in Connecticut as of December 31, 2016; (2) develop pilot programs to recruit software developers to Connecticut and train residents of the state in software development and such other technology fields, with the goal of increasing the number of software developers and persons employed in such other technology fields residing in Connecticut and employed by businesses in Connecticut by at least double the number calculated pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection by January 1, 2026; and (3) identify other technology industries where there is a shortage of qualified employees in this state for growth stage businesses to hire.]

(g) The Technology Talent and Innovation Fund Advisory Committee may partner with institutions of higher education and other nonprofit organizations to develop [pilot] programs [for (1) marketing and publicity campaigns designed to recruit technology talent to the state; (2) student loan deferral or forgiveness for students who start businesses in the state; and (3) training, apprenticeship and gap-year initiatives] to expand the technology talent pipeline in the state, including, but not limited to, in the fields of artificial intelligence and quantum computing.

[(h) The Technology Talent Advisory Committee shall report, in

LCO **42** of 61 accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a, and present such report to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to commerce, education, higher education and finance, revenue and bonding on or before January 1, 2017, concerning the (1) pilot programs developed pursuant to subsections (f) and (g) of this section, (2) number of software developers and persons employed in technology-based fields described in subsection (f) of this section targeted for recruitment pursuant to subsection (f) of this section, and (3) timeline and measures for reaching the recruitment target.]

- (h) Not later than July 1, 2026, the Technology Talent and Innovation
 Fund Advisory Committee shall partner with public and private
 institutions of higher education in the state and other training providers
 to develop programs in the field of artificial intelligence, including, but
 not limited to, in areas such as prompt engineering, artificial intelligence
 marketing for small businesses and artificial intelligence for small
 business operations.
- Sec. 21. Subsection (b) of section 32-235 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1*, 2025):

(b) The proceeds of the sale of said bonds, to the extent of the amount stated in subsection (a) of this section, shall be used by the Department of Economic and Community Development (1) for the purposes of sections 32-220 to 32-234, inclusive, including economic cluster-related programs and activities, and for the Connecticut job training finance demonstration program pursuant to sections 32-23uu and 32-23vv, provided (A) three million dollars shall be used by said department solely for the purposes of section 32-23uu, (B) not less than one million dollars shall be used for an educational technology grant to the deployment center program and the nonprofit business consortium deployment center approved pursuant to section 32-41l, (C) not less than two million dollars shall be used by said department for the establishment of a pilot program to make grants to businesses in

LCO **43** of 61

designated areas of the state for construction, renovation or improvement of small manufacturing facilities, provided such grants are matched by the business, a municipality or another financing entity. The Commissioner of Economic and Community Development shall designate areas of the state where manufacturing is a substantial part of the local economy and shall make grants under such pilot program which are likely to produce a significant economic development benefit for the designated area, (D) five million dollars may be used by said department for the manufacturing competitiveness grants program, (E) one million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of a grant to the Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, for the purposes of subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of section 32-7f, (F) fifty million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of grants to the United States Department of the Navy, the United States Department of Defense or eligible applicants for projects related to the enhancement of infrastructure for long-term, on-going naval operations at the United States Naval Submarine Base-New London, located in Groton, which will increase the military value of said base. Such projects shall not be subject to the provisions of sections 4a-60 and 4a-60a, (G) two million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of a grant to the Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc., for manufacturing initiatives, including aerospace and defense, and (H) four million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of a grant to companies adversely impacted by the construction at the Quinnipiac Bridge, where such grant may be used to offset the increase in costs of commercial overland transportation of goods or materials brought to the port of New Haven by ship or vessel, (2) for the purposes of the small business assistance program established pursuant to section 32-9yy, provided fifteen million dollars shall be deposited in the small business assistance account established pursuant to said section 32-9yy, (3) to deposit twenty million dollars in the small business express assistance account established pursuant to section 32-7h, (4) to deposit four million nine hundred thousand dollars per year in each of the fiscal years ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2019, inclusive, and June 30, 2021, and nine million nine hundred thousand dollars in the fiscal year ending

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

13621363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

LCO 44 of 61

1384 June 30, 2020, in the CTNext Fund established pursuant to section 32-1385 39i, which shall be used by the Department of Economic and 1386 Community Development to provide grants-in-aid to designated 1387 innovation places, as defined in section 32-39f, planning grants-in-aid 1388 pursuant to section 32-39l, and grants-in-aid for projects that network 1389 innovation places pursuant to subsection (b) of section 32-39m, 1390 provided not more than three million dollars be used for grants-in-aid 1391 for such projects, and further provided any portion of any such deposit 1392 that remains unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent to the date of such 1393 deposit may be used by the Department of Economic and Community 1394 Development for any purpose described in subsection (e) of section 32-1395 39i, (5) to deposit two million dollars per year in each of the fiscal years 1396 ending June 30, 2019, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, in the CTNext Fund 1397 established pursuant to section 32-39i, which shall be used by the 1398 Department of Economic and Community Development for the purpose 1399 of providing higher education entrepreneurship grants-in-aid pursuant 1400 to section 32-39g, provided any portion of any such deposit that remains 1401 unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent to the date of such deposit may 1402 be used by the Department of Economic and Community Development 1403 for any purpose described in subsection (e) of section 32-39i, (6) for the 1404 purpose of funding the costs of the Technology Talent and Innovation 1405 <u>Fund</u> Advisory Committee established pursuant to section 32-7p, as amended by this act, provided not more than ten million dollars may be 1406 1407 used on or after July 1, 2023, for such purpose, (7) to provide (A) a grant-1408 in-aid to the Connecticut Supplier Connection in an amount equal to 1409 two hundred fifty thousand dollars in each of the fiscal years ending 1410 June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, and (B) a grant-in-aid to the 1411 Connecticut Procurement Technical Assistance Program in an amount equal to three hundred thousand dollars in each of the fiscal years 1412 1413 ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, (8) to deposit four 1414 hundred fifty thousand dollars per year, in each of the fiscal years 1415 ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, in the CTNext Fund 1416 established pursuant to section 32-39i, which shall be used by the 1417 Department of Economic and Community Development to provide 1418 growth grants-in-aid pursuant to section 32-39g, provided any portion

LCO **45** of 61

1419 of any such deposit that remains unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent 1420 to the date of such deposit may be used by the Department of Economic and Community Development for any purpose described in subsection (e) of section 32-39i, (9) to transfer fifty million dollars to the Labor 1423 Department which shall be used by said department for the purpose of 1424 funding workforce pipeline programs selected pursuant to section 31-1425 11rr, provided, notwithstanding the provisions of section 31-11rr, (A) 1426 not less than five million dollars shall be provided to the workforce 1427 development board in Bridgeport serving the southwest region, for purposes of such program, and the board shall distribute such money 1429 in proportion to population and need, and (B) not less than five million 1430 dollars shall be provided to the workforce development board in Hartford serving the north central region, for purposes of such program, (10) to transfer twenty million dollars to Connecticut Innovations, 1432 1433 Incorporated, provided ten million dollars shall be used by Connecticut 1434 Innovations, Incorporated for the purpose of the proof of concept fund 1435 established pursuant to subsection (b) of section 32-39x and ten million 1436 dollars shall be used by Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated for the 1437 purpose of the venture capital fund program established pursuant to 1438 section 32-4100, (11) to provide a grant to The University of Connecticut 1439 of eight million dollars for the establishment, development and 1440 operation of a center for sustainable aviation pursuant to subsection (a) of section 10a-110o, and (12) for up to twenty million dollars in 1442 investments in federally designated opportunity zones through an 1443 impact investment firm including, subject to the approval of the Governor, funding from the Economic Assistance Revolving Fund, 1445 established pursuant to section 32-231.

1421

1422

1428

1431

1441

1444

1446

1447 1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

Sec. 22. (Effective July 1, 2025) Not later than December 31, 2025, the Department of Economic and Community Development shall, within available appropriations, in partnership with public and private institutions of higher education in the state and in coordination with the artificial intelligence industry, conduct a "CT AI Symposium" to foster collaboration between academia, government and the artificial intelligence industry for the purpose of promoting the establishment

LCO **46** of 61

- and growth of artificial intelligence businesses in this state.
- 1454 Sec. 23. (Effective July 1, 2025) (a) As used in this section:

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

- 1455 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as 1456 defined in section 1 of this act;
- 1457 (2) "Generative artificial intelligence" means any form of artificial intelligence, including, but not limited to, a foundation model, that is able to produce synthetic digital content, as defined in section 1 of this act; and
- 1461 (3) "State agency" means any department, board, council, 1462 commission, institution or other executive branch agency of state 1463 government, including, but not limited to, each constituent unit and 1464 each public institution of higher education.
 - (b) Each state agency shall, in consultation with the labor unions representing the employees of such state agency, study how generative artificial intelligence may be incorporated in its processes to improve efficiencies. Each state agency shall prepare for any such incorporation with input from the state agency's employees, including, but not limited to, any applicable collective bargaining unit that represents its employees, and appropriate experts from civil society organizations, academia and industry.
 - (c) Not later than January 1, 2026, each state agency shall submit the results of such study to the Department of Administrative Services, including a request for approval of any potential pilot project utilizing generative artificial intelligence that the state agency intends to establish, provided such use is in accordance with the policies and procedures established by the Office of Policy and Management pursuant to subsection (b) of section 4-68jj of the general statutes. Any such pilot project shall measure how generative artificial intelligence (1) improves Connecticut residents' experience with and access to government services, and (2) supports state agency employees in the performance of their duties in addition to any domain-specific impacts

LCO **47** of 61

to be measured by the state agency. The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall assess any such proposed pilot project in accordance with the provisions of section 4a-2e of the general statutes, as amended by this act, and may disapprove any pilot project that fails such assessment or requires additional legislative authorization.

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

15001501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

- (d) Not later than February 1, 2026, the Commissioner of Administrative Services shall submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and government administration. Such report shall include a summary of all pilot projects approved by the commissioner under this section and any recommendations for legislation necessary to implement additional pilot projects.
- Sec. 24. Section 32-39e of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
- (a) If, in the exercise of its powers under section 32-39, Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated (1) finds that the use of a certain technology, product or process, including, but not limited to, an artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act, (A) would promote public health and safety, environmental protection or economic development, or (B) with regard to state services, would promote efficiency, reduce administrative burdens or otherwise improve such services, and (2) determines such technology, product or process was developed by a business (A) domiciled in this state to which the corporation has provided financial assistance or in which the corporation has invested, or (B) which has been certified as a small contractor or minority business enterprise by the Commissioner of Administrative Services under section 4a-60g, the corporation, upon application of such business, may recommend to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management that an agency of the state, including, but not limited to, any constituent unit of the state system of higher education, be authorized to test such technology, product or process by employing [it] such technology, product or process in the operations of such agency on a trial basis. The

LCO **48** of 61

purpose of such test program shall be to validate the commercial viability of such technology, product or process provided no business in which Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated has invested shall be required to participate in such program.

- (b) Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated shall make no such recommendation unless such business has submitted a viable business plan to Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated for manufacturing and marketing such technology, product or process and such business demonstrates that (1) the usage of such technology, product or process by the state agency will not adversely affect safety, (2) sufficient research and development has occurred to warrant participation in the test program, (3) the technology, product or process has potential for commercialization not later than two years following the completion of any test program involving a state agency under this section, and (4) such technology, product or process will have a positive economic impact in the state, including the prospective addition of jobs and economic activity upon such commercialization.
- (c) If the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management finds that employing such technology, product or process would be feasible in the operations of a state agency and would not have any detrimental effect on such operations, said secretary, notwithstanding the requirement of chapter 58, may direct an agency of the state to accept delivery of such technology, product or process and to undertake such a test program. The Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, in consultation with the Commissioner of Administrative Services, the chief executive officer of Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated and the department head of the testing agency, shall determine, on a case-by-case basis, whether the costs associated with the acquisition and use of such technology, product or process by the testing agency shall be borne by Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated, the business or by any investor or participant in such business. The acquisition of any technology, product or process for purposes of the test program established pursuant to this section shall not be deemed to be a purchase under the provisions of the state procurement policy. The testing agency, on behalf

LCO **49** of 61

of Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated shall maintain records related to such test program, as requested by Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated and shall make such records and any other information derived from such test program available to Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated and the business. Any proprietary information derived from such test program shall be exempt from the provisions of subsection (a) of section 1-210.

- (d) If the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, in consultation with the Commissioner of Administrative Services, the chief executive officer of Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated and the department head of the testing agency, determines that the test program sufficiently demonstrates that the technology, product or process promotes public health and safety, environmental protection, economic development or efficiency, reduces administrative burdens or otherwise improves state services, the Commissioner of Administrative Services may procure such technology, product or process for use by any or all state agencies pursuant to subsection (b) of section 4a-58.
- (e) The Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, the Commissioner of Administrative Services and Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated may develop a program to recognize state agencies that help to promote public health and safety, environmental protection, economic development or efficiency, reduce administrative burdens or improve state services by participating in a testing program under this section. Such program may include the creation of a fund established with savings accrued by the testing agency during its participation in the testing program established under this section. Such fund shall only be used to implement the program of recognition established by the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, the Commissioner of Administrative Services and Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated, under the provisions of this subsection.
- (f) The Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, the Commissioner of Administrative Services, Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated, and the Chief Information Officer shall, within available

LCO 50 of 61

1584	appropriations, establish an artificial intelligence systems fellowship
1585	program for the purpose of assisting the Chief Information Officer and
1586	state agencies to implement artificial intelligence systems procured
1587	pursuant to subsection (b) of section 4a-58. The program shall be within
1588	the Office of Policy and Management for administrative purposes only.
1589	Not later than January 1, 2026, the Governor shall appoint three artificial
1590	intelligence technology fellows in consultation with the Chief
1591	Information Officer. Each artificial intelligence technology fellow shall
1592	have professional experience or academic qualifications in the field of
1593	artificial intelligence, and shall perform such artificial intelligence
1594	technology fellow's duties under the supervision of the Chief
1595	Information Officer. The initial term for each artificial intelligence
1596	technology fellow shall expire on January 31, 2029. Terms following
1597	initial terms shall be for two years, and any artificial intelligence
1598	technology fellow may serve more than one term. The Governor shall
1599	fill any vacancy in consultation with the Chief Information Officer not
1600	later than thirty days after the appointment becomes vacant. For the
1601	purposes of this subsection, "artificial intelligence system" has the same
1602	meaning as provided in section 1 of this act.

- Sec. 25. (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) For the purposes of this section:
- 1604 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as 1605 defined in section 1 of this act;
- 1606 (2) "General-purpose artificial intelligence" means general-purpose artificial intelligence model, as defined in section 1 of this act; and
- 1608 (3) "Synthetic digital content" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this act.
- 1610 (b) There is established a working group to engage stakeholders and 1611 experts to:
- 1612 (1) Make recommendations concerning:
- 1613 (A) The best practices to avoid the negative impacts, and to maximize

LCO 51 of 61

1614 the positive impacts, on services and state employees in connection with 1615 the implementation of new digital technologies and artificial 1616 intelligence; 1617 (B) The collection of reports, recommendations and plans from state 1618 agencies considering the implementation of artificial intelligence, and 1619 the assessment of such reports, recommendations and plans against the 1620 best practices described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision; and 1621 (C) Any other matters that the working group may deem relevant for 1622 the purposes of avoiding the negative impacts, and maximizing the positive impacts, described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision; 1623 1624 (2) Make recommendations concerning methods to create resources 1625 for the purpose of assisting small businesses to adopt artificial 1626 intelligence to improve their efficiency and operations; 1627 (3) Propose legislation to (A) regulate the use of general-purpose 1628 artificial intelligence, and (B) require social media platforms to provide 1629 a signal when such social media platforms are displaying synthetic 1630 digital content; 1631 (4) After reviewing the laws and regulations, and any proposed 1632 legislation or regulations, of other states concerning artificial 1633 intelligence, propose legislation concerning artificial intelligence; 1634 (5) Develop an outreach plan for the purpose of bridging the digital 1635 divide and providing workforce training to persons who do not have 1636 high-speed Internet access; 1637 (6) Evaluate and make recommendations concerning: 1638 (A) The establishment of testbeds to support safeguards and systems 1639 to prevent the misuse of artificial intelligence; 1640 (B) Risk assessments for the misuse of artificial intelligence;

LCO **52** of 61

(C) Evaluation strategies for artificial intelligence; and

1641

1642 (D) The development, testing and evaluation of resources to support state oversight of artificial intelligence;

- (7) Review the protections afforded to trade secrets and other proprietary information under existing state law and make recommendations concerning such protections;
 - (8) Study definitions concerning artificial intelligence, including, but not limited to, the definition of high-risk artificial intelligence system set forth in section 1 of this act, and make recommendations concerning the inclusion of language providing that no artificial intelligence system shall be considered to be a high-risk artificial intelligence system if such artificial intelligence system does not pose a significant risk of harm to the health, safety or fundamental rights of individuals, including, but not limited to, by not materially influencing the outcome of any decision-making;
 - (9) Make recommendations concerning the establishment and membership of a permanent artificial intelligence advisory council; and
 - (10) Make such other recommendations concerning artificial intelligence that the working group may deem appropriate.
 - (c) (1) (A) The working group shall be part of the Legislative Department and consist of the following voting members: (i) One appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives, who shall be a representative of the industries that are developing artificial intelligence; (ii) one appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, who shall be a representative of the industries that are using artificial intelligence; (iii) one appointed by the majority leader of the House of Representatives, who shall be an academic with a concentration in the study of technology and technology policy; (iv) one appointed by the majority leader of the Senate, who shall be an academic with a concentration in the study of government and public policy; (v) one appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives, who shall be a representative of an industry association representing the industries that are developing artificial intelligence; (vi) one appointed

LCO 53 of 61

by the minority leader of the Senate, who shall be a representative of an industry association representing the industries that are using artificial intelligence; (vii) one appointed by the House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (viii) one appointed by the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (ix) one appointed by the House ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, who shall be a representative of the artificial intelligence industry or a related industry; (x) one appointed by the Senate ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, who shall be a representative of the artificial intelligence industry or a related industry; (xi) one appointed by the House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a representative of a labor organization; (xii) one appointed by the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a representative of a labor organization; (xiii) one appointed by the House ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a representative of a small business; (xiv) one appointed by the Senate ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a representative of a small business; and (xv) two appointed by the Governor, who shall be members of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering.

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

16861687

1688

1689

1690

1691

1692

1693

1694

1695

1696

1697

1698

1699

1700

1701

1702

1703

1704

1705

1706

1707

(B) All voting members of the working group appointed pursuant to subparagraph (A) of this subdivision shall have professional experience or academic qualifications in matters pertaining to artificial intelligence, automated systems, government policy or another related field.

(C) All initial appointments to the working group shall be made not

LCO 54 of 61

- later than July 31, 2025. Any vacancy shall be filled by the appointing authority.
- (D) Any action taken by the working group shall be taken by a majority vote of all members present who are entitled to vote, provided no such action may be taken unless at least fifty per cent of such members are present.

1715

1716

1717

1718

1719

1720

17211722

1723

1724

1725

1726

1727

1728

1729

1730

1731

1732

1733

1734

1735

1736

1737

1738

1739

- (2) The working group shall include the following nonvoting, exofficio members: (A) The House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (B) the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (C) the House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor; (D) the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor; (E) the Attorney General, or the Attorney General's designee; (F) the Comptroller, or the Comptroller's designee; (G) the Treasurer, or the Treasurer's designee; (H) the Commissioner of Administrative Services, or said commissioner's designee; (I) the Chief Data Officer, or said officer's designee; (J) the executive director of the Freedom of Information Commission, or said executive director's designee; (K) the executive director of the Commission on Women, Children, Seniors, Equity and Opportunity, or said executive director's designee; (L) the Chief Court Administrator, or said administrator's designee; and (M) the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering, or said executive director's designee.
 - (d) The chairpersons of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering shall serve as chairpersons of the working group. Such chairpersons shall schedule the first meeting of the working group, which shall be held not later than August 31, 2025.

LCO **55** of 61

- (e) The administrative staff of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection shall serve as administrative staff of the working group.
 - (f) Not later than February 1, 2026, the working group shall submit a report on its findings and recommendations to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes. The working group shall terminate on the date that the working group submits such report or February 1, 2026, whichever is later.
- Sec. 26. Section 4a-2e of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
- 1752 (a) For the purposes of this section:

- (1) "Artificial intelligence" means [(A) an artificial system that (i) performs tasks under varying and unpredictable circumstances without significant human oversight or can learn from experience and improve such performance when exposed to data sets, (ii) is developed in any context, including, but not limited to, software or physical hardware, and solves tasks requiring human-like perception, cognition, planning, learning, communication or physical action, or (iii) is designed to (I) think or act like a human, including, but not limited to, a cognitive architecture or neural network, or (II) act rationally, including, but not limited to, an intelligent software agent or embodied robot that achieves goals using perception, planning, reasoning, learning, communication, decision-making or action, or (B) a set of techniques, including, but not limited to, machine learning, that is designed to approximate a cognitive task; and artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act;
- (2) "Generative artificial intelligence" means any form of artificial intelligence, including, but not limited to, a foundation model, that is able to produce synthetic digital content, as defined in section 1 of this act; and

LCO 56 of 61

- [(2)] (3) "State agency" has the same meaning as provided in section 4d-1.
- (b) (1) Not later than December 31, 2023, and annually thereafter, the [Department] <u>Commissioner</u> of Administrative Services shall conduct an inventory of all systems that employ artificial intelligence and are in use by any state agency. Each such inventory shall include at least the following information for each such system:
- 1778 (A) The name of such system and the vendor, if any, that provided such system;
- 1780 (B) A description of the general capabilities and uses of such system;
- 1781 (C) Whether such system was used to independently make, inform or 1782 materially support a conclusion, decision or judgment; and
- 1783 (D) Whether such system underwent an impact assessment prior to implementation.

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792

1793

1794

1795

1796

1797

1798

1799

1800

- (2) The [Department] <u>Commissioner</u> of Administrative Services shall make each inventory conducted pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection publicly available on the state's open data portal.
- (c) Beginning on February 1, 2024, the [Department] <u>Commissioner</u> of Administrative Services shall perform ongoing assessments of systems that employ artificial intelligence and are in use by state agencies to ensure that no such system shall result in any unlawful discrimination or disparate impact described in subparagraph (B) of subdivision (1) of subsection (b) of section 4-68jj. The [department] <u>commissioner</u> shall perform such assessment in accordance with the policies and procedures established by the Office of Policy and Management pursuant to subsection (b) of section 4-68jj.
- (d) The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall, in consultation with other state agencies, collective bargaining units that represent state agency employees and industry experts, develop trainings for state agency employees on (1) the use of generative

LCO **57** of 61

artificial intelligence tools that are determined by the commissioner, pursuant to the assessment performed under subsection (c) of this section, to achieve equitable outcomes, and (2) methods for identifying and mitigating potential output inaccuracies, fabricated text, hallucinations and biases of generative artificial intelligence while respecting the privacy of the public and complying with all applicable state laws and policies. Beginning on July 1, 2026, the commissioner shall make such trainings available to state agency employees not less frequently than annually.

1801

1802

18031804

1805

1806

1807

1808 1809

1810

1811 1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

1817

1818

1819

1820

1821

1822

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

1828

1829

1830

1833

Sec. 27. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2025) The Department of Economic and Community Development shall, within available appropriations, design an algorithmic computer model for the purpose of simulating and assessing various public policy decisions, proposed public policy decisions and the actual or potential effects of such policy decisions. The department shall design such model in collaboration with public and private institutions of higher education in this state, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection and any other state agency the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development, in the commissioner's discretion, deems relevant for the purposes of this section. Such model shall, at a minimum, be designed to (1) function as a digital twin of the population of the state, (2) algorithmically model (A) the actual or potential effects of planning and development decisions or proposed planning and development decisions, and (B) the actual or potential socioeconomic effects of macroeconomic shocks on businesses and families in the state, (3) utilize large quantities of data to support the development of public policies concerning coastline resiliency, family assistance and workforce development, and (4) enable data-driven governance by optimizing resource allocation and policy efficiency for the purpose of furthering economic resilience and social equity.

Sec. 28. Section 53a-189c of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1*, 2025):

(a) A person is guilty of unlawful dissemination of an intimate image

LCO **58** of 61

- 1834 when (1) such person intentionally disseminates by electronic or other 1835 means a photograph, film, videotape or other recorded image or 1836 synthetic image of (A) the genitals, pubic area or buttocks of another 1837 person with less than a fully opaque covering of such body part, or the 1838 breast of such other person who is female with less than a fully opaque 1839 covering of any portion of such breast below the top of the nipple, or (B) 1840 another person engaged in sexual intercourse, as defined in section 53a-1841 193, (2) such person disseminates such image [without the consent of 1842 such other person, knowing that such other person [understood that 1843 the image would not be so disseminated did not consent to such 1844 dissemination, and (3) such other person suffers harm as a result of such 1845 dissemination.
- 1846 (b) For purposes of this [subsection, "disseminate"] section:
- 1847 (1) "Disseminate" means to sell, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, deliver, transfer, publish, distribute, circulate, present, exhibit, advertise or otherwise offer; [, and "harm"]
- 1850 (2) "Harm" includes, but is not limited to, subjecting such other 1851 person to hatred, contempt, ridicule, physical injury, financial injury, 1852 psychological harm or serious emotional distress; and
- (3) "Synthetic image" means any photograph, film, videotape or other image that (A) is not wholly recorded by a camera, (B) is either partially or wholly generated by a computer system, and (C) depicts, and is virtually indistinguishable from an actual representation of, an identifiable person.
- [(b)] (c) The provisions of subsection (a) of this [subsection] section shall not apply to:
- (1) Any image described in subsection (a) of this section of such other person if such image resulted from voluntary exposure or engagement in sexual intercourse by such other person, in a public place, as defined in section 53a-181, or in a commercial setting;

LCO **59** of 61

C 11

(2) Any image described in subsection (a) of this section of such other person, if such other person is not clearly identifiable, unless other personally identifying information is associated with or accompanies the image; or

- (3) Any image described in subsection (a) of this section of such other person, if the dissemination of such image serves the public interest.
- [(c)] (d) Unlawful dissemination of an intimate image to (1) a person by any means is a class A misdemeanor, and (2) more than one person by means of an interactive computer service, as defined in 47 USC 230, an information service, as defined in 47 USC 153, or a telecommunications service, as defined in section 16-247a, is a class D felony.
- [(d)] (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to impose liability on the provider of an interactive computer service, as defined in 47 USC 230, an information service, as defined in 47 USC 153, or a telecommunications service, as defined in section 16-247a, for content provided by another person.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following				
sections:				
Section 1	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 2	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 3	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 4	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 5	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 6	<i>October 1, 2025</i>	New section		
Sec. 7	<i>October 1, 2025</i>	New section		
Sec. 8	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 9	<i>October 1, 2025</i>	New section		
Sec. 10	<i>October 1, 2025</i>	New section		
Sec. 11	October 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 12	<i>October 1, 2025</i>	New section		
Sec. 13	July 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 14	July 1, 2025	New section		
Sec. 15	July 1, 2025	17b-751b(b)		

LCO **60** of 61

Sec. 16	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 17	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 18	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 19	July 1, 2025	10-21 <i>l</i>
Sec. 20	July 1, 2025	32-7p
Sec. 21	July 1, 2025	32-235(b)
Sec. 22	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 23	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 24	July 1, 2025	32-39e
Sec. 25	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 26	July 1, 2025	4a-2e
Sec. 27	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 28	October 1, 2025	53a-189c

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In Section 1(9)(B), "or system" was added after "unless the technology" and "does not include" was added before "(i)" for internal consistency; in Section 1(13), "identify" was added before "how" for internal consistency; in Section 3(d)(2)(B), "any intentional" was changed to "an intentional" for consistency; in Section 4(e)(1)(B)(ii), "said subparagraph (C)" was changed to "said subparagraph" for consistency with standard drafting conventions; in Section 12(b)(3), "being" was added before "exempt" for clarity; in Section 12(d), "subparagraph (B) of" was added before "subdivision (2)" for accuracy; and in Sections 12(g), 16(e)(3), 17(b), 23(d) and 25(f), "the provisions of" was added before "section 11-4a" for consistency with standard drafting conventions.

GL Joint Favorable Subst.

LCO **61** of 61