

OFFICE OF FISCAL ANALYSIS

Legislative Office Building, Room 5200
Hartford, CT 06106 ◇ (860) 240-0200
<http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa>

HB-6917

AN ACT CONCERNING THE MANAGEMENT OF SOLID WASTE
IN THE STATE.

AMENDMENT

LCO No.: 9814

File Copy No.: 884

House Calendar No.: 578

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Department of Administrative Services; Various State Agencies	App Fund - Cost	None	None

Note: App Fund=All Appropriated Funds

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 26 \$	FY 27 \$
Various Municipalities	STATE MANDATE ¹ - Cost	None	None
Local and Regional School Districts	STATE MANDATE - Cost	None	None

Explanation

The amendment strikes the underlying bill and its associated fiscal impact.

¹ State mandate is defined in Sec. 2-32b(2) of the Connecticut General Statutes, "state mandate" means any state initiated constitutional, statutory or executive action that requires a local government to establish, expand or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues.

Primary Analyst: WL
Contributing Analyst(s): LG
Reviewer: CW

5/29/25
(SM)

Section 1 results in out-year costs which are outlined below.

Section 2, which establishes a task force to study the reduction of single-use plastics in the state, does not result in a fiscal impact to the state or municipalities because the task force has the expertise to carry out these requirements.

The Out Years

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 28 \$	FY 29 \$	FY 30 \$
Department of Administrative Services; Various State Agencies	App Fund - Cost	Up to 1.3 million	Up to 1.3 million	Up to 1.3 million

Note: App Fund=All Appropriated Funds

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 28 \$	FY 29 \$	FY 30\$
Various Municipalities	STATE MANDATE - Cost	See Below	See Below	See Below
Local and Regional School Districts	STATE MANDATE - Cost	Potential Significant	Potential Significant	Potential Significant

Section 1, effective July 1, 2027, bans polystyrene foodware and packaging products and single-use plastic stirrers and sticks by various entities including state and municipal agencies, schools, vendors with government contracts.

The ban requires the state to renegotiate various food contracts to meet the new requirements resulting in a cost of up to \$1.3 million per year beginning in FY 28. The ban results in a potential cost to local and regional school districts starting in FY 28, to the extent school districts are not compliant with the requirements of the bill. School districts may have to renegotiate various contracts and may require additional equipment and staffing for dishwashing, or need to purchase disposable items that comply with the bill. For districts that do not currently comply with the bill's requirements, the costs may be significant. The

ban may also result in a potential cost to municipalities to the extent they have to renegotiate contracts to meet these requirements.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.