

General Assembly

Substitute Bill No. 1232

January Session, 2025



AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE RISK-LIMITING AUDITS WORKING GROUP.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. (*Effective from passage*) (a) Not earlier than the fifteenth day
- 2 after any state election and not later than two business days before the
- 3 canvass of votes by the Secretary of the State, Treasurer and
- 4 Comptroller, commencing on a day designated by the Secretary, the
- 5 registrars of voters shall conduct a risk-limiting audit of such election.
- 6 Each such audit shall be noticed in advance and be open to public
- 7 observation. Any election official who participates in the administration
- 8 and conduct of an audit pursuant to this section shall be compensated
- 9 by the municipality at the standard rate of pay established by such
- 10 municipality for elections.
- 11 (b) (1) Except as provided in subdivision (2) of this subsection, the
- offices subject to a risk-limiting audit pursuant to this section shall be
- 13 (A) the office of presidential elector, if applicable, (B) all applicable state
- offices, as defined in section 9-372 of the general statutes, (C) at least one
- 15 representative in Congress, selected in a random drawing by the
- 16 Secretary of the State, (D) at least five per cent, in the aggregate, of the
- 17 offices of state senator and state representative, selected in a random
- drawing by the Secretary, and (E) any other office required to be audited
- 19 by federal law. Whenever an office is randomly selected by the Secretary

LCO 1 of 19

20 under this subsection, the selection process shall be open to the public.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

- (2) (A) If an office of representative in Congress is subject to recanvass or an election contest pursuant to any provision of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall ensure such office is included in the office or offices selected under subparagraph (C) of subdivision (1) of this subsection.
- (B) If an office of state senator or state representative is subject to recanvass or an election contest pursuant to any provision of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall ensure such office is included in the offices selected under subparagraph (D) of subdivision (1) of this subsection.
- (c) Prior to the day designated by the Secretary of the State for the commencement of the risk-limiting audit described in subsection (a) of this section, the registrars of voters shall submit to the Secretary the ballot manifests created under section 3 of this act.
- (d) The risk-limiting audit described in subsection (a) of this section shall be conducted in accordance with instructions and procedures prescribed by the Secretary of the State not later than March 1, 2026, which instructions and procedures shall be consistent across all offices subject to such audit. The risk limit for each such audit shall be not more than five per cent. The results of each audit conducted pursuant to this section, including any such audit that produces an outcome of "INCONCLUSIVE" as described in subsection (e) of this section, shall be reported on a form and in a manner prescribed by the Secretary. Such reported results shall be filed with the Secretary, who shall immediately forward such reported results to The University of Connecticut for analysis. The University of Connecticut shall submit to the Secretary a written report regarding such analysis that describes any concerns identified. After receipt of such written report, the Secretary shall transmit a copy of such written report to the State Elections Enforcement Commission.
 - (e) In the event a risk-limiting audit conducted pursuant to this

LCO 2 of 19

52 section for a particular office produces an outcome of 53 "INCONCLUSIVE", the Secretary of the State shall order a manual 54 recount of all ballots cast for such office.

- (f) If the written report submitted by The University of Connecticut under subsection (d) of this section indicates that a voting tabulator failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by title 9 of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall require that the voting tabulator be examined and recertified by the Secretary or the Secretary's designee. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to prohibit the Secretary from requiring that a voting tabulator be examined and recertified.
- (g) The audit results reported to the Secretary of the State pursuant to subsection (d) of this section shall be open to public inspection and may be used as prima facie evidence of an irregularity in any contest arising pursuant to chapter 149 of the general statutes or for any other cause of action arising from such election.
- (h) If the audit officials are unable to reconcile the results from an audit described in subsection (a) of this section with the outcome of the person declared elected by virtue of having received the greatest number of votes, as determined by the paper ballots, the Secretary of the State shall conduct such further investigation of the voting tabulator as may be necessary for the purpose of reviewing whether or not to decertify the voting tabulator or tabulators in question or to order the voting tabulator to be examined and recertified in accordance with subsection (f) of this section. Any report produced by the Secretary as a result of such investigation shall be filed with the State Elections Enforcement Commission, and the commission may initiate such further investigation in accordance with subdivision (1) of subsection (a) of section 9-7b of the general statutes as may be required to determine if any violations of the general statutes concerning election law have been committed.
 - (i) The individual paper ballots used at an election shall be carefully

LCO 3 of 19

preserved and returned in their designated receptacle in accordance with the requirements of section 9-266 or 9-310 of the general statutes, as applicable.

- (j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude any candidate or elector from seeking additional remedies pursuant to chapter 149 of the general statutes.
- (k) After a state election, any voting tabulator may be kept locked for a period longer than that prescribed by sections 9-266, 9-310 and 9-447 of the general statutes, if such an extended period is ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction, the Secretary of the State or the State Elections Enforcement Commission. Such court or the Secretary of the State may order an audit of such voting tabulator to be conducted by such persons as the court or the Secretary may designate, provided the State Elections Enforcement Commission may order such an audit where the particular office in question is that of the Secretary of the State. If the machine utilized in such election is an optical scan voting system, such order to lock such machine shall include the tabulator, memory card and all other components and processes utilized in the programming of such machine.
- (l) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54 of the general statutes, for the conduct of risk-limiting audits described in subsection (a) of this section and to establish guidelines for expanded audits when the results from such a risk-limiting audit cannot be reconciled with the outcome of the person declared elected by virtue of having received the greatest number of votes, as determined by the paper ballots.
- (m) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall have access to the code in any voting machine whenever any problem is discovered as a result of an audit described in subsection (a) of this section.
 - (n) As used in this section:

LCO **4** of 19

- (1) "Risk-limiting audit" means a publicly verifiable auditing 115 116 procedure that (A) manually examines a statistical sample of paper 117 ballots that reflect the intents of the voters having cast such ballots, (B) 118 produces an outcome of either "ACCEPTABLE" or "INCONCLUSIVE", 119 and (C) guarantees a specified risk limit;
- 120 (2) "Risk limit" means the maximum probability that an audit would produce an outcome of "ACCEPTABLE" when there is a disagreement 122 between the person declared elected and the person who received the 123 greatest number of votes as determined by the paper ballots; and

121

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

- 124 (3) "State election" has the same meaning as provided in section 9-1 125 of the general statutes.
- 126 Sec. 2. Section 9-320f of the general statutes is repealed and the 127 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):
 - (a) (1) Not earlier than the fifteenth day after any federal or state [election or] primary and not later than two business days before the canvass of votes by the Secretary of the State, Treasurer and Comptroller, and (2) not earlier than the fifth day after any municipal election or primary and not later than two business days before the canvass of votes by the town clerk, the registrars of voters shall conduct a manual audit, or an electronic audit authorized under section 9-320g, as amended by this act, of the votes recorded in not less than five per cent of the voting districts in the state, district or municipality, whichever is applicable. For the purposes of this section, any central location used in a municipality for the counting of absentee ballots, early voting ballots or same-day election registration ballots shall be deemed a voting district. Such manual or electronic audit shall be noticed in advance and be open to public observation. Any election official who participates in the administration and conduct of an audit pursuant to this section shall be compensated by the municipality at the standard rate of pay established by such municipality for elections or primaries, as the case may be.
 - (b) The voting districts subject to an audit described in subsection (a)

LCO **5** of 19

of this section shall be selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of the State and such selection process shall be open to the public. The offices subject to an audit pursuant to this section shall be, (1) [in the case of an election where the office of presidential elector is on the ballot, all offices required to be audited by federal law, plus one additional office selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of the State, but in no case less than three offices, (2) in the case of an election where the office of Governor is on the ballot, all offices required to be audited by federal law, plus one additional office selected in a random drawing by the Secretary of the State, but in no case less than three offices, (3)] in the case of a municipal election, three offices or twenty per cent of the number of offices on the ballot, whichever is greater, selected at random by the municipal clerk, and [(4)] (2) in the case of a primary, [election,] all offices required to be audited by federal law, plus one additional office, if any, but in no event less than twenty per cent of the offices on the ballot, selected in a random drawing by the municipal clerk.

147

148

149

150

151152

153154

155

156

157

158

159

160161

162

163

164165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

- (c) If a selected voting district has an office that is subject to recanvass or an election or primary contest pursuant to <u>any provision of</u> the general statutes, the Secretary <u>of the State</u> shall select an alternative district, pursuant to the process described in subsection (b) of this section.
- (d) The manual or electronic audit described in subsection (a) of this section shall consist of the manual or electronic tabulation of the paper ballots cast and counted by each voting tabulator subject to such audit. Once complete, the vote totals established pursuant to such manual or electronic tabulation shall be compared to the results reported by the voting tabulator on the day of the election or primary. The results of such manual or electronic tabulation shall be reported on a form prescribed by the Secretary of the State which shall include the total number of ballots counted, the total votes received by each candidate in question, the total votes received by each candidate in question on ballots that were properly completed by each voter and the total votes received by each candidate in question on ballots that were not properly completed by each voter. Such [report] reported results shall be filed

LCO **6** of 19

with the Secretary, [of the State] who shall immediately forward such [report] reported results to The University of Connecticut for analysis. The University of Connecticut shall [file] submit to the Secretary a written report [with the Secretary of the State] regarding such analysis that describes any discrepancies identified. After receipt of such written report, the Secretary [of the State shall file such report with] shall transmit a copy of such written report to the State Elections Enforcement Commission.

- (e) For the purposes of this section, a ballot that has not been properly completed will be deemed to be a ballot on which (1) votes have been marked by the voter outside the vote targets, (2) votes have been marked by the voter using a manual marking device that cannot be read by the voting tabulator, or (3) in the judgment of the registrars of voters, the voter marked the ballot in such a manner that the voting tabulator may not have read the marks as votes cast.
- (f) Notwithstanding the provisions of section 9-311, the Secretary of the State shall order a discrepancy recanvass of the returns of an election or primary for any office if a discrepancy, as defined in subsection (o) of this section, exists where the margin of victory in the race for such office is less than the amount of the discrepancy multiplied by the total number of voting districts where such race appeared on the ballot, provided in a year in which the Secretary of the State is a candidate for an office on the ballot and that office is subject to an audit as provided by this section, the State Elections Enforcement Commission shall order a discrepancy recanvass if a discrepancy, as defined by subsection (o) of this section, has occurred that could affect the outcome of the election or primary for such office.
- (g) If the written report submitted by The University of Connecticut [report described in] under subsection (d) of this section indicates that a voting tabulator failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by [the general statutes] this title, the Secretary of the State shall require that the voting tabulator be examined and recertified by the Secretary [of the State,] or the Secretary's designee. Nothing in this

LCO **7** of 19

subsection shall be construed to prohibit the Secretary [of the State] from requiring that a voting tabulator be examined and recertified.

214

215

216

217218

219

220

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

- (h) The audit [report filed] <u>results reported to the Secretary of the State</u> pursuant to subsection (d) of this section shall be open to public inspection and may be used as prima facie evidence of a discrepancy in any contest arising pursuant to chapter 149 or for any other cause of action arising from such election or primary.
- 221 (i) If the audit officials are unable to reconcile the manual or electronic 222 count from an audit described in subsection (a) of this section with the 223 electronic vote tabulation and discrepancies from the election or 224 primary, the Secretary of the State shall conduct such further 225 investigation of the voting tabulator malfunction as may be necessary 226 for the purpose of reviewing whether or not to decertify the voting 227 tabulator or tabulators in question or to order the voting tabulator to be 228 examined and recertified [pursuant to] in accordance with subsection 229 (g) of this section. Any report produced by the Secretary [of the State] as 230 a result of such investigation shall be filed with the State Elections 231 Enforcement Commission and the commission may initiate such further 232 investigation in accordance with subdivision (1) of subsection (a) of 233 section 9-7b as may be required to determine if any violations of the 234 general statutes concerning election law have been committed.
 - (j) The individual paper ballots used at an election or primary shall be carefully preserved and returned in their designated receptacle in accordance with the requirements of section 9-266 or 9-310, [whichever is] as applicable.
 - (k) Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude any candidate or elector from seeking additional remedies pursuant to chapter 149.
 - (l) After an election or primary <u>described in subsection</u> (a) of this <u>section</u>, any voting tabulator may be kept locked for a period longer than that prescribed by sections 9-266, 9-310 and 9-447, if such an extended period is ordered by [either] a court of competent jurisdiction,

LCO **8** of 19

the Secretary of the State or the State Elections Enforcement Commission. [Either the] Such court or the Secretary [of the State] may order an audit of such voting tabulator to be conducted by such persons as the court or the Secretary of the State may designate, provided the State Elections Enforcement Commission may order such an audit under the circumstances prescribed in subsection (f) of this section. If the machine utilized in such election or primary is an optical scan voting system, such order to lock such machine shall include the tabulator, memory card and all other components and processes utilized in the programming of such machine.

- (m) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54, [as may be necessary] for the conduct of the manual or electronic tabulation of the paper ballots described in subsection (a) of this section and to establish guidelines for expanded audits when there are differences between the manual or electronic counts from the audit described in subsection (a) of this section and tabulator counts from the election or primary.
- (n) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, the Secretary of the State shall have access to the code in any voting machine whenever any problem is discovered as a result of an audit described in subsection (a) of this section.
- (o) As used in this section: [, "discrepancy"]

- (1) "Discrepancy" means any difference in vote totals between tabulator counts from an election or primary and manual or electronic counts from an audit described in subsection (a) of this section in a voting district that exceeds one-half of one per cent of the lesser amount of the vote totals between such tabulator counts and such manual or electronic counts where such differences cannot be resolved through an accounting of ballots that were not marked properly in accordance with subsection (e) of this section; [, "state election" means "state election", as defined in section 9-1, "municipal election"]
- (2) "Municipal election" means a municipal election held pursuant to

LCO **9** of 19

278 section 9-164; [, "manual"]

- 279 (3) "Manual" means by hand and without the assistance of electronic equipment; and ["electronic"]
- 281 (4) "Electronic" means through the use of equipment described in section 9-320g, as amended by this act.
- Sec. 3. (NEW) (*Effective January 1, 2026*) (a) Except in the case of a recanvass subject to the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, not later than seventy-two hours after the close of the polls at each state election, as defined in section 9-1 of the general statutes:
 - (1) The election officials in each polling place shall create a ballot manifest for such polling place by manually verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the result publicly announced by the moderator under section 9-309 of the general statutes and recording such number on such ballot manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Secretary of the State; and
 - (2) The absentee ballot counters, and the ballot counters for early voting ballots and same-day election registration ballots, in each central counting location shall create a ballot manifest for such central counting location by manually verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the result publicly declared by the moderator under subsection (b) of section 9-150b of the general statutes and recording such number on such ballot manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Secretary of the State.
 - (b) Not later than twenty-four hours after the completion of any recanvass conducted at a state election in a voting district, the recanvass officials shall create a ballot manifest for such district by manually verifying the number of ballots cast that comprise the vote announced by the moderator under subdivision (1) of subsection (c) of section 9-311 of the general statutes and recording such number on such ballot manifest, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Secretary of the State.

LCO 10 of 19

309 (c) All ballot manifest creation procedures shall be open to public observation.

311

312

313

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

- (d) Immediately after a ballot manifest has been created pursuant to this section, the moderator shall submit such ballot manifest to the registrars of voters.
- 314 (e) The Secretary of the State may adopt regulations, in accordance 315 with the provisions of chapter 54 of the general statutes, to carry out the 316 purposes of this section.
- Sec. 4. Section 9-323 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

Any elector or candidate who claims that he or she is aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with any election for presidential electors and for a senator in Congress and for representative in Congress or any of them, held in his or her town, or that there was a mistake in the count of the votes cast at such election for candidates for such electors, senator in Congress and representative in Congress, or any of them, at any voting district in his or her town, or any candidate for such an office who claims that he or she is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of section 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such election, may bring his or her complaint to any judge of the Supreme Court, in which he or she shall set out the claimed errors of such election official, the claimed errors in the count or the claimed violations of said sections. In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this section, the complainant shall file a certification attached to the complaint indicating that a copy of the complaint has been sent by first-class mail or delivered to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such election, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to the election, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after the election

LCO 11 of 19

or, if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of paper ballots authorized] an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be brought not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual tabulation] audit, and in either such circumstance, the judge shall forthwith order a hearing to be had upon such complaint, upon a day not more than five or less than three days from the making of such order, and shall cause notice of not less than three or more than five days to be given to any candidate or candidates whose election may be affected by the decision upon such hearing, to such election official, to the Secretary of the State, to the State Elections Enforcement Commission and to any other party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties thereto, of the time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such judge, with two other judges of the Supreme Court to be designated by the Chief Court Administrator, shall, on the day fixed for such hearing and without unnecessary delay, proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient reason is shown, such judges may order any voting tabulators to be unlocked or any ballot boxes to be opened and a recount of the votes cast, including absentee ballots, to be made. Such judges shall thereupon, in the case they, or any two of them, find any error in the rulings of the election official, any mistake in the count of such votes or any violation of said sections, certify the result of their finding or decision, or the finding or decision of a majority of them, to the Secretary of the State before the first Tuesday after the second Wednesday in December. Such judges may order a new election or a change in the existing election schedule, provided such order complies with Section 302 of the Help America Vote Act, P.L. 107-252, as amended from time to time. Such certificate of such judges, or a majority of them, shall be final upon all questions relating to the rulings of such election officials, to the correctness of such count and, for the purposes of this section only, such claimed violations, and shall operate to correct the returns of the moderators or presiding officers so as to conform to such finding or decision.

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

Sec. 5. Section 9-324 of the general statutes is repealed and the

LCO 12 of 19

following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

375

376377

378

379

380 381

382

383

384

385 386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

Any elector or candidate who claims that such elector or candidate is aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with any election for Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of the State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, State Comptroller or judge of probate, held in such elector's or candidate's town, or that there has been a mistake in the count of the votes cast at such election for candidates for said offices or any of them, at any voting district in such elector's or candidate's town, or any candidate for such an office who claims that such candidate is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of section 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such election or any candidate for the office of Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of the State, State Treasurer, Attorney General or State Comptroller, who claims that such candidate is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of sections 9-700 to 9-716, inclusive, may bring such elector's or candidate's complaint to any judge of the Superior Court, in which such elector or candidate shall set out the claimed errors of such election official, the claimed errors in the count or the claimed violations of said sections. In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this section, the complainant shall send a copy of the complaint by first-class mail, or deliver a copy of the complaint by hand, to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such election, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to the election, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after the election or, if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of paper ballots authorized an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be brought not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual tabulation] audit and, in either such circumstance, such judge shall forthwith order a hearing to be had upon such complaint, upon a day not more than five nor less than three days from the making of such

LCO 13 of 19

409 order, and shall cause notice of not less than three nor more than five 410 days to be given to any candidate or candidates whose election may be 411 affected by the decision upon such hearing, to such election official, the 412 Secretary of the State, the State Elections Enforcement Commission and 413 to any other party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties 414 thereto, of the time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such 415 judge shall, on the day fixed for such hearing and without unnecessary 416 delay, proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient reason is shown, such 417 judge may order any voting tabulators to be unlocked or any ballot 418 boxes to be opened and a recount of the votes cast, including absentee 419 ballots, to be made. Such judge shall thereupon, in case such judge finds 420 any error in the rulings of the election official, any mistake in the count 421 of the votes or any violation of said sections, certify the result of such 422 judge's finding or decision to the Secretary of the State before the 423 fifteenth day of the next succeeding December. Such judge may order a 424 new election or a change in the existing election schedule. Such 425 certificate of such judge of such judge's finding or decision shall be final 426 and conclusive upon all questions relating to errors in the rulings of 427 such election officials, to the correctness of such count, and, for the 428 purposes of this section only, such claimed violations, and shall operate 429 to correct the returns of the moderators or presiding officers, so as to 430 conform to such finding or decision, unless the same is appealed from 431 as provided in section 9-325.

Sec. 6. Section 9-328 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

Any elector or candidate claiming to have been aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with an election for any municipal office or a primary for justice of the peace, or any elector or candidate claiming that there has been a mistake in the count of votes cast for any such office at such election or primary, or any candidate in such an election or primary claiming that he is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of sections 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such election or primary, may bring a complaint to any judge of the Superior Court for relief

LCO **14** of 19

therefrom. In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this section, the complainant shall send a copy of the complaint by first-class mail, or deliver a copy of the complaint by hand, to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such election or primary, such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to such election or primary, it shall be brought not later than fourteen days after such election or primary, except that if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of paper ballots, authorized] an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be brought not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual tabulation] audit, to any judge of the Superior Court, in which he shall set out the claimed errors of the election official, the claimed errors in the count or the claimed violations of said sections. Such judge shall forthwith order a hearing to be had upon such complaint, upon a day not more than five nor less than three days from the making of such order, and shall cause notice of not less than three nor more than five days to be given to any candidate or candidates whose election or nomination may be affected by the decision upon such hearing, to such election official, the Secretary of the State, the State Elections Enforcement Commission and to any other party or parties whom such judge deems proper parties thereto, of the time and place for the hearing upon such complaint. Such judge shall, on the day fixed for such hearing and without unnecessary delay, proceed to hear the parties. If sufficient reason is shown, he may order any voting tabulators to be unlocked or any ballot boxes to be opened and a recount of the votes cast, including absentee ballots, to be made. Such judge shall thereupon, if he finds any error in the rulings of the election official or any mistake in the count of the votes, certify the result of his finding or decision to the Secretary of the State before the tenth day succeeding the conclusion of the hearing. Such judge may order a new election or primary or a change in the existing election schedule. Such certificate of such judge of his finding or decision shall be final and

443 444

445

446 447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

LCO **15** of 19

478 conclusive upon all questions relating to errors in the ruling of such 479 election officials, to the correctness of such count, and, for the purposes 480 of this section only, such claimed violations, and shall operate to correct 481 the returns of the moderators or presiding officers, so as to conform to 482 such finding or decision, except that this section shall not affect the right 483 of appeal to the Supreme Court and it shall not prevent such judge from 484 reserving such questions of law for the advice of the Supreme Court as 485 provided in section 9-325. Such judge may, if necessary, issue his writ of 486 mandamus, requiring the adverse party and those under him to deliver 487 to the complainant the appurtenances of such office, and shall cause his 488 finding and decree to be entered on the records of the Superior Court in the proper judicial district. 489

Sec. 7. Subsection (a) of section 9-329a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January* 1, 2026):

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

(a) Any (1) elector or candidate aggrieved by a ruling of an election official in connection with any primary held pursuant to (A) section 9-423, 9-425 or 9-464, or (B) a special act, (2) elector or candidate who alleges that there has been a mistake in the count of the votes cast at such primary, or (3) candidate in such a primary who alleges that he is aggrieved by a violation of any provision of sections 9-355, 9-357 to 9-361, inclusive, 9-364, 9-364a or 9-365 in the casting of absentee ballots at such primary, may bring his complaint to any judge of the Superior Court for appropriate action. In any action brought pursuant to the provisions of this section, the complainant shall file a certification attached to the complaint indicating that a copy of the complaint has been sent by first-class mail or delivered to the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made prior to such primary such judge shall proceed expeditiously to render judgment on the complaint and shall cause notice of the hearing to be given to the Secretary of the State and the State Elections Enforcement Commission. If such complaint is made subsequent to such primary it shall be brought, not later than fourteen days after such primary, or if such complaint is brought in response to [the manual tabulation of paper

LCO 16 of 19

- ballots, described in] an audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as
 amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, such complaint shall be
 brought, not later than seven days after the close of any such [manual
 tabulation] audit, to any judge of the Superior Court.
- Sec. 8. Subsection (b) of section 9-3 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

518519

520521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540541

542

543

- (b) During any municipal, state or federal election, primary or recanvass, or any audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, the Secretary of the State may issue an order, whether orally or in writing, to any registrar of voters or moderator to correct any irregularity or impropriety in the conduct of such election, primary or recanvass or audit. Any such order shall be effective upon issuance. As soon as practicable after issuance of an oral order pursuant to this subsection, the Secretary shall reduce such order to writing, cite within such order any applicable provision of law authorizing such order and cause a copy of such written order to be delivered to the individual who is the subject of such order or, in the case that such order was originally issued in writing, issue a subsequent written order that conforms to such requirements. The Superior Court, on application of the Secretary or the Attorney General, may enforce by appropriate decree or process any such order issued pursuant to this subsection.
- Sec. 9. Subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of section 9-229 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):
- (3) The duties of each regional election advisor shall include, but not be limited to: (A) Holding the instructional sessions described in subdivision (2) of this subsection; (B) communicating with registrars of voters to assist, to the extent permitted under law, in preparations for and operations of any election, primary or recanvass, or any audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act; and (C) transmitting any order issued by the Secretary of

LCO **17** of 19

- 544 the State, pursuant to subsection (b) of section 9-3, as amended by this act.
- Sec. 10. Subsection (a) of section 9-229b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January* 1, 2026):

- (a) Any regional council of governments organized under the provisions of sections 4-124i to 4-124p, inclusive, may appoint a regional election advisor, who shall represent, consult with and act on behalf of such regional council of governments and any combination of regional councils of governments or member towns of regional councils of governments that may seek the assistance of such regional election advisor. A regional election advisor shall consult and coordinate with the Secretary of the State to provide such assistance in preparations for and operations of any election, primary or recanvass, or any audit conducted pursuant to section 9-320f, as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act.
- Sec. 11. Section 9-320g of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January 1, 2026*):

Notwithstanding any provision of this title, the Secretary of the State, in consultation and coordination with The University of Connecticut, may authorize the use of electronic equipment for the purpose of conducting any audit required pursuant to section 9-320f₂ [for any primary or general election held on or after January 1, 2016] as amended by this act, or section 1 of this act, provided (1) the Secretary of the State prescribes specifications for (A) the testing, set-up and operation of such equipment, and (B) the training of election officials in the use of such equipment; and (2) the Secretary of the State and The University of Connecticut agree that such equipment is sufficient in quantity to accommodate the total number of audits to be conducted. Nothing in this section shall preclude any candidate or elector from seeking additional remedies pursuant to chapter 149 as a result of any information revealed by such process.

LCO **18** of 19

Sec. 12. (Effective July 1, 2025) The Secretary of the State shall establish a pilot program for the conduct of risk-limiting audits at municipal elections in 2025. The Secretary shall randomly select three municipalities for participation in such pilot program, provided the Secretary shall select: (1) One municipality with a population of less than twenty thousand; (2) one municipality with a population of twenty thousand or greater, but less than ninety thousand; and (3) one municipality with a population of ninety thousand or greater. For the purposes of this section, "risk-limiting audit" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this act and "population" means the estimated number of people according to the most recent version of the State Register and Manual prepared pursuant to section 3-90 of the general statutes.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	from passage	New section
Sec. 2	January 1, 2026	9-320f
Sec. 3	January 1, 2026	New section
Sec. 4	January 1, 2026	9-323
Sec. 5	January 1, 2026	9-324
Sec. 6	January 1, 2026	9-328
Sec. 7	January 1, 2026	9-329a(a)
Sec. 8	January 1, 2026	9-3(b)
Sec. 9	January 1, 2026	9-229(b)(3)
Sec. 10	January 1, 2026	9-229b(a)
Sec. 11	January 1, 2026	9-320g
Sec. 12	July 1, 2025	New section

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In Section 12, "risk-limiting audit" was defined for clarity.

GAE Joint Favorable Subst.

LCO **19** of 19