

OFFICE OF FISCAL ANALYSIS

Legislative Office Building, Room 5200
Hartford, CT 06106 ◇ (860) 240-0200
<http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa>

sHB-6854

AN ACT CONCERNING THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER
PROTECTION'S RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING ALCOHOLIC
LIQUOR REGULATION.

AMENDMENT

LCO No.: 9218

File Copy No.: 617

House Calendar No.: 378

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Resources of the General Fund	GF - Revenue Impact	See Below	See Below

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The amendment strikes the underlying bill and its associated fiscal impact resulting in the following impact.

The amendment makes various changes to the state's liquor control statutes resulting in the revenue impact described below.

Section 8 creates a daily in-state transporter liquor permit for a fee of \$150 for every vehicle or boat used in the state, resulting in a potential revenue loss to the state to the extent current annual in-state transporter licenses switch to the daily in-state transporter permit which has a lower fee.¹ In FY 24 there were 115 application and renewal requests for an

¹The permit is created as an alternative to the annual in-state transporter permit which has an annual fee of \$1,250 for the first boat or vehicle and \$200 for each additional boat or vehicle.

annual in-state transporter liquor permit.

Section 10 allows a qualified managed residential community to hold a caterer permit which allows alcohol to be served resulting in a potential revenue gain to the state to the extent additional permits are applied for. The caterer permit has an annual fee of \$1,450.

Section 12 increases the period of time a temporary auction permit is valid and increases the number of times DCP may issue the permit resulting in a potential revenue gain to the state to the extent additional permits are requested. The permit has a fee of \$175 per day plus a \$100 application fee. In FY 24 there were five temporary auction permit applications.

Section 15 allows DCP to impose a fine of up to \$1,000 for certain THC-infused beverage violations resulting in a potential revenue gain to the state to the extent that violations occur.

The amendment also makes various changes to the state's liquor control statutes that result in no fiscal impact to the state.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.