
OLR Bill Analysis

sSB 1295 (File 576, as amended by Senate "A")*

AN ACT CONCERNING SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS AND ONLINE SERVICES, PRODUCTS AND FEATURES.

SUMMARY

This bill makes various unrelated changes related to:

1. develop and start a Net Equality Program to allow eligible individuals to request to subscribe to affordable broadband Internet access, among other things;
2. make various changes to the lottery, including allowing a delivery service for lottery tickets under certain circumstances, allowing Connecticut Lottery Corporation (CLC) employees to receive endorsements rather than a separate license in certain circumstances, and specifying cause for taking action against certain licensees;
3. provide rules on voiding and modifying sports wagers which are substantially similar to those in existing regulations;
4. expand various aspects of the Connecticut Data Privacy Act (CTDPA), including expanding who is covered under the act, requiring impact assessments for those who do certain profiling (i.e. automated processing of personal data to evaluate and predict a certain individual's characteristics);
5. require social media platform owners, by October 1, 2026, to incorporate an online safety center and create a policy for handling reports of cyberbullying on the platform;
6. create a process by which a survivor of certain crimes (e.g., domestic violence) can submit a request to the motor vehicle manufacturer with a connected vehicle services account to take

certain actions to prevent the abuser from remotely obtaining data from the vehicle;

7. add a provision regarding consideration of whether records of consent to renewal or continuous service comply with certain laws;
8. require the motor vehicle quoted price to (a) include any fee, charge, or cost for an optional add-on consumer good or service and (b) separately state the amount of each of these fees, charges, and costs, and that they are optional; and
9. create an alternate way for certain home improvement contractors to satisfy the requirement that they include the fact of their registration and registration number in any advertisement.

Finally, the bill repeals the following:

1. sSB 514, as amended by Senate “A” and passed by the Senate, which has a substantially similar provision as the Net Equality Program;
2. sSB 1235, §§ 4 & 6, as amended by Senate “A” and passed by the Senate and the House, which has substantially similar provisions to this bill on the lottery;
3. sSB 1356, as amended by Senate “A” and passed by the Senate, which has substantially similar provisions to this bill on CTDPA, social media platforms, and motor vehicle data privacy; and
4. sSB 1357, §§ 41 & 43, as amended by Senate “A” and passed by the Senate and the House, which has substantially similar provisions to this bill on automatic renewal and continuous service and motor vehicle quoted prices.

*Senate Amendment “A” replaces the underlying bill (File 576), which added new protections for minors using social media platforms by requiring platform owners to incorporate an online safety center and create a policy for handling cyberbullying reports on the platform, and

expanded the Connecticut Data Privacy Act to include greater safeguards for minors.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2025, except upon passage for the repealers; July 1, 2025, for the Net Equality Program; and July 1, 2026, for the provisions on CTDPA and social media platforms.

§ 1 — NET EQUALITY PROGRAM

This bill requires the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) commissioner to develop, establish, and start administering the Net Equality Program by September 30, 2026. As part of the program, a qualified broadband Internet access service provider must (1) allow eligible individuals to request to subscribe to affordable broadband Internet access service (“affordable broadband”), (2) make a commercially reasonable effort to raise public awareness on the availability of affordable broadband, and (3) have enrollment procedures for affordable broadband on its website and advertisements.

The bill generally limits the maximum monthly charge for affordable broadband to \$40 and requires certain minimum speeds to be 100 megabits per second (Mbps) download speed and five Mbps upload speed for the program’s first year and then 20 Mbps upload speed afterwards.

Beginning January 31, 2027, the bill requires state agencies that propose to contract for the purchase of broadband Internet access service, when all other factors are equal, to give preference to providers that offer affordable broadband to eligible households. The bill states that it does not impair any contract that exists on October 1, 2026.

Regardless of any state law, the bill specifies that no violations of the Net Equality Program provisions are deemed an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the conduct of any trade or commerce under the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA).

Program Requirements and Definitions

Beginning October 1, 2026, the bill requires each qualified broadband

Internet access service provider (i.e. a provider that does business in the state and with any state agency, other than the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection (DESPP)), to allow individuals residing in eligible households in the provider's service territory to request to subscribe to the provider's affordable broadband service. Under the bill, an "eligible household" is a household (1) with at least one resident enrolled in a qualified public assistance program and (2) located in the provider's service territory in the state.

Under the bill and existing law, "broadband Internet access service" is a wired, mass-market retail service that provides the capability to transmit data to, and receive data from, all or substantially all Internet endpoints, including capabilities that are incidental to and enable the service's operation, but not dial-up Internet access service. A "broadband Internet access service provider" is an entity that provides broadband Internet access service through facilities occupying public highways or streets authorized by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, including through a certificate of public convenience and necessity, a certificate of video franchise authority, a certificate of cable franchise authority, or as a certified telecommunications provider.

"Qualified public assistance program" includes the supplemental nutrition assistance program (SNAP) and any public assistance program recognized by a qualified broadband Internet access service provider for determining eligibility for the provider's existing low-income Internet program.

The bill also requires these providers, starting October 1, 2026, to make a commercially reasonable effort to raise public awareness about the availability of the affordable broadband service the provider offers to eligible households located in the provider's service territory. These efforts must include posting the enrollment procedures in a prominent and publicly accessible location on their website.

The bill requires each service provider, starting by February 1, 2027, to annually submit to DEEP a report, as the commissioner sets, disclosing the (1) number of eligible households that signed up for its

affordable broadband during the reporting year and (2) total number of eligible households that received its affordable broadband during the reporting year.

The bill also requires DEEP, as part of the program, to explore options to establish and advance strategic and effective public-private partnerships.

Monthly Price

The bill generally caps a qualified broadband Internet access service provider's maximum monthly charge to an eligible household for affordable broadband service at \$40, including all taxes, charges, and fees for all equipment associated with the Internet access.

However, under the bill, starting by June 1, 2027, DEEP must annually adjust the maximum monthly cost for the 12-month period starting July 1 of the same calendar year based on any change in the consumer price index for the preceding calendar year, as published by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Service Speeds

The bill requires that all affordable broadband provided under its provisions have minimum speeds of:

1. 100 Mbps download speed and five Mbps upload speed between October 1, 2026, and September 30, 2027, and
2. 100 Mbps download speed and 20 Mbps upload speed after October 1, 2027.

In either case, the service speeds and latency must be sufficient to support distance learning and telehealth services.

Beginning June 1, 2030, and then not more frequently than biennially, the bill allows DEEP, in consultation with its Bureau of Energy and Technology and the Department of Administrative Services' Commission for Educational Technology, to increase the affordable broadband plans' required minimum speeds for the two-year period

beginning July 1 of the same calendar year. DEEP, the bureau, and the commission must post the increased speeds on their respective websites.

The bill allows DEEP to approve or require a deviation from the service speed requirements to comply with applicable state or federal law or elements of DEEP's federally subsidized broadband programs that are included in federal applications that are made public or negotiated with bidders on or before June 30, 2025. However, it prohibits DEEP from approving any deviation that would provide affordable broadband service speeds that are slower than what the bill requires or those established by DEEP, in consultation with the bureau and commission, whichever speeds are higher.

Conducting Business With State Agencies

Beginning January 31, 2027, the bill requires state agencies that propose to contract for the purchase of broadband Internet access service, when all other factors are equal, to give preference to providers that offer affordable broadband to eligible households. Under the bill, a "state agency" is any office; department, except DESPP; board; council; commission; institution; constituent unit of the state's higher education system; technical education and career school; or other executive, legislative, or judicial branch agency.

§ 2 — CLC LICENSED EMPLOYEES

Under existing law, all CLC staff members must be licensed by DCP (i.e. class III and IV licensees). Current law also requires staff members who work on its "Internet games" (i.e. online lottery, online keno, or online sports wagering) or retail sports wagering to get additional licensing. The bill instead allows CLC employees and applicants for those jobs to instead receive endorsements on their CLC license, rather than having to get a separate DCP license.

The bill requires each applicant for a CLC staff position and each current CLC employee, as of January 1, 2026, to disclose in a DCP-prescribed way the types of gaming the applicant or licensed employee will work on at CLC. For these individuals, the DCP commissioner may issue a separate endorsement allowing them to operate CLC's Internet

games or retail sports wagering. Under the bill, these employees are not required to apply for a separate DCP gaming license.

The bill requires these CLC staff members to report to DCP any criminal conviction within two business days of any conviction order or judgment. CLC and its employees must immediately report to DCP any change in an employee's scope of employment that would require the employee to get an additional endorsement.

§ 2 — DELIVERY SERVICES FOR LOTTERY TICKETS

The bill allows CLC to use a business that is not a licensed vendor as a delivery service to transport or deliver lottery tickets to lottery sales agents under certain circumstances.

To do so, CLC must give DCP a detailed plan, in a form and way set by DCP, that includes:

1. the business's name and contact information,
2. the proposed date that the business will start shipping for CLC,
3. a detailed description of the tamper-evident packaging that will be used and its security features,
4. the business's security measures during delivery, and
5. the process used by the business if delivery is not successful.

DCP must review and either approve or deny the plan within 30 days of receiving it. CLC must keep copies of its plan documents for at least three years.

In order to use the delivery service:

1. CLC employees must securely package the tickets in tamper-evident packaging on CLC premises while under video surveillance;
2. the exterior packaging must not indicate that it contains lottery tickets and "lottery" cannot be included on the packaging,

including in the return address;

3. the packages must be tracked and require a signature on delivery; and
4. CLC must document each package with its lottery game number and name, number of packs and pack numbers, lottery sales agent's name and address, package shipment date, and the name of the business delivering the tickets.

If a lottery sales agent tells CLC that a package of tickets is damaged, missing, or compromised, CLC must immediately tell DCP and give the agent instructions to embargo the package until its contents are verified by CLC's documentation.

Generally, under existing law, a person or business awarded a primary contract to provide facilities, components, goods, or services necessary for and directly related to CLC's secure operation of activities must receive a vendor license from DCP.

§ 2 — VENDOR, AFFILIATE, LOTTERY SALE AGENT, AND CLC OCCUPATIONAL LICENSES

The law allows DCP to reject a license application for, or suspend or revoke the license of, a vendor, affiliate, lottery sale agent, or CLC employee for good cause. Currently, DCP must hold a hearing before revoking or suspending a license. The bill requires DCP to also hold a hearing and have good cause before denying an application for, refusing to renew, or placing conditions on one of these licenses. He may also impose a civil penalty of up to \$2,500 for cause after a hearing (the bill specifies that the \$2,500 civil penalty is for each violation).

The bill specifies that cause for taking these actions includes:

1. failing to comply with the state's laws governing the lottery;
2. conduct likely to mislead, deceive, or defraud the public or DCP;
3. providing materially false or misleading information;
4. a criminal conviction or civil judgment involving fraud, theft, or

financial crime;

5. insolvency, including filing for bankruptcy or failing to meet a material financial obligation that directly impacts the licensee's ability to comply with the laws governing the lottery; or
6. failing to complete an application.

The law allows DCP to require vendor and affiliate licensees to maintain books and records. The bill adds that DCP can require these licensees to provide these books and records to DCP to ensure regulatory compliance.

Summary Suspension of Lottery Sales Agent's License for Certain Illegal Gambling Activities or Devices

The bill prohibits lottery sales agents from (1) keeping unauthorized gambling devices, illegitimate lottery tickets, and illegal bookmaking equipment or (2) allowing any illegal gambling at their retail facilities. DCP can fine an agent up to \$4,000 per violation.

DCP must notify the agent of the violation, and the notification must include an order that summarily suspends the agent's license and inform the agent:

1. of the fine imposed,
2. of the license's suspension and to immediately cease activities that require a license, and
3. that the agent has 15 days after receiving the notice to request a hearing in writing.

If a hearing is not requested, the summary suspension and fine are final decisions that are appealable to court.

A summary suspension remains in effect until it is lifted and all fines are paid. It can be lifted by a written order of the DCP commissioner or based on a final decision after a hearing.

§ 3 — VOIDING SPORTS WAGERS

The bill provides rules on voiding and modifying wagers which are substantially similar to those in existing regulations.

Wagers That May Be Voided Without DCP Approval

The bill permits an online gaming operator to void a sports wager without prior DCP approval if the wager is on:

1. a sporting event that was cancelled, delayed more than 24 hours from its original start time, or moved to a different venue;
2. sporting event players that do not take part in the event;
3. one or more acts in an event and the act does not occur;
4. a specific team qualifying for a post-season tournament and the number of teams allowed in the tournament has been reduced;
or
5. an event and the format or number of players scheduled in a phase of the event has changed or the event is no longer scheduled to occur.

The operator must indicate the voided wager in the patron's account and promptly credit funds from a voided wager to the account.

The bill requires a sports wagering retailer to post a notice telling patrons how to know if a wager has been voided under the house rules and how to get a refund. DCP must approve the form and manner of the notice, which must be at least 8.5" x 11" in size, have at least 20 point font, and be posted in a location where patrons place sports wagers.

Wagers That Must Be Modified or Voided Without DCP Approval

The bill requires an operator to modify or void a sports wager without DCP approval if a patron requests it before the event that is the subject of the wager begins and:

1. the operator or its electronic wagering platform mistakenly communicated to the patron the wager's type, amount, or parameters or

2. a sports wagering retailer's employee made a mistake entering a wager in the platform.

Records

The bill requires operators to maintain records, in a form and way set by DCP, of wagers that are voided or modified. The record for each wager must at least include:

1. the patron's name, unless the wager was placed at a retail sports wagering facility;
2. the reason for voiding or modifying the wager;
3. the type of wager, broken down by market;
4. the event associated with the wager and its date or dates; and
5. other information DCP requires to identify and assess the impact or voiding or modifying the wager.

Requests to DCP to Void Wagers

The bill allows an operator to ask DCP in writing to void any wagers not covered above. DCP must establish the form and way of submitting these requests, which must at least include the:

1. reason for the request;
2. names of affected patrons, unless the wager was placed at a retail sports wagering facility;
3. event associated with the wager and its date or dates;
4. wager type;
5. total amount of wagers; and
6. plan to contact affected patrons, unless the wager was placed at a retail sports wagering facility.

After receiving a request, DCP can ask for additional information that

it needs to review and assess the impact that granting the request will have on affected patrons and the integrity of gaming. The operator must provide this information.

An operator cannot void these wagers until it receives written approval from DCP, in a form and way set by DCP.

Internal Controls

The bill requires operators, by September 1, 2025, to give DCP, in a form and way set by DCP, their internal controls on voiding sports wagers and allocating patron funds. Under the bill, internal controls are a master wagering licensee's or online gaming operator's written administrative and accounting procedures that ensure compliance with the gaming statutes and regulations, including financial reporting, operations' effectiveness and security, "know your customer," and fraud and money laundering deterrence.

DCP must ensure the policies:

1. give affected patrons notice within 24 hours after DCP approves a void request,
2. require prompt return of patron funds after voiding wagers, and
3. address any matter DCP believes is necessary to preserve gaming's integrity.

By December 1, 2025, DCP must notify each operator whether it approves or disapproves of the controls. If approved, the operator must include the control in its house rules and clearly and conspicuously on its platform. Under the bill, the house rules are the terms and conditions for sports wagering.

§ 4 — SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORM OWNER REQUIREMENTS

Online Safety Center

The bill requires each social media platform owner, by October 1, 2026, to incorporate an online safety center into the platform. An online safety center must at least give consumers who live in Connecticut and

use the platform the following:

1. resources to (a) prevent cyberbullying on the platform and (b) enable them to identify ways to get mental health services, including a website address or telephone number to get services to treat an anxiety disorder or suicide prevention services;
2. access to online behavioral health educational resources;
3. an explanation of the platform's mechanism for reporting harmful or unwanted behavior, including cyberbullying on the platform; and
4. educational information about social media platforms' impact on users' mental health.

Under law and the bill, a "social media platform" is a public or semi-public Internet service or application used by a Connecticut consumer that:

1. is primarily intended to connect and allow users to socially interact within the service or application, and
2. enables a user to (a) construct a public or semi-public profile to sign into and use the service or application; (b) populate a public list of other users with whom the user shares a social connection within the service or application; and (c) create or post content seen by other users, including on message boards, in chat rooms, or through a landing page or main feed that also presents content from other users.

It is not a public or semi-public Internet service or application that:

1. only provides e-mail or direct messaging;
2. primarily has news, sports, entertainment, interactive video games, electronic commerce, or content the provider preselects or for which any chat, comments, or interactive functionality is incidental or directly related to, or dependent on, providing the

content; or

3. is used by and under an educational entity's direction, including a learning management system or student engagement program.

Cyberbullying Policy

The bill similarly requires each social media platform owner, by October 1, 2026, to establish a cyberbullying policy with a process for the owner to handle reports of cyberbullying on the platform. Cyberbullying is any act that (1) is reasonably likely to cause physical or emotional harm to a consumer or place him or her in fear of physical or emotional harm, or (2) infringes on a consumer's rights under state or federal law.

§§ 5-12 & 18 — CTDPA

Expansion of Applicability

The bill expands the individuals and entities covered by the CTDPA's requirements by lowering certain thresholds and adding additional qualifications.

Under current law, the CTDPA applies to individuals and entities that do business in Connecticut or produce products or services targeting Connecticut residents and, during the preceding calendar year, controlled or processed personal data of at least:

1. 100,000 consumers, excluding personal data controlled or processed solely for completing a payment transaction, or
2. 25,000 consumers, and derived more than 25% of their gross revenue from selling personal data.

The bill lowers the first threshold to 35,000 consumers and eliminates the second. It also extends the CTDPA to apply to persons that (1) control or process consumers' sensitive data (see below), excluding personal data controlled or processed solely for the purposes of completing a payment transaction, or (2) offer consumers' personal data for sale in trade or commerce.

Profiling

Under existing law, unchanged by the bill, “profiling” is any form of automated processing done on personal data to evaluate, analyze, or predict personal aspects related to an identified or identifiable individual’s economic situation, health, personal preferences, interests, reliability, behavior, location, or movements.

Decision That Produces Any Legal or Similarly Significant Effect. Under current law, “decisions that produce legal or similarly significant effects concerning a consumer” are controllers’ decisions that result in providing or denying financial or lending services, housing, insurance, education enrollment or opportunity, criminal justice, employment opportunities, health care services, or access to essential goods or services. The bill modifies the definition by (1) expanding it to also include any decision made on the controller’s behalf that has this effect and (2) narrowing it by eliminating decisions that result in providing or denying access to essential goods or services.

Opt-out. The bill expands a consumer’s right to opt out of personal data processing when the data is used for profiling to advance any, rather than only, automated decisions that produce legal or similarly significant effects concerning the consumer. If the consumer’s personal data were processed for profiling purposes to further any such automated decision concerning the consumer, and if feasible, the consumer has the right to:

1. question the result of the profiling;
2. be informed of the reason that the profiling resulted in the decision;
3. review his or her personal data that were processed for the profiling; and
4. if the profiling decision concerns housing, correct any incorrect personal data that were processed for profiling purposes and have the profiling decision reevaluated based on the corrected personal data, taking into account the nature of the personal data

and why the personal data were processed.

Impact Assessments. Under the bill, each controller that engages in any profiling for the purposes of making a decision that produces any legal or similarly significant effect related to a consumer must conduct an impact assessment for the profiling. The impact assessment must include the following, to the extent reasonably known by or available to the controller, as applicable:

1. a statement by the controller disclosing the profiling's purpose, intended use cases and deployment context, and benefits;
2. an analysis of whether the profiling poses any known or reasonably foreseeable heightened risk of harm to a consumer (see below), and, if so, the (a) nature of the risk and (b) necessary mitigation steps;
3. a description of the (a) main categories of personal data processed as inputs for the profiling and (b) outputs the profiling produces;
4. an overview of the main categories of personal data the controller used to customize the profiling, if applicable;
5. any metrics used to evaluate the performance and known profiling limitations;
6. a description of any transparency measures taken concerning the profiling, including any taken to disclose to consumers that the controller is engaged in the profiling while so engaged; and
7. a description of the post-deployment monitoring and user safeguards provided concerning the profiling, including the oversight, use, and learning processes the controller established to address issues arising from the profiling.

The bill applies to these impact assessments provisions that apply to data protection assessments under existing law, such as allowing (1) the attorney general to require certain disclosures, (2) a single assessment to

address comparable operations with similar activities, and (3) compliance with another applicable law or regulation to satisfy CTDPA requirements.

Under the bill, these impact assessment requirements apply to processing activities created or generated on or after August 1, 2026, and are not retroactive.

Under existing law, “heightened risk of harm to a consumer” generally includes the (1) processing of personal data for the purpose of targeted advertising; (2) sale of personal data; (3) processing of personal data for the purpose of profiling, where the profiling presents a reasonably foreseeable risk; or (4) processing of sensitive data.

Ability to Collect, Use, or Retain Data. The bill specifies that the obligations it imposes on controllers, processors, or consumer health data controllers do not restrict their ability to collect, use, or retain data for internal use to process personal data for profiling purposes to further any automated decision that may produce any legal or similarly significant effect concerning a consumer, if the personal data are:

1. processed only to the extent necessary to detect or correct any bias that may result from processing the data for profiling purposes, the bias cannot effectively be detected or corrected without processing the data, and the data are deleted once the processing has been completed;
2. processed subject to appropriate safeguards to protect the consumers’ rights under the U.S. or state constitutions or laws;
3. subject to technical restrictions concerning the reuse of the data and industry-standard security and privacy measures, including pseudonymization;
4. subject to measures to ensure that the data are secure, protected, and subject to suitable safeguards, including strict data access controls and related documentation, to avoid misuse and limit data access to authorized individuals only while preserving the

data's confidentiality; and

5. not transmitted or transferred to, or otherwise accessed by, any third party.

The bill also allows the controllers, processors, or consumer health data controllers to collect, use, or retain data for internal operations, consistent with the federal Children's Online Privacy Protection Act's (COPPA's) internal operations exception, when processing data under that exception.

Sensitive Data

Existing law prohibits controllers from processing sensitive data about a consumer (1) without consent, or (2) if the consumer is known to be a child under age 13, without following the COPPA (15 U.S.C. § 6501 et seq.). Controllers must also conduct and document a data protection assessment for each of their processing activities that presents a heightened risk of harm to consumers, including the processing of sensitive data.

The bill prohibits a controller from selling a consumer's sensitive data without the consumer's consent.

Under current law, "sensitive data" is personal data that includes, among other things, (1) data revealing a mental or physical health condition or diagnosis, (2) processed genetic or biometric data used to uniquely identify an individual, and (3) personal data collected from someone known to be a child.

Under existing law, "biometric data" is data generated by automatic measurements of an individual's biological characteristics that are used to identify a specific individual.

The bill also expands the "sensitive data" covered by the law by:

1. including data revealing (a) a mental or physical disability or treatment or (b) nonbinary or transgender status;
2. specifying that it includes genetic or biometric data or

information derived from the data, rather than only the processed data used to uniquely identify an individual; and

3. including personal data collected from an individual who the controller willfully disregards is a child, rather than based only on the controller's actual knowledge as under current law.

Under the bill, the following are also considered sensitive data:

1. neural data (any information generated by measuring the activity of an individual's central nervous system);
2. a consumer's financial account number or log-in information or credit or debit card numbers that, in combination with any required access or security code, password, or credential, would allow access to the consumer's financial account; or
3. government-issued identification number, including Social Security, passport, state identification card, or driver's license numbers, that applicable law does not require to be publicly displayed.

Publicly Available Information

Under current law, "publicly available information" is information that (1) is lawfully available through federal, state, or municipal government records or widely distributed media and (2) a controller has a reasonable basis to believe the consumer has lawfully made available to the general public. Under the bill, information is considered publicly available if either one of these requirements is satisfied, rather than both. Simultaneously, for widely distributed media information, the bill only requires that the controller have a reasonable basis to believe that the information has been lawfully made available to the general public. Under existing law, publicly available information is not "personal data" and is, therefore, not subject to the CTDPA.

The bill specifies that any biometric data that can be associated with a specific consumer and were collected without the consumer's consent is not considered publicly available information.

Consumer Health Data

The CTDPA sets standards on accessing and sharing consumer health data and places various specific limitations on consumer health data controllers. The bill expands what is considered “consumer health data” by including personal data that a controller uses to identify a consumer’s physical or mental health status. Current law includes personal data used to identify a physical or mental health condition or diagnosis.

Exemptions

The bill removes financial institutions or data subject to certain provisions of the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (15 U.S.C. § 6801 et seq.) from current law’s list of exempted entities, thus subjecting them to CTDPA requirements.

The bill also exempts the following from CTDPA:

1. candidate committees, national committees, party committees, or political committees;
2. insurers or their affiliates, fraternal benefit societies, insurance-support organizations, insurance agents, or insurance producers;
3. various banks, financial institutions (e.g., credit unions), or their affiliates or subsidiaries that (a) are only and directly engaged in financial activities as described in federal banking law, (b) are regulated and examined by the Banking Department or an applicable federal banking regulatory agency, and (c) have established a program to comply with applicable federal or state personal data-related requirements; and
4. agents, broker-dealers, investment advisers, or investment adviser agents who are regulated by the banking department or the federal Securities and Exchange Commission.

Current law exempts certain information and data from CTDPA, including those related to protecting human subjects under certain federal Food and Drug Administration-related regulations. The bill

specifies that this exemption only applies to personal data for these protection purposes.

The bill also exempts (1) financial institutions' customers' protected nonpublic personal information subject to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and (2) covered entities' (see above) limited data sets (i.e. protected health information that excludes specific identifiers) that are used, disclosed, and maintained for purposes such as research, public health, or health care operations (45 C.F.R. § 164.514(e)).

Consumer Rights

Under current law, a consumer has the right to confirm whether or not a controller is processing the consumer's personal data and access the data. The bill specifies that this includes any inferences about the consumer that are derived from the personal data and whether a controller or processor is processing the consumer's personal data for profiling purposes to make a decision that produces any legal or similarly significant effect on a consumer (as defined above). As under existing law, this right is available unless the confirmation or access would require the controller to reveal a trade secret. The bill also expands the exception to include when a controller is prohibited from disclosing certain personal information under the bill.

As under existing law for other requests, if a controller, using commercially reasonable efforts, cannot authenticate a consumer's request, the controller is not required to comply with the request to initiate an action under this provision. The controller must notify the consumer that it is unable to authenticate the request until the consumer provides additional information reasonably necessary to authenticate the consumer and his or her request.

Under the bill, a controller is prohibited from disclosing certain personal data in response to a consumer's request to confirm whether data is being processed and to access the data. The controller must instead inform the consumer or person acting on his or her behalf, with sufficient particularity, that the controller has collected the personal data on the consumer's (1) Social Security number; (2) driver's license,

state identification card, or other government-issued identification numbers; (3) financial account number; (4) health insurance or medical identification numbers; (5) account password; (6) security question or its answer; or (7) biometric data.

The bill also gives a consumer the right to obtain from the controller (1) a list of the third parties to whom the controller has sold the consumer's personal data or (2) if the controller does not maintain such a list, a list of all third parties to whom the controller has sold personal data; however, the controller is not required to reveal any trade secret.

Controller Requirements

Under current law, a controller must limit the collection of personal data to what is adequate, relevant, and reasonably necessary for data processing, as disclosed to the consumer. The bill instead limits the data collection to what is reasonably necessary and proportionate to the disclosed purpose for processing the data.

Current law prohibits controllers from processing personal data for purposes that are neither reasonably necessary to, nor compatible with, the purposes disclosed to the consumer, except with the consumer's consent. Under the bill, before processing a consumer's personal data for a material new purpose, the controller must consider the consumer's reasonable expectation regarding the personal data at the time they were collected based on the disclosed purposes. The controller must also consider the following:

1. the relationship between the new purpose and the purposes that were disclosed to the consumer;
2. the impact that processing the personal data for the new purpose might have on the consumer;
3. the relationship between the consumer and the controller and the context in which the personal data were collected; and
4. whether there are any additional safeguards, including encryption or pseudonymization, in processing the personal data

for the new purpose.

Under current law, controllers are prohibited from processing sensitive data (see above) without consumer consent or, in the case of children, not in accordance with COPPA. The bill further limits controllers from doing so unless it is reasonably necessary in relation to the purposes for which the sensitive data are processed.

Current law prohibits controllers from processing personal data in violation of state laws that prohibit unlawful discrimination against consumers. The bill specifies that this prohibition also applies to any evidence, or lack of evidence, on proactive anti-bias testing or similar efforts to avoid processing the data in violation of the law. The quality, efficacy, recency, and scope of the test or effort; the results of the test or effort; and the response to these results, are relevant to any claim available for a violation and any defense.

Privacy Notice and Disclosure

Existing law requires controllers to provide consumers with a reasonably accessible, clear, and meaningful privacy notice. The bill modifies the required notice in various ways as described below.

Notice on Third Parties and Targeted Advertising. Current law requires the notice to include the categories of personal data the controller shares with third parties and the categories of third parties with whom the controller shares personal data. Under the bill, this pertains to data the controller sells instead of what is shared as under current law.

Under current law, if a controller sells personal data to third parties or processes personal data for targeted advertising, the controller must clearly and conspicuously disclose the processing. The bill requires this disclosure to be included in the privacy notice.

Additional Privacy Notice Content. The bill also requires the controller to include the following information in the notice:

1. disclosure of whether the controller collects, uses, or sells

- personal data to train large language models; and
2. the most recent month and year during which the controller updated the privacy notice.

Under the bill, a controller must make the required privacy notice publicly available:

1. through a conspicuous hyperlink that includes the word “privacy” (a) on the homepage of the controller’s website, if the controller maintains one; (b) on a mobile device’s application store page or download page, if maintained by the controller; and (c) on the application’s settings menu or in a similarly conspicuous and accessible location, if the controller maintains an application for use on a mobile device or other device used to connect to the Internet;
2. through a medium where the controller regularly interacts with consumers, including mail, if the controller does not maintain a website;
3. in each language in which the controller (a) provides any product or service that is subject to the privacy notice, or (b) carries out any activity related to any such product or service; and
4. in a way that is reasonably accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities.

Notice of Retroactive Material Change. Whenever a controller makes any retroactive material change to the privacy notice or practices, the bill requires the controller to:

1. notify affected consumers regarding any personal data to be collected after the change’s effective date; and
2. provide a reasonable opportunity for these consumers to withdraw consent to any further and materially different collection, processing, or transfer of previously collected personal data following the material change.

The controller must also take all reasonable electronic measures to provide the notice to affected consumers, considering the available technology and the nature of the controller's relationship with the affected consumers.

Connecticut-Specific Notice Not Required. The bill specifies that it should not be construed to require a controller to provide a privacy notice that is specific to Connecticut if the controller provides a generally applicable privacy notice that satisfies the established requirements.

Opt-Outs

Under current law, the secure and reliable means required for a consumer to exercise their rights described above must include a clear and conspicuous link on the controller's website to a website that enables a consumer or the consumer's agent to opt out of the targeted advertising or sale of the consumer's personal data.

The bill specifically allows consumers to opt out of the processing of their personal data for targeted advertising and personal data sale purposes.

Processors' Assistance to Controllers

Under current law, a processor must assist the controller in meeting the controller's obligations under CTDPA, while considering the nature of processing and the information available to the processor by appropriate technical and organizational measures, as reasonably practicable, to fulfill the controller's obligation to respond to a request from a consumer exercising his or her rights under CTDPA.

The bill eliminates the requirement that processors take into account the appropriate technical and organizational measures and requires them to assist if possible, rather than when it is reasonably practicable.

Existing law requires processors to also assist by providing necessary information to enable controllers to conduct and document data protection assessments. The bill also requires this assistance regarding impact assessments.

§§ 8, 9 & 13-18 — MINORS AND SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS, ONLINE SERVICES, PRODUCTS, AND FEATURES***Heightened Risk of Harm to Minors (§ 13)***

Existing law requires a controller with consumers who are minors to use reasonable care to avoid causing any heightened risk of harm to minors in processing personal data. The bill broadens what constitutes “heightened risk of harm to minors” by also including the foreseeable risk of the following:

1. any physical violence against minors;
2. any material harassment of minors on any online service, product, or feature, where it is severe, pervasive, or objectively offensive to a reasonable person; or
3. any sexual abuse or sexual exploitation of minors.

Under current law, heightened risk of harm to minors includes the foreseeable risk of any (1) unfair or deceptive treatment of, or any unlawful disparate impact on, minors; (2) financial, physical, or reputational injury to minors; or (3) physical or other intrusion on the solitude or seclusion, or the private affairs or concerns, of minors if the intrusion would be offensive to a reasonable person. Under the bill, the injury or intrusion must be material.

In broadening the definition, the bill requires controllers to do additional data protection assessments for these new risk factors and make and implement plans to mitigate or eliminate the risk. By law, each controller with consumers who are minors must (1) do a data protection assessment of its online service, product, or feature to address any heightened risk of harm to minors that is a reasonably foreseeable result of offering the online service, product, or feature to minors and (2) make and implement a plan to mitigate or eliminate the risk.

By law, an “online service, product, or feature” is any service, product, or feature provided online, but not telecommunications or broadband Internet access service, or delivery or use of a physical

product.

Prohibition on Requiring Social Media Account for Request

Existing law requires social media platforms to unpublish a minor's social media account within 15 business days, and generally delete the account within 45 business days, after receiving an authenticated request. The bill prohibits social media platforms from requiring a minor's parent or legal guardian to create a social media account to submit such a request. But the platform may require the parent or legal guardian to use an existing account to submit the request, as long as the parent or legal guardian has access to the existing account.

Willfull Disregard that Consumer is a Child

Under current law, certain CTDPA requirements specifically require actual knowledge that a consumer is a child. The bill expands this to include instances where the controller willfully disregards that the consumer is a child. The standard applies to provisions:

1. allowing a parent or legal guardian to exercise consumer rights on a child's behalf for a controller's personal data processing, and
2. prohibiting controllers from processing sensitive data concerning a child in accordance with COPPA.

Processing Data for Targeted Advertising of Certain Children

Current law prohibits controllers from processing a consumer's personal data for targeted advertising or selling the data without the consumer's consent, for consumers ages 13-15.

The bill eliminates a minor's ability to consent and increases the age threshold to include 16- and 17-year-olds.

Consent Provision Eliminated

Currently, controllers that offer an online service, product, or feature to minors may take certain actions if they receive the minor's consent or, if the minor is younger than age 13, the minor's parent's or legal guardian's consent. The bill eliminates the ability for someone to consent for these provisions, thus generally prohibiting them.

Specifically, under the bill, these controllers are now generally prohibited from:

1. processing any minor's personal data for targeted advertising and personal data sales or collecting the minor's precise geolocation; and
2. using a system design feature to significantly increase, sustain, or extend a minor's use of the online service, product, or feature.

Precise Geolocation

The bill further limits when a controller that offers an online service, product, or feature to minors may collect a minor's precise geolocation data to circumstances under which it is strictly, rather than reasonably, necessary for the controller to provide the online service, product, or feature.

Automated Decisions

The bill prohibits controllers that offer any online service, product, or feature to a minor from profiling to advance any automated decisions that produce legal or similarly significant effects concerning the consumer. Current law limits this to apply only when the decision being advanced is fully automated.

Unsolicited Communications to Minors

The bill prohibits a controller from offering direct messaging unless it provides readily accessible and easy-to-use safeguards to allow a minor or a minor's parent or legal guardian to prevent adults that the minor is not connected with from sending unsolicited communications to the minor. It also requires this safeguard to be the default setting. Under current law, controllers only need to offer readily accessible and easy-to-use safeguards to limit an adult's ability to send these unsolicited communications.

Features Designed to Increase Use

Current law prohibits a controller from using any system design feature to significantly increase, sustain, or extend the use of an online

service, product, or feature without first getting the minor's consent or, if the minor is younger than age 13, the minor's parent's or legal guardian's consent. The bill prohibits this type of feature by removing the ability for someone to consent to it.

Educational Exception. The bill allows an educational entity, including a learning management system or a student engagement program, to use a service or application designed to significantly increase, sustain, or extend the use of the online service, product, or feature.

Impact Assessment

The bill requires an impact assessment for any controller that offers any online service, product, or feature to a minor if it does any profiling based on the consumer's personal data. The controller must do these assessments if it has actual knowledge, or willfully disregards, that the consumer is a minor. It requires a processor to provide any information that is needed for a controller to conduct and document an impact assessment. As under existing law, processors must adhere to a controller's instructions and assist the controller in meeting its obligations under CTDPA.

Requirements. The impact assessment must include, to the extent reasonably known by or available to the controller or processor, as applicable:

1. a statement disclosing the purpose, intended use cases and deployment context of, and benefits afforded by the online service, product, or feature, if it engages in any profiling to make decisions that produce legal or similarly significant effects about consumers;
2. an analysis of whether the profiling poses any known or reasonably foreseeable heightened risk of harm to minors and, if so, the nature of the risk and the steps taken to mitigate it;
3. a description of the (a) personal data categories the online service, product, or feature processes as inputs for the profiling, and (b)

resulting outputs the service, product, or feature produces;

4. an overview of the personal data categories used to customize the online service, product, or feature for the profiling, if any were used;
5. a description of any transparency measures taken on the online service, product, or feature about the profiling, including those to inform consumers that the service, product, or feature is being used for the profiling while it is occurring; and
6. a description of the post-deployment monitoring and user safeguards provided about the online service, product, or feature for the profiling, including the oversight, use, and learning processes established to address issues from deploying the service, product, or feature for the profiling.

The bill imposes the same requirements on these impact assessments as existing law imposes on a controller for a data protection assessment.

Review and Retention. The controller must (1) review the assessment as needed to account for any material change to the profiling operations of the online service, product, or feature that is the subject of the assessment and (2) keep documentation on the assessment for the longer of (a) three years, beginning when the profiling operation ends, or (b) as long as the service, product, or feature is offered.

Single Assessment. The bill allows a single impact assessment to address a comparable set of profiling operations that include similar activities. And if a controller does an assessment to comply with another law or regulation, that assessment satisfies the bill's assessment requirement if it is reasonably similar in scope and effect.

Plan to Mitigate or Eliminate Risk. Additionally, for controllers with assessments that show their online service, product, or feature poses a heightened risk to minors, the bill requires them to make and implement a plan to mitigate or eliminate the risk.

The bill also allows the attorney general to require a controller to

disclose to him a plan to mitigate or eliminate the risk, for both data protection assessments and impact assessments, if the plan is relevant to an attorney general investigation. The controller must disclose the plan within 90 days of the attorney general notifying the controller of the required disclosure.

Exempt From Disclosure. As is the case under existing law for data protection assessments, under the bill, impact assessments and harm mitigation or elimination plans are confidential and exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. If any information in an assessment or plan is disclosed to the attorney general and subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the disclosure does not waive the privilege or protection.

Internal Operations

The CTDPA specifies that the obligations it imposes on controllers or processors who offer online services, products, or features to minors do not restrict their ability to collect, use, or retain data for internal use to, among other things, perform internal operations such as those that are reasonably aligned with the consumer's expectations. The bill narrows the internal operation performances under these provisions to instances where the controllers and processors perform solely internal operations.

§ 19 — MOTOR VEHICLE DATA PRIVACY FOR SURVIVORS OF CERTAIN CRIMES

The bill allows survivors of certain crimes (e.g., domestic violence) to submit a connected vehicle service request to a covered provider (i.e. motor vehicle manufacturer, or entity acting on its behalf, that provides a connected vehicle service) to take certain actions to prevent an abuser (see definition below) from remotely obtaining data from, or sending commands to, a vehicle.

Definitions

Under the bill, a “survivor” is an adult (age 18 or older) against whom a covered act was committed or allegedly committed.

A “covered act” is an action that constitutes:

1. a crime under the federal Violence Against Women Act of 1994, such as domestic violence, dating violence, economic abuse, and stalking (34 U.S.C. § 12291(a));
2. severe forms of trafficking in persons or sex trafficking under federal law (22 U.S.C. § 7102(11) & (12)); or
3. a crime, act, or practice that is (a) similar to those described above and (b) prohibited under federal, state, or tribal law.

A “connected vehicle service request” is a survivor’s request to terminate or disable the abuser’s access to a connected vehicle service.

An “abuser” is an individual who (1) a survivor identifies in a connected vehicle service request, and (2) has committed, or allegedly committed, a covered act against the survivor who made the service request.

A “connected vehicle service” is any capability a motor vehicle manufacturer provides that allows a person to remotely obtain data from, or send commands to, a covered vehicle, including through a mobile device software application.

A “covered vehicle” is one that is (1) the subject of a connected vehicle request and (2) identified by a survivor under the bill’s provisions.

Survivor’s Connected Vehicle Service Request

Under the bill, survivors requesting that a connected vehicle service be terminated or disabled must include the vehicle identification number (VIN), the abuser’s name, and certain proof of ownership or possession over the vehicle. Proof of ownership or possession must include at least the following, as applicable:

1. proof that the survivor is the vehicle’s sole owner;
2. if the survivor is not the sole owner, proof that the survivor is legally entitled to exclusively possess the vehicle, such as a court order awarding exclusive possession of the vehicle to the survivor; or

3. if the abuser owns the vehicle, in whole or in part, a dissolution of marriage decree, restraining order, or temporary restraining order that names the abuser, and (a) gives the survivor exclusive possession of the vehicle or (b) restricts the use of a vehicle service by the abuser against the survivor.

Covered Provider Required Actions

Within two business days after a survivor submits a connected vehicle service request, the covered provider must take one or more of the following actions, whether or not the abuser is an account holder:

1. terminate or disable the covered connected vehicle services account associated with the abuser;
2. terminate or disable the covered connected vehicle services or services account associated with the covered vehicle, including by resetting or deleting its data or wireless connection, and giving the survivor instructions on how to reestablish the services or account; or
3. if the motor vehicle has an in-vehicle interface, informing the survivor about the interface's availability, and providing information on how to use it to terminate or disable the connected vehicle services.

Denial of Abuser Request

After the covered provider has acted, the provider must deny any request the abuser makes to obtain data (1) generated by the connected vehicle service after the abuser's access to the service was terminated or disabled due to the survivor's request and (2) that the covered provider maintains.

Covered Provider's Requirement to Act

Other than for a service request lacking the required information, the bill prohibits a covered provider from refusing to take the actions listed above based on other requirements not being satisfied, including any requirement for:

1. paying any fee, penalty, or other charge;
2. maintaining or extending the term of the covered connected vehicle services account;
3. obtaining approval from any account holder other than the survivor; or
4. increasing the rate charged for the connected vehicle service.

Notice to Survivor Required Before Notifying Abuser

If the covered provider intends to give the abuser any formal notice about any of the actions above, the provider must first notify the survivor about when it intends to do so.

The bill requires the covered provider to take reasonable steps to ensure that it only gives the abuser formal notice (1) at least three days after the provider notified the survivor and (2) after the provider has terminated or disabled the abuser's access to the connected vehicle service.

When Action is Not Operationally or Technically Feasible

Under the bill, covered providers are not required to take any of the actions above if the provider cannot operationally or technically perform them. If that is the case, the provider must promptly notify the survivor who submitted the request. The notice must at least disclose whether the covered provider's inability to perform the action operationally or technically can be remedied and any steps the survivor can take to assist the provider in doing so.

Confidentiality of Request-Related Information

The covered provider and its officers, directors, employees, vendors, or agents must treat all information the survivor submits as confidential and must securely dispose of the information within 90 days after the survivor's submission. A covered provider is prohibited from disclosing connected vehicle service request-related information to a third party unless the (1) survivor affirmatively consents or (2) disclosure is necessary to perform the connected vehicle service request.

The bill specifically allows covered providers to maintain certain records for longer than 90 days if the records are reasonably necessary and proportionate to verify that the survivor fulfilled the conditions.

Material Change Notifications

The survivor must take reasonable steps to notify the covered provider about any change in the ownership or possession of the covered vehicle that materially affects the need for the covered provider to take the required actions listed above.

Emergency Situations

Regardless of the requirements above, the bill does not prohibit or prevent a covered provider from terminating or disabling an abuser's access to a connected vehicle service in an emergency situation after receiving a connected vehicle service request.

Website Instructions

The bill requires each covered provider to publicly post on its website a statement describing how a survivor may submit a connected vehicle service request to the provider.

Immunity

The bill provides immunity from civil liability to each covered provider and its officers, directors, employees, vendors, and agents for any act or omission they commit under this provision if the act or omission was committed to comply with the provision.

§ 20 — AUTOMATIC RENEWAL AND CONTINUOUS SERVICE

The law prohibits a business that enters a consumer agreement with automatic renewal or continuous service from charging a credit or debit card or other account unless the consumer affirmatively consents to the renewal or continuous service. The bill requires a court or agency to consider whether the business produced a record of consent that complied with applicable law, including the law on recording phone calls, when considering whether a business obtained the required consent.

The bill also makes various technical and conforming changes.

§ 21 — MOTOR VEHICLES QUOTED PRICE

Currently, a car dealer quoting a sale price must separately state the dealer conveyance fee. The bill requires the quote to (1) include any fee, charge, or cost for an optional add-on consumer good or service and (2) separately state the amount of each of these fees, charges, and costs, and that they are optional.

The bill prohibits printing the dealer's order and invoice forms with fees, charges, and costs for optional add-on consumer goods or services before a discussion with a prospective buyer.

The bill also makes technical and conforming changes.

§ 22 — HOME IMPROVEMENT CONTRACTOR ADVERTISING

The bill creates an alternate way for certain home improvement contractors to satisfy the requirement that they include the fact of their registration and registration number in any advertisement. It permits a home improvement contractor that is a publicly traded business entity listed on any stock exchange within the United States that spends at least 30% of its advertising expenditures on campaigns concurrently directed at audiences in at least five states to meet this requirement by including in the advertisement, unless it is a direct-to-consumer advertisement, a telephone number or a link to a website where someone can obtain or view a statement whether the contractor is registered and the contractor's registration number.

Under existing law, home improvement contractors must also show their registration when asked to do so by any interested party.

By law, a violation of these advertising provisions is a Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) violation.

BACKGROUND

Related Bill

HB 1294 (File 334), favorably reported by the General Law Committee, has a similar provision on home improvement contractor

advertising.

CUTPA

By law, CUTPA prohibits businesses from engaging in unfair and deceptive acts or practices. It allows the DCP commissioner, under specified procedures, to issue regulations defining an unfair trade practice, investigate complaints, issue cease and desist orders, order restitution in cases involving less than \$10,000, impose civil penalties of up to \$5,000, enter into consent agreements, ask the attorney general to seek injunctive relief, and accept voluntary statements of compliance. It also allows individuals to sue. Courts may issue restraining orders; award actual and punitive damages, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees; and impose civil penalties of up to \$5,000 for willful violations and up to \$25,000 for a restraining order violation.

COMMITTEE ACTION

General Law Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 21 Nay 0 (03/21/2025)