

General Assembly

Amendment

January Session, 2025

LCO No. 8188



Offered by:

SEN. MARONEY, 14th Dist.

To: Subst. Senate Bill No. 2

File No. 603

Cal. No. 328

"AN ACT CONCERNING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE."

- Strike everything after the enacting clause and substitute the following in lieu thereof:
- "Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) For the purposes of this section and sections 2 to 5, inclusive, of this act, unless the context otherwise requires:
 - (1) "Algorithmic discrimination" (A) means any use of an artificial intelligence system that results in any unlawful differential treatment or impact that disfavors any individual or group of individuals on the basis of one or more classifications protected under the laws of this state or federal law, and (B) does not include (i) the offer, license or use of a high-risk artificial intelligence system by a developer or deployer for the sole purpose of (I) the developer's or deployer's testing to identify, mitigate or prevent discrimination or otherwise ensure compliance with state and federal law, or (II) expanding an applicant, customer or participant pool to increase diversity or redress historic discrimination, or (ii) an act or omission by or on behalf of a private club or other establishment not

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

in fact open to the public, as set forth in Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 18 1964, 42 USC 2000a(e), as amended from time to time;

- 19 (2) "Artificial intelligence system" means any machine-based system
 20 that (A) for any explicit or implicit objective, infers from the inputs such
 21 system receives how to generate outputs, including, but not limited to,
 22 content, decisions, predictions or recommendations, that can influence
 23 physical or virtual environments, and (B) may vary in its level of
 24 autonomy and adaptiveness after such system is deployed;
- 25 (3) "Consequential decision" means any decision or judgment that has 26 any material legal or similarly significant effect on the provision or 27 denial to any consumer of, or the cost or terms of, (A) any education 28 enrollment or education opportunity, (B) any employment or 29 employment opportunity, (C) any loan, financing or credit offered or 30 extended to a consumer for any personal, family or household purpose, 31 (D) any service provided by this state or any municipality in this state 32 to support the continuing operations of any state or municipal 33 government agency or to provide for the public health, safety or welfare, 34 including, but not limited to, any such service provided for the purposes 35 of Medicare, Medicaid, law enforcement, regulatory oversight, licensing 36 or permitting, (E) any health care services, (F) any housing, or (G) any 37 legal services;
- 38 (4) "Consumer" means any individual who is a resident of this state;
- 39 (5) "Deploy" means to put a high-risk artificial intelligence system 40 into use;
- 41 (6) "Deployer" means any person doing business in this state that 42 deploys a high-risk artificial intelligence system in this state;
- 43 (7) "Developer" means any person doing business in this state that 44 develops, or intentionally and substantially modifies, an artificial 45 intelligence system;
- 46 (8) "High-risk artificial intelligence system" (A) means any artificial

intelligence system that, when deployed, makes, or is a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision, and (B) unless the technology, when deployed, makes, or is a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision, does not include (i) any technology that (I) performs any narrow procedural task that is limited in nature, including, but not limited to, any technology that classifies incoming documents into categories, is used to detect duplicate applications among a large number of applications, categorizes documents based on when such documents were received, renames files according to standardized naming conventions or automates the extraction of metadata for indexing, (II) improves a previously completed human activity and is not a substantial factor in any decision resulting from such human activity, including, but not limited to, any technology that improves the language used in previously drafted documents, or (III) detects preexisting decision-making patterns, or deviations from preexisting decision-making patterns, following a previously completed human assessment that such technology is not intended to influence or replace without sufficient human review, including, but not limited to, any technology that analyzes a particular decision-maker's preexisting decisions or decision-making patterns and designates any decision as potentially inconsistent or anomalous, (ii) any tool for filtering robocalls or junk or spam electronic mail or messages, (iii) any spell-checking tool, (iv) any calculator, (v) any Internet or computer network infrastructure optimization, diagnostic or maintenance tool, including, but not limited to, any domain name registration, web site hosting, content delivery, web caching, network traffic management or system diagnostic tool, (vi) any database, spreadsheet or similar tool that exclusively organizes data that is already in the possession of the person using such database, spreadsheet or similar tool, (vii) any technology that is used to perform, assist or administer office support functions and other ancillary business operations, including, but not limited to, any technology that is used to order office supplies, manage meeting schedules or automate inventory tracking, (viii) any fraud prevention system or tool that is used to prevent, detect or respond to any unlawful and malicious conduct or to comply with state and federal law, or (ix) any technology

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

that communicates with consumers in natural language for the purpose of providing consumers with information, referrals, recommendations or answers to questions, provided such technology is subject to an acceptable use policy;

- (9) "Intentional and substantial modification" (A) means any deliberate material change made to an artificial intelligence system that was not predetermined by a developer and materially increases the risk of algorithmic discrimination, and (B) does not include any change made to a high-risk artificial intelligence system, or the performance of a high-risk artificial intelligence system, if (i) the high-risk artificial intelligence system continues to learn after such high-risk artificial intelligence system is (I) offered, sold, leased, licensed, given or otherwise made available to a deployer, or (II) deployed, and (ii) such change (I) is made to such high-risk artificial intelligence system as a result of any learning described in subparagraph (B)(i) of this subdivision, (II) was predetermined by the deployer, or the third party contracted by the deployer, when such deployer or third party completed the initial impact assessment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system as set forth in subparagraph (B) of subdivision (2) of subsection (a) of section 3 of this act, and (III) is included in the technical documentation for such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- 103 (10) "Person" means any individual, association, corporation, limited 104 liability company, partnership, trust or other legal entity;
- (11) "Substantial factor" (A) means a factor that (i) assists in making a consequential decision, (ii) is capable of altering the outcome of a consequential decision, and (iii) is generated by an artificial intelligence system, and (B) includes, but is not limited to, any use of an artificial intelligence system to generate any content, decision, prediction or recommendation concerning a consumer that is used as a basis to make a consequential decision concerning the consumer; and
- 112 (12) "Trade secret" has the same meaning as provided in section 35-113 51 of the general statutes.

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

Sec. 2. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) In any cause of action that is founded in tort, brought under section 42-110g of the general statutes and alleges that the use of a high-risk artificial intelligence system resulted in algorithmic discrimination against consumers, the Superior Court shall not assess punitive damages against a developer if:

- (1) The algorithmic discrimination was not the result of any wanton, wilful or grossly negligent act or omission of the developer, and:
- 121 (A) The developer was in full compliance with the guidance and 122 standards set forth in the then current version of (i) the "Artificial 123 Intelligence Risk Management Framework" published by the National 124 Institute of Standards and Technology, (ii) ISO or IEC 42001 of the 125 International Organization for Standardization, or (iii) a nationally or 126 internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial 127 intelligence systems, other than the guidance and standards specified in 128 subparagraphs (A)(i) and (A)(ii) of this subdivision, that imposed 129 requirements that were substantially equivalent to, and at least as 130 stringent as, the requirements set forth in the guidance and standards 131 specified in subparagraphs (A)(i) and (A)(ii) of this subdivision; or
 - (B) The developer, or a third party contracted by the developer, made available to each deployer or other developer of the high-risk artificial intelligence system, with respect to the activities the developer performed in contributing to the development of such high-risk artificial intelligence system:
 - (i) A general statement describing the intended uses, and the known harmful or inappropriate uses, of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
 - (ii) Documentation disclosing (I) high-level summaries of the type of data used to train such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (II) the known or reasonably foreseeable limitations of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, the known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from

119

120

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (III) the purpose of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, and (IV) the intended benefits and uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

- (iii) Any documentation in addition to the documentation described in subparagraph (B)(ii) of this subdivision that was reasonably necessary to assist such deployer or other developer to understand the outputs, and monitor the performance, of such high-risk artificial intelligence system; and
- 154 (iv) Documentation describing (I) how such high-risk artificial 155 intelligence system was evaluated for performance, and mitigation of 156 algorithmic discrimination, before such high-risk artificial intelligence 157 system was offered, sold, leased, licensed, given or otherwise made 158 available to such deployer, (II) the data governance measures used to 159 cover the training datasets and the measures used to examine the 160 suitability of data sources, possible biases and appropriate mitigation, 161 (III) the intended outputs of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, 162 (IV) the measures the developer had taken to mitigate any known or 163 reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that might 164 arise from deployment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, 165 and (V) how such high-risk artificial intelligence system was intended 166 to be used, based on known or reasonably foreseeable harmful or 167 inappropriate applications, and monitored by an individual when such 168 high-risk artificial intelligence system is used to make, or as a substantial 169 factor in making, a consequential decision;
 - (2) The developer, or a third party contracted by the developer, made available to each deployer of the high-risk artificial intelligence system, through artifacts such as system cards or other impact assessments, the documentation and information necessary for such deployer, or a third party contracted by such deployer, to complete an impact assessment as set forth in subparagraph (B) of subdivision (2) of subsection (a) of section 3 of this act;

149

150

151

152

153

170

171

172

173

174

175

177 (3) The developer, or a third party contracted by the developer, made 178 available, in a manner that was clear and readily available on such 179 developer's Internet web site or in a public use case inventory, a 180 statement summarizing:

- (A) The types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems that such developer (i) developed or intentionally and substantially modified, and (ii) made available to a deployer or another developer; and
 - (B) How such developer would manage any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that might arise from the intended uses of the types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision; and
 - (4) The developer, or a third party contracted by the developer, updated the statement made available pursuant to subdivision (3) of this subsection (A) as necessary to ensure that such statement remained accurate, and (B) not later than ninety days after the developer intentionally and substantially modified any high-risk artificial intelligence system described in subparagraph (A) of subdivision (3) of this subsection.
 - (b) Except as provided in subsection (a) of this section, nothing in this section shall be construed to (1) preempt or otherwise affect any right, claim, remedy, presumption or defense available at law or in equity, or (2) limit the authority of the Attorney General or the Commissioner of Consumer Protection to seek any relief available at law or in equity.
- Sec. 3. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) In any cause of action that is founded in tort, brought under section 42-110g of the general statutes and alleges that the use of a high-risk artificial intelligence system resulted in algorithmic discrimination against consumers, the Superior Court shall not assess punitive damages against a deployer if the algorithmic discrimination was not the result of any wanton, wilful or grossly negligent act or omission of the deployer, and:
- 207 (1) The deployer was in full compliance with the guidance and

208 standards set forth in the then current version of (A) the "Artificial 209 Intelligence Risk Management Framework" published by the National 210 Institute of Standards and Technology, (B) ISO or IEC 42001 of the 211 International Organization for Standardization, or (C) a nationally or 212 internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial 213 intelligence systems, other than the guidance and standards specified in 214 subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this subdivision, that imposed 215 requirements that were substantially equivalent to, and at least as

- 216 stringent as, the requirements set forth in the guidance and standards
- 217 specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this subdivision; or
- 218 (2) The deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer:
- 219 (A) Implemented and maintained a risk management policy and 220 program to govern such deployer's deployment of the high-risk artificial 221 intelligence system, (i) which policy and program specified and 222 incorporated the principles, processes and personnel that the deployer 223 used to identify, document and mitigate any known or reasonably 224 foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination, and (ii) which policy 225 was the product of an iterative process, which program was an iterative 226 process and which policy and program were planned, implemented and 227 regularly and systematically reviewed and updated over the lifecycle of 228 such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
 - (B) Completed an impact assessment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system (i) at least annually, and (ii) not later than ninety days after an intentional and substantial modification to such high-risk artificial intelligence system was made available;
- 233 (C) Included in each impact assessment completed as set forth in 234 subparagraph (B) of this subdivision, at a minimum and to the extent 235 reasonably known by, or available to, the deployer:
- 236 (i) A statement by the deployer disclosing the purpose, intended use 237 cases and deployment context of, and benefits afforded by, the high-risk 238 artificial intelligence system;

229

230

231

(ii) An analysis of whether the deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system would pose any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination and, if so, the nature of such algorithmic discrimination and the steps that would be taken to mitigate such risks;

- 244 (iii) A description of the categories of data the high-risk artificial 245 intelligence system processed as inputs;
- 246 (iv) A description of the outputs such high-risk artificial intelligence 247 system produced;
- (v) If the deployer used data to customize the high-risk artificial intelligence system, an overview of the categories of data the deployer used to customize such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (vi) Any metrics used to evaluate the performance and known limitations of the high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (vii) A high-level description of any transparency measures taken concerning the high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, any measures taken to disclose to a consumer that such highrisk artificial intelligence system was in use when such high-risk artificial intelligence system was in use; and
 - (viii) A high-level description of the post-deployment monitoring and user safeguards provided concerning such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including, but not limited to, the oversight, use and learning process established by the deployer to address issues that arose from deployment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system; and
 - (ix) In addition to the statement, analysis, descriptions, overview and metrics set forth in subparagraphs (C)(i) to (C)(viii), inclusive, of this subdivision, included in each impact assessment completed as set forth in subparagraph (B) of this subdivision following an intentional and substantial modification made to the high-risk artificial intelligence system a high-level statement that disclosed the extent to which the

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

high-risk artificial intelligence system was used in a manner that was consistent with, or varied from, the developer's intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

- (D) Maintained the most recently completed impact assessment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system, all records concerning each such impact assessment and all prior impact assessments, if any, for a period of at least three years following the final deployment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;
- (E) Annually reviewed the deployment of each high-risk artificial intelligence system deployed by the deployer to ensure that such high-risk artificial intelligence system was not causing algorithmic discrimination;
 - (F) Made available, in a manner that was clear and readily available on such deployer's Internet web site, a statement summarizing:
- 283 (i) The types of high-risk artificial intelligence systems that were 284 deployed by such deployer;
- (ii) How such deployer would manage any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that might arise from deployment of each high-risk artificial intelligence system described in subparagraph (F)(i) of this subdivision;
- 289 (iii) In detail, the nature, source and extent of the information 290 collected and used by such deployer; and
- (iv) How a consumer may exercise rights under section 42-518 of the general statutes by the secure and reliable means established and described pursuant to subsection (b) of section 42-518 of the general statutes; and
- 295 (G) Periodically updated the statement made available pursuant to subparagraph (F) of this subdivision.
- 297 (b) Except as provided in subsection (a) of this section, nothing in this

281

section shall be construed to (1) preempt or otherwise affect any right, claim, remedy, presumption or defense available at law or in equity, or (2) limit the authority of the Attorney General or the Commissioner of Consumer Protection to seek any relief available at law or in equity.

- Sec. 4. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, and except as provided in subdivision (2) of this subsection, each person doing business in this state, including, but not limited to, each deployer that deploys, offers, sells, leases, licenses, gives or otherwise makes available, as applicable, any artificial intelligence system that is intended to interact with consumers shall ensure that it is disclosed to each consumer who interacts with such artificial intelligence system that such consumer is interacting with an artificial intelligence system.
- (2) No disclosure shall be required under subdivision (1) of this subsection under circumstances in which a reasonable person would deem it obvious that such person is interacting with an artificial intelligence system.
- (b) (1) Beginning on October 1, 2026, each deployer that intends to deploy a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or be a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision concerning a consumer shall provide a notice to the consumer (A) disclosing that such deployer intends to deploy the high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or be a substantial factor in making, the consequential decision, (B) describing the high-risk artificial intelligence system and the purpose of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, (C) describing the nature of the consequential decision, (D) describing how the outputs will be used to make, or as a substantial factor in making, the consequential decision and disclosing whether a human will review such outputs or such consequential decision before such consequential decision is finalized, (E) disclosing the sources of the personal information that was collected from such consumer and will be used to make, or as a substantial factor in making, the consequential decision, (F) disclosing information concerning such consumer's right, under subparagraph (C) of subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of section 42-518

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316 317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

of the general statutes, to opt-out of the processing of such consumer's personal data for the purposes set forth in said subparagraph, if applicable, and (G) disclosing contact information for such deployer.

- (2) Each deployer that is required to provide a notice to a consumer pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection shall provide the notice (A) directly to the consumer, (B) in plain language, (C) in all languages in which such deployer, in the ordinary course of such deployer's business, provides contracts, disclaimers, sales announcements and other information to consumers, and (D) in a format that is accessible to consumers with disabilities.
 - (c) (1) The provisions of subsections (a) and (b) of this section shall not be construed to require any person to disclose any information that is a trade secret or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or federal law.
- (2) If a person withholds any information from a consumer under subdivision (1) of this subsection, the person shall send a notice to the consumer disclosing (A) that such person is withholding such information from such consumer, and (B) the basis for such person's decision to withhold such information from such consumer.
 - (d) A violation of subsection (a) or (b) of this section shall constitute an unfair trade practice under subsection (a) of section 42-110b of the general statutes and shall be enforced solely by the Attorney General. The provisions of section 42-110g of the general statutes shall not apply to any such violation. Nothing in this section shall be construed to create a private right of action.
 - Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) Not later than January 1, 2026, the Attorney General shall, within available appropriations, develop and implement a comprehensive public education, outreach and assistance program for developers and deployers that are small businesses, as defined in section 4-168a of the general statutes. Such program shall, at a minimum, disseminate educational materials

362 concerning (1) the provisions of sections 1 to 4, inclusive, of this act, (2)

- the Attorney General's powers under section 4 of this act, and (3) any
- other matters the Attorney General, in the Attorney General's discretion,
- deems relevant for the purposes of such program.
- Sec. 6. (NEW) (Effective from passage) (a) For the purposes of this
- section, "legislative leader" has the same meaning as provided in
- subsection (b) of section 4-9d of the general statutes.
- 369 (b) Each legislative leader may request that the executive director of
- 370 the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering designate a fellow
- 371 selected by said academy to serve as such legislative leader's liaison
- 372 with said academy, the office of the Attorney General and the
- 373 Department of Economic and Community Development for the purpose
- 374 of:
- 375 (1) Making recommendations concerning establishing a framework
- 376 to provide a controlled and supervised environment in which artificial
- 377 intelligence systems may be tested, which recommendations shall
- include, at a minimum, recommendations concerning the establishment
- of (A) an office to oversee such framework and environment, and (B) a
- program that would enable consultations between the state, businesses
- and other stakeholders concerning such framework and environment;
- 382 (2) Evaluating (A) the adoption of artificial intelligence systems by
- businesses, (B) the challenges posed to, and needs of, businesses in (i)
- 384 adopting artificial intelligence systems, and (ii) understanding laws and
- 385 regulations concerning artificial intelligence systems, and (C) how
- 386 businesses that use artificial intelligence systems hire employees with
- 387 necessary skills concerning artificial intelligence systems;
- 388 (3) Creating a plan for the state to provide high-performance
- 389 computing services to businesses and researchers in the state;
- 390 (4) Evaluating the benefits of creating a state-wide research
- 391 collaborative among health care providers to enable the development of
- 392 advanced analytics, ethical and trustworthy artificial intelligence

systems and hands-on workforce education while using methods that protect patient privacy;

- (5) Evaluating, and making recommendations concerning, (A) the establishment of testbeds to support safeguards and systems to prevent the misuse of artificial intelligence systems, (B) risk assessments for the misuse of artificial intelligence systems, (C) evaluation strategies for artificial intelligence systems, and (D) the development, testing and evaluation of resources to support state oversight of artificial intelligence systems;
- (6) Developing a plan to design or identify an algorithmic computer model for the purpose of simulating and assessing various public policy decisions or proposed public policy decisions and the actual or potential effects of such decisions or proposed decisions; and
- 406 (7) Developing a plan to establish a technology transfer program (A) 407 for the purpose of supporting commercialization of new ideas and 408 research among public and private institutions of higher education in 409 this state, and (B) by working with (i) relevant public and private 410 organizations, including, but not limited to, the Department of 411 Economic and Community Development, and (ii) The University of 412 Connecticut and a state-wide consortium of public and private entities 413 in the state, including, but not limited to, public and private institutions 414 of higher education in the state, designed to advance the development, 415 application and impact of artificial intelligence across the state, to assess 416 whether The University of Connecticut can support technology 417 commercialization at other public and private institutions of higher 418 education in the state.
 - (c) No fellow of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering designated pursuant to subsection (b) of this section shall be deemed a state employee, or receive any compensation from the state, for performing such fellow's duties under said subsection.
- (d) Not later than January 1, 2026, the fellows of the Connecticut

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

419

420

421

424 Academy of Science and Engineering designated pursuant to subsection

- 425 (b) of this section shall jointly submit a report, in accordance with the
- 426 provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing
- 427 committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters
- 428 relating to commerce and consumer protection.
- Sec. 7. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) As used in this section:
- 430 (1) "Active participant" means a developer or deployer participating
- in the regulatory sandbox program offered by the institute pursuant to
- 432 this section;
- 433 (2) "Artificial intelligence system" has the same meaning as provided
- 434 in section 1 of this act;
- 435 (3) "Consumer" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this
- 436 act;
- 437 (4) "Deployer" means any person doing business in this state that
- 438 deploys an artificial intelligence system;
- (5) "Developer" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this
- 440 act;
- 441 (6) "Institute" means the Connecticut AI Safety Institute developed,
- 442 established and administered pursuant to this section; and
- 443 (7) "Person" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this act.
- (b) The Attorney General shall enter into a contract with an outside
- vendor to develop, establish and administer a "Connecticut AI Safety
- Institute" for the purpose of offering services, including, but not limited
- 447 to, the provision of de-identified or synthetic data for testing, secure
- 448 data storage and access controls, representative and diverse data sets,
- bias evaluation toolkits, isolated testing environments and a regulatory
- 450 sandbox program. The outside vendor shall:
- 451 (1) Be a state-wide consortium of public and private entities in the

state, including, but not limited to, public and private institutions of higher education in the state, designed to advance the development,

- application and impact of artificial intelligence across the state; and
- 455 (2) Annually submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of 456 section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the Attorney General and the 457 joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance 458 of matters relating to consumer protection and commerce disclosing:
- (A) The composition of the outside vendor's board;
- (B) The resources available to the outside vendor to administer the institute;
- 462 (C) The outside vendor's funding sources; and
- (D) Whether the outside vendor has engaged representatives of civil society to perform any evaluation or reporting functions.
 - (c) (1) The regulatory sandbox program shall serve as a pilot program to facilitate the development, testing and deployment of innovative artificial intelligence systems in the state. The program shall be designed to (A) promote the safe and innovative use of artificial intelligence systems across various sectors, including, but not limited to, education, finance, health care and public service, (B) encourage the responsible deployment of artificial intelligence systems while balancing the need for consumer protection, privacy and public safety, and (C) provide clear guidelines for active participants to test artificial intelligence systems during the period set forth in subsection (e) of this section.
- 475 (2) The outside vendor shall submit a regulatory sandbox plan to the 476 Attorney General, in a form and manner prescribed by the Attorney 477 General, which plan shall include:
- (A) The criteria the outside vendor shall use to determine whether (i) to accept a developer or deployer as an active participant, and (ii) an active participant has exercised heightened care, and adhered to pre-

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

sSB₂ Amendment

481 deployment and post-deployment best practices and procedures, to 482 prevent the active participant's artificial intelligence system from

- 483 causing any personal injury, any property damage or the violation of
- 484 any right available under applicable law;

491

502

503

504

505

- 485 (B) The means by which the outside vendor shall ensure that any 486 active participant who is a developer shall disclose any risks, incident 487 reports and risk mitigation efforts to the institute;
- 488 (C) The scope of artificial intelligence systems that an active 489 participant may develop, test and deploy as part of the regulatory 490 sandbox program and, if any such artificial intelligence system is updated, the technical threshold at which the outside vendor shall 492 require reapplication to participate in the regulatory sandbox program;
- 493 (D) A protocol to collect data from active participants for public 494 disclosure, which protocol shall address:
- 495 (i) The aggregation and tracking of evaluation data from certified 496 laboratories;
- 497 (ii) The categories of metadata that shall be aggregated and tracked; 498 and
- 499 (iii) The measures the outside vendor shall take to protect trade 500 secrets and mitigate any risk of violating state or federal antitrust law 501 due to information sharing;
 - (E) If the outside vendor intends to use one or more third parties to provide audit or certification services for artificial intelligence systems or active participants, how the outside vendor will ensure that such third parties exercise heightened care and adhere to best practices to accurately evaluate artificial intelligence systems or active participants;
- 507 (F) The proposed implementation and enforcement of whistleblower 508 protections for active participants;
- 509 Required remediation by active participants following

510 noncompliance;

- 511 (H) An approach to reporting of societal risks and benefits identified 512 through auditing; and
- 513 (I) An approach to interfacing effectively with federal authorities and authorities of other states.
 - (3) The regulatory sandbox plan submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to subdivision (2) of this subsection may be tailored to a particular artificial intelligence market segment.
 - (4) The institute shall not accept any application under subdivision (1) of subsection (d) of this section unless (A) the Attorney General has approved the regulatory sandbox plan the outside vendor submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to subdivision (2) of this subsection, and (B) the institute has established risk management and reporting requirements for active participants.
 - (d) (1) A developer or deployer seeking to participate in the regulatory sandbox program shall submit an application to the institute in a form and manner prescribed by the institute. Each application shall include (A) a detailed description of the applicant's artificial intelligence system and its intended uses, (B) a risk assessment that addresses the potential impact of the applicant's artificial intelligence system on consumers, privacy and public safety, (C) a plan for mitigating any adverse consequences that may arise from the applicant's artificial intelligence system during the period set forth in subsection (e) of this section, (D) proof that the applicant and the applicant's artificial intelligence system are in compliance with all applicable federal laws and regulations concerning artificial intelligence systems, and (E) any other information the institute deems relevant for the purposes of this section or the regulatory sandbox program.
 - (2) Not later than thirty days after the institute receives an application submitted pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection, the institute shall (A) approve or deny the application, and (B) send a notice to the

applicant, in a form and manner prescribed by the institute, disclosing whether the institute has approved or denied such application.

- (e) An active participant may test the active participant's artificial intelligence system as part of the regulatory sandbox program for a period not to exceed twelve consecutive months from the date on which the institute sent notice approving the active participant's application pursuant to subdivision (2) of subsection (d) of this section, except the institute may extend such period, for good cause shown, for an additional period not to exceed six consecutive months in duration.
- (f) For the calendar quarter ending December 31, 2025, and for each calendar quarter thereafter, each active participant shall, not later than thirty days after the end of such calendar quarter, submit a report to the institute disclosing (1) system performance metrics for such active participant's artificial intelligence system, (2) information concerning the manner in which such active participant's artificial intelligence system mitigated any risks associated with such artificial intelligence system, and (3) any feedback such active participant received from deployers, consumers and other users of such artificial intelligence system.
- (g) Not later than January 31, 2026, and annually thereafter, the institute shall submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and commerce. Each report shall disclose (1) the number of developers or deployers who were active participants for the calendar year that is the subject of such report or any portion of such calendar year, (2) the overall performance and impact of the artificial intelligence systems that were tested as part of the regulatory sandbox program, and (3) any recommendations regarding the adoption of legislation for the purposes of the regulatory sandbox program.
- Sec. 8. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2025) (a) As used in this section:

572 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as 573 defined in section 1 of this act; and

- 574 (2) "Institute" has the same meaning as provided in section 7 of this act.
- 576 (b) The Attorney General shall (1) designate one or more employees 577 of the office of the Attorney General to (A) enforce the laws of this state 578 concerning artificial intelligence, and (B) make recommendations to the 579 joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of 580 matters relating to consumer protection regarding such laws and the 581 regulation of artificial intelligence, and (2) designate an employee of 582 said office to (A) serve as said office's primary contact for the 583 Connecticut AI Safety Institute developed, established 584 administered pursuant to this section 7 of this act, and (B) render 585 assistance to the institute in contacting relevant organizations and 586 approving regulatory relief agreements.
- Sec. 9. (*Effective from passage*) (a) As used in this section:
- 588 (1) "Active participant" has the same meaning as provided in section 589 7 of this act; and
- 590 (2) "Artificial intelligence system" has the same meaning as provided in section 1 of this act.
- (b) Not later than February 1, 2026, the Attorney General, in consultation with the outside vendor described in subsection (b) of section 7 of this act, shall submit a report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes, to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection. Such report shall include recommendations regarding:
- (1) A reduction in licensure, regulatory or other legal requirements tobe offered to active participants;

601 (2) The establishment of maximum penalties for active participants;

- (3) The duration of cure periods to be provided to active participants;
- 603 (4) The establishment of safe harbors for negotiated rules and standards and a process to approve such rules and standards;
- (5) The establishment of one or more methods to provide regulatory
 certainty to active participants through tailored mitigation agreements;
 and
- (6) Any other matter the Attorney General, in consultation with the
 outside vendor, deems necessary to facilitate and encourage innovation
 in the responsible development of artificial intelligence systems in this
 state.
- Sec. 10. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) As used in this section, "artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act.
- (b) Not later than December 31, 2025, the Board of Regents for Higher Education shall establish, on behalf of Charter Oak State College and in consultation with the Labor Department, the State Board of Education, Workforce Investment Boards, employers and institutions of higher education in this state, a "Connecticut AI Academy". The academy shall, at a minimum:
- (1) Curate and offer online courses concerning artificial intelligence and the responsible use of artificial intelligence;
- 623 (2) Promote digital literacy;
- 624 (3) Prepare students for careers in fields involving artificial 625 intelligence;
- (4) Offer courses directed at individuals between thirteen and twentyyears of age;

628 (5) Offer courses that prepare small businesses and nonprofit 629 organizations to utilize artificial intelligence to improve marketing and 630 management efficiency;

- (6) Develop courses concerning artificial intelligence that the Labor
 Department and Workforce Investment Boards may incorporate into
 workforce training programs;
- (7) Develop and offer courses for primary and secondary school teachers (A) concerning the appropriate use of artificial intelligence in primary and secondary school classrooms, (B) instructing such teachers how to use artificial intelligence, and (C) informing teachers how to instruct primary and secondary school students in the use of artificial intelligence; and

640

641

642

643 644

LCO No. 8188

- (8) Enable persons providing free or discounted public Internet access to distribute information and provide mentorship concerning artificial intelligence, the academy and methods available for the public to obtain free or discounted devices capable of accessing the Internet and utilizing artificial intelligence.
- (c) The Board of Regents for Higher Education shall, in consultation
 with Charter Oak State College, develop certificates and badges to be
 awarded to persons who successfully complete courses offered by the
 Connecticut AI Academy.
- Sec. 11. (NEW) (*Effective January 1, 2026*) The Labor Department shall provide a notice, in a form and manner prescribed by the Labor Commissioner, to each individual who makes a claim for unemployment compensation disclosing the existence of, and courses and services offered by, the Connecticut AI Academy established pursuant to section 10 of this act.
- Sec. 12. (NEW) (*Effective January 1, 2026*) The Secretary of the State, within available appropriations and in collaboration with Charter Oak State College, shall utilize the means by which the office of the Secretary of the State communicates with small business to disseminate

659 information concerning the courses offered by the Connecticut AI

- Academy, established pursuant to section 10 of this act, that prepare
- small businesses to utilize artificial intelligence to improve marketing
- 662 and management efficiency. As used in this section, "artificial
- intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1
- of this act.
- Sec. 13. (NEW) (Effective January 1, 2026) The Department of Housing,
- within available appropriations, shall work with housing authorities
- and other relevant housing providers to ensure that residents are aware
- of the courses and services offered by the Connecticut AI Academy
- established pursuant to section 10 of this act.
- Sec. 14. Subsection (b) of section 17b-751b of the general statutes is
- repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective January*
- 672 1, 2026):
- (b) The commissioner shall: (1) Ensure that all home visiting
- 674 programs (A) are one or more of the evidence-based home visiting
- 675 models that meet the criteria for evidence of effectiveness developed by
- 676 the federal Department of Health and Human Services, and (B) provide
- 677 information to parents regarding the Connecticut AI Academy
- 678 established pursuant to section 10 of this act; (2) provide oversight of
- home visiting programs to insure model fidelity; and (3) develop, issue
- and evaluate requests for proposals to procure the services required by
- this section. In evaluating the proposals, the commissioner shall take
- into consideration the most effective and consistent service delivery
- 683 system allowing for the continuation of current public and private
- 684 programs.
- Sec. 15. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2025) (a) As used in this section,
- 686 "artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in
- section 1 of this act.
- 688 (b) There is established, within available appropriations, a
- 689 Connecticut Technology Advisory Board, which shall be part of the

690 Legislative Department.

- (c) (1) The board shall consist of the following voting members: (A) Two appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives; (B) two appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate; (C) two appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives; and (D) two appointed by the minority leader of the Senate. All voting members shall have professional experience or academic qualifications in the field of artificial intelligence or the field of technology, or another related field, and no such member shall be a member of the General Assembly.
 - (2) The following persons or their designees shall serve as nonvoting members and chairpersons of the board: (A) The Commissioner of Economic and Community Development, or the commissioner's designee; (B) the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering, or the executive director's designee; (C) the president of Charter Oak State College, or the president's designee; and (D) one appointed by the majority leader of the Senate, who shall be a representative of a state-wide consortium of public and private entities in the state, including, but not limited to, public and private institutions of higher education in the state, designed to advance the development, application and impact of artificial intelligence across the state.
 - (3) All initial appointments to the board shall be made not later than October 1, 2025. The term of an appointed member shall be coterminous with the term of the appointing authority for the appointed member. Any vacancy shall be filled by the appointing authority. Any vacancy occurring other than by expiration of a term shall be filled for the balance of the unexpired term. A member of the board may serve more than one term. The chairpersons shall schedule the first meeting of the board, which shall be held not later than November 1, 2025.
 - (d) The administrative staff of the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and government administration shall serve as administrative

722 staff of the board.

723 (e) The board shall have the following powers and duties: (1) To 724 develop and adopt a state technology strategy (A) for the purpose of 725 promoting education, workforce development, economic development 726 and consumer protection, and (B) that accounts for the rapid pace of 727 technological development, including, but not limited to, in the field of 728 artificial intelligence; (2) to update the state technology strategy 729 developed and adopted pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection at 730 least once every two years; (3) to issue reports and recommendations in 731 accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes; 732 (4) upon the vote of a majority of the voting members of the board, to 733 request any state agency data officer or state agency head to (A) appear 734 before the board to answer questions, or (B) provide such assistance and 735 data as may be necessary for the purpose of enabling the board to 736 perform its duties; (5) to make recommendations to the Legislative 737 Department, Executive Department or Judicial Department in 738 accordance with the state technology strategy; and (6) to establish 739 bylaws to govern the board's procedures.

- (f) The board shall meet at least twice annually and may meet at such other times as deemed necessary by the chairpersons or a majority of the voting members of the board.
- Sec. 16. Section 10-21*l* of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
 - There is established an account to be known as the ["computer science education account"] "computer science education and workforce development account", which shall be a separate, nonlapsing account within the General Fund. The account shall contain any moneys required or permitted by law to be deposited in the account and any funds received from any public or private contributions, gifts, grants, donations, bequests or devises to the account. The Department of Education may make expenditures from the account (1) to support curriculum development, teacher professional development, capacity

740

741

742

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

development for school districts [,] and other programs for the purposes

- of supporting computer science education, and (2) in coordination with
- 756 <u>the Office of Workforce Strategy and the Board of Regents for Higher</u>
- 757 Education for the purpose of supporting workforce development
- 758 initiatives in accordance with the state technology strategy adopted
- 759 pursuant to subsection (e) of section 15 of this act.
- Sec. 17. Section 32-7p of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 761 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2025*):
- 762 (a) As used in this section:
- 763 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as
- 764 <u>defined in section 1 of this act;</u>
- 765 (2) "Generative artificial intelligence" means any form of artificial
- intelligence, including, but not limited to, a foundation model, that is
- 767 <u>able to produce synthetic digital content;</u>
- 768 (3) "Prompt engineering" means the process of guiding generative
- 769 <u>artificial intelligence to generate a desired output; and</u>
- 770 (4) "Synthetic digital content" means any digital content, including,
- 771 <u>but not limited to, any audio, image, text or video, that is produced or</u>
- 772 <u>manipulated by any form of artificial intelligence, including, but not</u>
- 773 <u>limited to, generative artificial intelligence.</u>
- [(a)] (b) There shall be a Technology Talent and Innovation Fund
- 775 Advisory Committee within the Department of Economic and
- 776 Community Development. Such committee shall consist of members
- 777 appointed by the Commissioner of Economic and Community
- 778 Development, including, but not limited to, representatives of The
- 779 University of Connecticut, the Board of Regents for Higher Education,
- 780 independent institutions of higher education, the Office of Workforce
- 781 Strategy and private industry. Such members shall be subject to term
- 782 limits prescribed by the commissioner. Each member shall hold office
- 783 until a successor is appointed.

[(b)] (c) The commissioner shall call the first meeting of the advisory committee not later than October 15, 2016. The advisory committee shall meet not less than quarterly thereafter and at such other times as the chairperson deems necessary. The Technology Talent and Innovation Fund Advisory Committee shall designate the chairperson of the committee from among its members.

- [(c)] (d) No member of the advisory committee shall receive compensation for such member's service, except that each member shall be entitled to reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses incurred during the performance of such member's official duties.
- [(d)] (e) A majority of members of the advisory committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the advisory committee. The advisory committee may act by a majority of the members present at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance, for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the advisory committee, except as otherwise provided in this section.
- [(e)] (f) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, it shall not constitute a conflict of interest for a trustee, director, partner or officer of any person, firm or corporation, or any individual having a financial interest in a person, firm or corporation, to serve as a member of the advisory committee, provided such trustee, director, partner, officer or individual complies with all applicable provisions of chapter 10. All members of the advisory committee shall be deemed public officials and shall adhere to the code of ethics for public officials set forth in chapter 10, except that no member shall be required to file a statement of financial interest as described in section 1-83.
- [(f) The Technology Talent Advisory Committee shall, in the following order of priority, (1) calculate the number of software developers and other persons (A) employed in technology-based fields where there is a shortage of qualified employees in this state for businesses to hire, including, but not limited to, data mining, data

analysis and cybersecurity, and (B) employed by businesses located in Connecticut as of December 31, 2016; (2) develop pilot programs to recruit software developers to Connecticut and train residents of the state in software development and such other technology fields, with the goal of increasing the number of software developers and persons employed in such other technology fields residing in Connecticut and employed by businesses in Connecticut by at least double the number calculated pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection by January 1, 2026; and (3) identify other technology industries where there is a shortage of qualified employees in this state for growth stage businesses to hire.]

- (g) The Technology Talent <u>and Innovation Fund</u> Advisory Committee may partner with institutions of higher education and other <u>nonprofit organizations to</u> develop [pilot] programs [for (1) marketing and publicity campaigns designed to recruit technology talent to the state; (2) student loan deferral or forgiveness for students who start businesses in the state; and (3) training, apprenticeship and gap-year initiatives] to expand the technology talent pipeline in the state, including, but not limited to, in the fields of artificial intelligence and quantum computing.
- [(h) The Technology Talent Advisory Committee shall report, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a, and present such report to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to commerce, education, higher education and finance, revenue and bonding on or before January 1, 2017, concerning the (1) pilot programs developed pursuant to subsections (f) and (g) of this section, (2) number of software developers and persons employed in technology-based fields described in subsection (f) of this section targeted for recruitment pursuant to subsection (f) of this section, and (3) timeline and measures for reaching the recruitment target.]
- (h) Not later than July 1, 2026, the Technology Talent and Innovation
 Fund Advisory Committee shall partner with public and private

849 <u>institutions of higher education in the state and other training providers</u>

- 850 to develop programs in the field of artificial intelligence, including, but
- 851 <u>not limited to, in areas such as prompt engineering, artificial intelligence</u>
- 852 marketing for small businesses and artificial intelligence for small
- 853 <u>business operations.</u>
- Sec. 18. Subsection (b) of section 32-235 of the general statutes is
- repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1*,
- 856 2025):
- 857 (b) The proceeds of the sale of said bonds, to the extent of the amount 858 stated in subsection (a) of this section, shall be used by the Department 859 of Economic and Community Development (1) for the purposes of 860 sections 32-220 to 32-234, inclusive, including economic cluster-related 861 programs and activities, and for the Connecticut job training finance demonstration program pursuant to sections 32-23uu and 32-23vv, 862 863 provided (A) three million dollars shall be used by said department 864 solely for the purposes of section 32-23uu, (B) not less than one million 865 dollars shall be used for an educational technology grant to the 866 deployment center program and the nonprofit business consortium 867 deployment center approved pursuant to section 32-41l, (C) not less 868 than two million dollars shall be used by said department for the 869 establishment of a pilot program to make grants to businesses in 870 designated areas of the state for construction, renovation or 871 improvement of small manufacturing facilities, provided such grants 872 are matched by the business, a municipality or another financing entity. 873 The Commissioner of Economic and Community Development shall 874 designate areas of the state where manufacturing is a substantial part of 875 the local economy and shall make grants under such pilot program 876 which are likely to produce a significant economic development benefit 877 for the designated area, (D) five million dollars may be used by said 878 department for the manufacturing competitiveness grants program, (E) 879 one million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of 880 a grant to the Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, for the 881 purposes of subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of section 32-7f, (F) fifty

882 million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of 883 grants to the United States Department of the Navy, the United States 884 Department of Defense or eligible applicants for projects related to the 885 enhancement of infrastructure for long-term, on-going naval operations 886 at the United States Naval Submarine Base-New London, located in 887 Groton, which will increase the military value of said base. Such projects 888 shall not be subject to the provisions of sections 4a-60 and 4a-60a, (G) 889 two million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of 890 a grant to the Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc., for 891 manufacturing initiatives, including aerospace and defense, and (H) 892 four million dollars shall be used by said department for the purpose of 893 a grant to companies adversely impacted by the construction at the 894 Quinnipiac Bridge, where such grant may be used to offset the increase 895 in costs of commercial overland transportation of goods or materials 896 brought to the port of New Haven by ship or vessel, (2) for the purposes 897 of the small business assistance program established pursuant to section 898 32-9yy, provided fifteen million dollars shall be deposited in the small 899 business assistance account established pursuant to said section 32-9yy, 900 (3) to deposit twenty million dollars in the small business express 901 assistance account established pursuant to section 32-7h, (4) to deposit 902 four million nine hundred thousand dollars per year in each of the fiscal 903 years ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2019, inclusive, and June 30, 2021, 904 and nine million nine hundred thousand dollars in the fiscal year ending 905 June 30, 2020, in the CTNext Fund established pursuant to section 32-906 39i, which shall be used by the Department of Economic and 907 Community Development to provide grants-in-aid to designated 908 innovation places, as defined in section 32-39f, planning grants-in-aid 909 pursuant to section 32-391, and grants-in-aid for projects that network 910 innovation places pursuant to subsection (b) of section 32-39m, 911 provided not more than three million dollars be used for grants-in-aid 912 for such projects, and further provided any portion of any such deposit 913 that remains unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent to the date of such 914 deposit may be used by the Department of Economic and Community 915 Development for any purpose described in subsection (e) of section 32-916 39i, (5) to deposit two million dollars per year in each of the fiscal years

917 ending June 30, 2019, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, in the CTNext Fund 918 established pursuant to section 32-39i, which shall be used by the 919 Department of Economic and Community Development for the purpose 920 of providing higher education entrepreneurship grants-in-aid pursuant 921 to section 32-39g, provided any portion of any such deposit that remains 922 unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent to the date of such deposit may 923 be used by the Department of Economic and Community Development 924 for any purpose described in subsection (e) of section 32-39i, (6) for the 925 purpose of funding the costs of the Technology Talent and Innovation 926 Fund Advisory Committee established pursuant to section 32-7p, as 927 amended by this act, provided not more than ten million dollars may be 928 used on or after July 1, 2023, for such purpose, (7) to provide (A) a grant-929 in-aid to the Connecticut Supplier Connection in an amount equal to 930 two hundred fifty thousand dollars in each of the fiscal years ending 931 June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, and (B) a grant-in-aid to the 932 Connecticut Procurement Technical Assistance Program in an amount 933 equal to three hundred thousand dollars in each of the fiscal years 934 ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, (8) to deposit four 935 hundred fifty thousand dollars per year, in each of the fiscal years 936 ending June 30, 2017, to June 30, 2021, inclusive, in the CTNext Fund 937 established pursuant to section 32-39i, which shall be used by the 938 Department of Economic and Community Development to provide 939 growth grants-in-aid pursuant to section 32-39g, provided any portion 940 of any such deposit that remains unexpended in a fiscal year subsequent 941 to the date of such deposit may be used by the Department of Economic 942 and Community Development for any purpose described in subsection 943 (e) of section 32-39i, (9) to transfer fifty million dollars to the Labor 944 Department which shall be used by said department for the purpose of 945 funding workforce pipeline programs selected pursuant to section 31-946 11rr, provided, notwithstanding the provisions of section 31-11rr, (A) 947 not less than five million dollars shall be provided to the workforce 948 development board in Bridgeport serving the southwest region, for 949 purposes of such program, and the board shall distribute such money in proportion to population and need, and (B) not less than five million 950 951 dollars shall be provided to the workforce development board in

952 Hartford serving the north central region, for purposes of such program, 953 (10) to transfer twenty million dollars to Connecticut Innovations, 954 Incorporated, provided ten million dollars shall be used by Connecticut 955 Innovations, Incorporated for the purpose of the proof of concept fund 956 established pursuant to subsection (b) of section 32-39x and ten million 957 dollars shall be used by Connecticut Innovations, Incorporated for the 958 purpose of the venture capital fund program established pursuant to 959 section 32-4100, (11) to provide a grant to The University of Connecticut 960 of eight million dollars for the establishment, development and 961 operation of a center for sustainable aviation pursuant to subsection (a) 962 of section 10a-110o, and (12) for up to twenty million dollars in 963 investments in federally designated opportunity zones through an 964 impact investment firm including, subject to the approval of the 965 Governor, funding from the Economic Assistance Revolving Fund, 966 established pursuant to section 32-231.

- 967 Sec. 19. (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) As used in this section:
- 968 (1) "Generative artificial intelligence" has the same meaning as 969 provided in section 32-7p of the general statutes, as amended by this act; 970 and
 - (2) "State agency" means any department, board, council, commission, institution or other executive branch agency of state government, including, but not limited to, each constituent unit and each public institution of higher education.
 - (b) Each state agency, in consultation with the employees of such state agency and relevant experts, (1) shall conduct a study to determine whether generative artificial intelligence may be utilized to improve such state agency's processes and create efficiencies within such state agency, and (2) may, based on the results of such study, develop and propose one or more generative artificial intelligence pilot projects (A) for inclusion in the information and telecommunication systems strategic plan developed under section 4d-7 of the general statutes, (B) for the purpose of (i) improving residents' access to, and experience

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

with, government services provided by such state agency, (ii) assisting the employees of such state agency in the performance of their duties, or (iii) positively impacting any other relevant domain, and (C) to measure any improvement, assistance or impact described in subparagraph (B) of this subdivision.

- (c) Each state agency shall submit the results of the study conducted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, and any proposed generative artificial intelligence pilot project developed pursuant to said subsection, to the Department of Administrative Services. The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall assess any proposed generative artificial intelligence pilot project in accordance with the provisions of section 4a-2e of the general statutes, and may disapprove any such project that fails such assessment or requires additional legislation in order to implement such project.
- (d) The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall submit to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management a report including a summary of all pilot projects approved by the commissioner under this section and any recommendations for legislation necessary to implement any additional pilot projects under this section. The Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management shall include such summary and recommendations in said secretary's report to the Governor and the General Assembly under subsection (e) of section 4d-7 of the general statutes.
- Sec. 20. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2025) (a) As used in this section:
- 1008 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act; and
- 1010 (2) "Generative artificial intelligence" has the same meaning as 1011 provided in section 32-7p of the general statutes, as amended by this act.
- 1012 (b) The Office of Legislative Management may, within available 1013 appropriations, (1) work with the legislative caucuses and legislative 1014 offices to develop a process to solicit ideas for one or more generative

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

artificial intelligence pilot programs for the purpose of (A) improving residents' experience with, and access to, such caucuses and offices, and (B) supporting employees of the legislative branch in the performance

- 1017 (B) supporting employees of the legislative branch in the performance of their duties, and (2) work with organizations that support technology
- 1019 fellowships to establish a technology fellowship program to assist the
- 1020 legislative branch in implementing the pilot programs described in
- 1021 subdivision (1) of this subsection.
- Sec. 21. (*Effective July 1, 2025*) (a) For the purposes of this section:
- 1023 (1) "Artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act;
- 1025 (2) "General-purpose artificial intelligence model" (A) means a model 1026 used by any form of artificial intelligence that (i) displays significant 1027 generality, (ii) is capable of competently performing a wide range of 1028 distinct tasks, and (iii) can be integrated into a variety of downstream 1029 applications or systems, and (B) does not include any artificial 1030 intelligence model that is used for development, prototyping and 1031 research activities before such artificial intelligence model is released on 1032 the market; and
- 1033 (3) "Synthetic digital content" has the same meaning as provided in section 32-7p of the general statutes, as amended by this act.
- 1035 (b) There is established a working group to engage stakeholders and experts to:
- 1037 (1) Make recommendations concerning:
- (A) The best practices to avoid the negative impacts, and to maximize the positive impacts, on services and state employees in connection with the implementation of new digital technologies and artificial intelligence;
- 1042 (B) The collection of reports, recommendations and plans from state 1043 agencies considering the implementation of artificial intelligence, and

the assessment of such reports, recommendations and plans against the best practices described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision; and

- 1046 (C) Any other matters which the working group may deem relevant 1047 for the purposes of avoiding the negative impacts, and maximizing the 1048 positive impacts, described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision;
- 1049 (2) Make recommendations concerning methods to create resources 1050 for the purpose of assisting small businesses to adopt artificial 1051 intelligence to improve their efficiency and operations;
- 1052 (3) Propose legislation to (A) regulate the use of general-purpose 1053 artificial intelligence models, and (B) require social media platforms to 1054 provide a signal when such social media platforms are displaying 1055 synthetic digital content;
- 1056 (4) After reviewing the laws and regulations, and any proposed 1057 legislation or regulations, of other states concerning artificial 1058 intelligence, propose legislation concerning artificial intelligence;
- 1059 (5) Develop an outreach plan for the purpose of bridging the digital 1060 divide and providing workforce training to persons who do not have 1061 high-speed Internet access;
- 1062 (6) Evaluate and make recommendations concerning:
- 1063 (A) The establishment of testbeds to support safeguards and systems 1064 to prevent the misuse of artificial intelligence;
- 1065 (B) Risk assessments for the misuse of artificial intelligence;
- 1066 (C) Evaluation strategies for artificial intelligence; and
- 1067 (D) The development, testing and evaluation of resources to support state oversight of artificial intelligence;
- 1069 (7) Review the protections afforded to trade secrets and other 1070 proprietary information under existing state law and make

- 1071 recommendations concerning such protections;
- 1072 (8) Make recommendations concerning the establishment and 1073 membership of a permanent artificial intelligence advisory council; and
- 1074 (9) Make such other recommendations concerning artificial 1075 intelligence which the working group may deem appropriate.
 - (c) (1) (A) The working group shall be part of the Legislative Department and consist of the following voting members: (i) One appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives, who shall be a representative of the industries that are developing artificial intelligence; (ii) one appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, who shall be a representative of the industries that are using artificial intelligence; (iii) one appointed by the majority leader of the House of Representatives, who shall be an academic with a concentration in the study of technology and technology policy; (iv) one appointed by the majority leader of the Senate, who shall be an academic with a concentration in the study of government and public policy; (v) one appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives, who shall be a representative of an industry association representing the industries that are developing artificial intelligence; (vi) one appointed by the minority leader of the Senate, who shall be a representative of an industry association representing the industries that are using artificial intelligence; (vii) one appointed by the House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (viii) one appointed by the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (ix) one appointed by the House ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, who shall be a representative of the artificial intelligence industry or a related industry; (x) one appointed by the Senate ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, who shall be a representative of the

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1104 artificial intelligence industry or a related industry; (xi) one appointed 1105 by the House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General 1106 Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a 1107 representative of a labor organization; (xii) one appointed by the Senate 1108 chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly 1109 having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a 1110 representative of a labor organization; (xiii) one appointed by the House 1111 ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General 1112 Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a 1113 representative of a small business; (xiv) one appointed by the Senate 1114 ranking member of the joint standing committee of the General 1115 Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to labor, who shall be a 1116 representative of a small business; and (xv) two appointed by the 1117 Governor, who shall be members of the Connecticut Academy of 1118 Science and Engineering.

- (B) All voting members of the working group appointed pursuant to subparagraph (A) of this subdivision shall have professional experience or academic qualifications in matters pertaining to artificial intelligence, automated systems, government policy or another related field.
- 1123 (C) All initial appointments to the working group shall be made not 1124 later than July 31, 2025. Any vacancy shall be filled by the appointing 1125 authority.
- (D) Any action taken by the working group shall be taken by a majority vote of all members present who are entitled to vote, provided no such action may be taken unless at least fifty per cent of such members are present.
 - (2) The working group shall include the following nonvoting, exofficio members: (A) The House chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (B) the Senate chairperson of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection; (C) the House chairperson of

1119

1120

1121

1122

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1136 the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having 1137 cognizance of matters relating to labor; (D) the Senate chairperson of the 1138 joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of 1139 matters relating to labor; (E) the Attorney General, or the Attorney 1140 General's designee; (F) the Comptroller, or the Comptroller's designee; 1141 (G) the Treasurer, or the Treasurer's designee; (H) the Commissioner of 1142 Administrative Services, or said commissioner's designee; (I) the Chief 1143 Data Officer, or said officer's designee; (J) the executive director of the 1144 Freedom of Information Commission, or such executive director's 1145 designee; (K) the executive director of the Commission on Women, 1146 Children, Seniors, Equity and Opportunity, or such executive director's 1147 designee; (L) the Chief Court Administrator, or said administrator's 1148 designee; and (M) the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of 1149 Science and Engineering, or such executive director's designee.

- (d) The chairpersons of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection and the executive director of the Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering shall serve as chairpersons of the working group. Such chairpersons shall schedule the first meeting of the working group, which shall be held not later than August 31, 2025.
- (e) The administrative staff of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection shall serve as administrative staff of the working group.
- (f) Not later than February 1, 2026, the working group shall submit a report on its findings and recommendations to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to consumer protection, in accordance with the provisions of section 11-4a of the general statutes. The working group shall terminate on the date that the working group submits such report or February 1, 2026, whichever is later.
- Sec. 22. Subsections (b) and (c) of section 4-68jj of the general statutes are repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July*

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

- 1168 1, 2025):
- (b) (1) [Not later than February 1, 2024, the] <u>The</u> Office of Policy and
- 1170 Management shall develop and establish policies and procedures
- 1171 concerning the development, procurement, implementation, utilization
- and ongoing assessment of systems that employ artificial intelligence
- and are in use by state agencies. Such policies and procedures shall, at a
- 1174 minimum, include policies and procedures that:
- 1175 (A) Govern the procurement, implementation and ongoing
- assessment of such systems by state agencies;
- 1177 (B) Are sufficient to ensure that no such system (i) results in any
- 1178 unlawful discrimination against any individual or group of individuals,
- or (ii) has any unlawful disparate impact on any individual or group of
- individuals on the basis of any actual or perceived differentiating
- characteristic, including, but not limited to, age, genetic information,
- 1182 color, ethnicity, race, creed, religion, national origin, ancestry, sex,
- gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, marital status, familial
- status, pregnancy, veteran status, disability or lawful source of income;
- 1185 (C) Require a state agency to assess the likely impact of any such
- 1186 system before implementing such system; and
- 1187 (D) Provide for the Department of Administrative Services to
- 1188 perform ongoing assessments of such systems to ensure that no such
- 1189 system results in any unlawful discrimination or disparate impact
- described in subparagraph (B) of this subdivision.
- 1191 (2) Not later than February 1, 2026, the Office of Policy and
- 1192 Management shall develop and establish policies and procedures that
- govern user training for systems that employ artificial intelligence and
- are in use by state agencies.
- 1195 [(2)] (3) The Office of Policy and Management may revise the policies
- and procedures established pursuant to [subdivision] <u>subdivisions</u> (1)
- and (2) of this subsection if the Secretary of the Office of Policy and

1198 Management determines, in said secretary's discretion, that such revision is necessary.

- [(3)] (4) The Office of Policy and Management shall post the policies and procedures established pursuant to [subdivision] <u>subdivisions</u> (1) and (2) of this subsection, and any revision made to such policies and procedures pursuant to subdivision [(2)] (3) of this subsection, on the office's Internet web site.
 - (c) [Beginning on February 1, 2024, no] No state agency shall implement any system that employs artificial intelligence (1) unless the state agency has performed an impact assessment, in accordance with the policies and procedures established pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, to ensure that such system will not result in any unlawful discrimination or disparate impact described in subparagraph (B) of subdivision (1) of subsection (b) of this section, or (2) if the head of such state agency determines, in such agency head's discretion, that such system will result in any unlawful discrimination or disparate impact described in subparagraph (B) of subdivision (1) of subsection (b) of this section.
 - Sec. 23. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) Each employer that serves written notice on the Labor Department pursuant to 29 USC 2102(a), as amended from time to time, shall disclose to the department, in a form and manner prescribed by the Labor Commissioner, whether the layoffs that are the subject of such written notice are related to the employer's use of artificial intelligence or another technological change. As used in this section, "artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 1 of this act.
 - Sec. 24. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2025*) (a) A person is guilty of unlawful dissemination of an intimate synthetically created image when (1) such person intentionally disseminates by electronic or other means such image of (A) the genitals, pubic area or buttocks of another person with less than a fully opaque covering of such body part, or the breast of such other person who is female with less than a fully opaque

1230 covering of any portion of such breast below the top of the nipple, or (B) 1231 another person engaged in sexual intercourse, as defined in section 53a-1232 193 of the general statutes, (2) such person disseminates such image 1233 without the consent of such other person, (3) knowing such image is a 1234 synthetically created image, disseminates the image intending for 1235 another person who views such image to be deceived into believing the 1236 image is an actual depiction of such other person, and (4) such other 1237 person suffers harm as a result of such dissemination, or (5) such person 1238 violates subdivisions (1) to (4), inclusive, of this subsection, and such 1239 person acquired, created or had created such synthetically created

(b) For purposes of this section:

image with intention to harm such other person.

1240

- 1242 (1) "Disseminate" means to sell, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, 1243 deliver, transfer, publish, distribute, circulate, present, exhibit, advertise 1244 or otherwise offer;
- 1245 (2) "Harm" includes, but is not limited to, subjecting such other 1246 person to hatred, contempt, ridicule, physical injury, financial injury, 1247 psychological harm or serious emotional distress; and
- (3) "Synthetically created image" means any photograph, film, videotape or other image of a person that (A) is (i) not wholly recorded by a camera, or (ii) either partially or wholly generated by a computer system, and (B) depicts, and is virtually indistinguishable from what a reasonable person would believe is the actual depiction of, an identifiable person.
- 1254 (c) The provisions of subsection (a) of this section shall not apply to:
- 1255 (1) Any image described in subsection (a) of this section of such other 1256 person if such image resulted from voluntary exposure or engagement 1257 in sexual intercourse by such other person, in a public place, as defined 1258 in section 53a-181 of the general statutes, or in a commercial setting; or
- 1259 (2) Any image described in subsection (a) of this section of such other

person, if such other person is not clearly identifiable, unless other personally identifying information is associated with or accompanies the image.

(d) Unlawful dissemination of an intimate synthetically created image to (1) a person by any means is a class D misdemeanor, except that if such person violated subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of this section, a class A misdemeanor, and (2) more than one person by means of an interactive computer service, as defined in 47 USC 230, an information service, as defined in 47 USC 153, or a telecommunications service, as defined in section 16-247a of the general statutes, is a class C misdemeanor, except that if such person violated subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of this section, is a class D felony.

(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to impose liability on the provider of an interactive computer service, as defined in 47 USC 230, an information service, as defined in 47 USC 153, or a telecommunications service, as defined in section 16-247a of the general statutes, for content provided by another person."

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following			
sections:			
Section 1	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 2	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 3	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 4	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 5	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 6	from passage	New section	
Sec. 7	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 8	October 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 9	from passage	New section	
Sec. 10	July 1, 2025	New section	
Sec. 11	January 1, 2026	New section	
Sec. 12	January 1, 2026	New section	
Sec. 13	January 1, 2026	New section	
Sec. 14	January 1, 2026	17b-751b(b)	
Sec. 15	July 1, 2025	New section	

Sec. 16	July 1, 2025	10-21 <i>l</i>
Sec. 17	July 1, 2025	32-7p
Sec. 18	July 1, 2025	32-235(b)
Sec. 19	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 20	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 21	July 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 22	July 1, 2025	4-68jj(b) and (c)
Sec. 23	October 1, 2025	New section
Sec. 24	October 1, 2025	New section